



**ENHANCED PARTIAL TRANSMIT SEQUENCE
TECHNIQUE WITH IMPROVED PARTITIONING
AND PHASE FACTOR METHODS FOR
ORTHOGONAL FREQUENCY DIVISION
MULTIPLEXING SYSTEMS**

By

ZEYID TARIQ IBRAHEEM

(1240810761)

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**School of Computer and Communication Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2016

GRADUATE SCHOOL UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA PERLIS

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in fulfillment of a post graduate degree from the Universiti Malaysia Perlis, I agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not allowed without any written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Malaysia Perlis for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis

Request for permission to copy or to make other use of material in this thesis whole or in part should be addressed to

Dean of Graduate School

Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP)

No. 112 & 114, Tingkat 1, Blok A, Taman Pertiwi Indah,

Jalan Kangar-Alor Setar,

Seriab, 01000 Kangar, Perlis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all I'm grateful to Allah (SWT) for giving me strength and wisdom throughout all my life and especially to finish this project.

I would like to express my warm and sincere thanks to Dr. Md. Mijanur Rahman for his support, constructive comments, for providing guidance throughout this process while allowing me the flexibility of pursuing my own ideas and concepts. Also, for his insight and the precious scientific guidance, this greatly helped me in the research's progress and to accomplish of this thesis through his academic advice. His guidance, ideas, encouragement, affable nature, kindness and support were greatly helpful. He is not only my supervisor, but he is a great brother and friend. His work ethics and dedication to ensuring the success of his students is certainly exceptional. My deepest gratitude goes to him for always believing in my work.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisors Dr. Shahrul Nizam Yaakob and Dr. Mohamad Shahrazel Razali for their kind support, and invaluable suggestions during my research.

My deep appreciation and special thanks go to the University Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) and to the staff of the UniMAP for their cooperation, support and good treatment for foreign students; especially the staff members' of the School of Computer and Communication Engineering for their support and eagerness to provide the ideal research environment. Three years at University Malaysia Perlis allowed me to acquire and gain tremendous knowledge both academically and as a researcher. Therefore, I wish to thank all university members and I'm grateful for all those who were assisting me to complete this research.

I'm forever indebted to my parents, sincere thanks and gratitude to them. And many thanks for my wife, my children and my sisters for their daily prayers, giving me the motivation, strength and encourage me to accomplish and achieve my goals. Special thanks go to all people who provided me with any kind of help during this work.

©This item is protected by original copyright

Zeyid Tariq Ibraheem
School of Computer and Communication Engineering
University Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION OF THESIS	i
PERMISSION TO USE	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
ABSTRAK	xxii
ABSTRACT	xxiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	6
1.3 Research Objectives	7
1.4 Scope of Research	8
1.5 Thesis Outlines	9
CHAPTER 2: OFDM BASICS AND LITERATURE SURVEY	
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Basic Structure of OFDM System	11
2.2.1 Digital Modulation Technique	13
2.2.2 Serial to Parallel Converter	16

2.2.3	Discrete Fourier Transform	18
2.2.4	The Guard Interval in OFDM Signals	20
2.2.4.1	Guard Interval	21
2.2.4.2	Cyclic Prefix (CP)	22
2.2.4.3	Zero Padding (ZP)	23
2.3	Orthogonality of OFDM Signals	23
2.4	OFDM Problems	28
2.4.1	Peak to Average Power Ratio (PAPR)	29
2.4.2	Effects of PAPR in OFDM	30
2.5	PAPR Reduction Techniques	31
2.5.1	Signal Distortion Techniques	33
2.5.1.1	Clipping and Filtering	34
2.5.1.2	Nonlinear Companding Transform	37
2.5.2	Multiple Signaling and Probabilistic Techniques	41
2.5.2.1	Selective Mapping (SLM)	41
2.5.2.2	Partial Transmit Sequence (PTS)	45
2.5.2.3	Interleaving Technique	56
2.5.2.4	Tone Reservation (TR)	58
2.5.2.5	Tone Injection (TI)	61
2.5.2.6	Active Constellation Extension (ACE)	64
2.5.3	Coding Techniques	66
2.6	Comparative Analysis	71
2.7	Criteria for Selecting PAPR Reduction Technique	73
2.8	Evaluating PAPR Reduction Techniques	73
2.9	Summary	74

CHAPTER 3: SYSTEM DESIGN AND MODELING

3.1	Introduction	76
3.2	Traditional PTS Method for PAPR Reduction	78
3.2.1	Adjacent Partition	80
3.2.2	Interleaved Partition	81
3.2.3	Pseudorandom Partition	82
3.3	Proposed Enhancements in PTS Techniques	88
3.3.1	Blocked Interleaved Partitioning (BIP)	89
3.3.1.1	Fusing Adjacent Partitioning into Interleaving	90
3.3.1.2	PAPR Optimized OFDM Signal	93
3.3.1.3	BIP Complexity Analysis	98
3.3.2	Proposed Phase Rotation Schemes	101
3.3.2.1	Smallest Correlation Phase Vector (SCPV)	102
3.3.2.1.1	Candidate Phase Factors Construction	102
3.3.2.1.2	Optimal Set of Rotation Vectors	102
3.3.2.1.3	BIP Combined with SCPV (BIPSC)	106
3.3.2.2	Blocked Random Phase Selection (BRPS)	109
3.3.2.2.1	Motivation	110
3.3.2.2.2	The BRPS Technique	115
3.3.2.2.3	BIP Combined with BRPS (BIPBR)	120
3.3.2.3	Computational Complexity Analysis	125
3.4	Summary	126

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	127
-----	--------------	-----

4.2	Evaluation of Performance of the Proposed BIP-PTS Approach	129
4.2.1	Comparison BIP-PTS with Traditional PTS Schemes	129
4.2.2	Effect of Partition Size on the BIP-PTS Performance	134
4.2.3	Effect of Frame Length and Partition Number on PAPR Reduction	138
4.2.4	Performance of BIP-PTS Approach under Different Data Mapping Schemes	146
4.3	Evaluation of Performance of the Proposed BIPSC-PTS Approach	151
4.3.1	Comparison BIPSC-PTS with Traditional PTS Schemes	151
4.3.2	Effect of Partition Size on the BIPSC-PTS Performance	155
4.3.3	Performance Comparison between BIPSC and BIP Approaches	159
4.4	Evaluation of Performance of the Proposed BIPBR-PTS Approach	163
4.4.1	Comparison BIPBR-PTS with Traditional PTS Schemes	163
4.4.2	Performance Comparison between BIPBR and BIP Approaches	167
4.5	Performance Benchmarking Compared to Latest Publishing Works	171
4.6	Reducing of Computational Complexities in Proposed Methods	171
4.7	Summary	172
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS		
5.1	Conclusions	174
5.2	Summary of Main Contributions	177
5.3	Suggestions for Future Works	179
REFERENCES		181
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		197

LIST OF TABLES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	Differences between parallel and serial systems	18
2.2	Comparison of PAPR reduction techniques	71
3.1	Result of the best candidate with lowest correlation signals	105
3.2	Sequence of rotation vectors	115
4.1	Typical parameters used in numerical analysis of the proposed enhanced PTS techniques	128
4.2	Simulation results of comparison BIP-PTS method to traditional PTS schemes	131
4.3	Simulation results for block size = 16	135
4.4	Simulation results for block size =32	135
4.5	Performance of BIP-PTS technique compared to traditional PTS schemes for different frame lengths and partition numbers	141
4.6	Simulation results for BIP-PTS method in different data mappings	148
4.7	Simulation results of BIPSC-PTS technique compared to traditional PTS schemes	153
4.8	Percentage improvements of BIPSC-PTS and traditional PTS schemes for different block sizes	156
4.9	Simulation results of comparison BIPBR-PTS technique and traditional PTS schemes	165
4.10	Performance improvement compared to latest published work	171
4.11	Benchmarking of required CPU time	172

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	Basic diagram of OFDM system	13
2.2	(a) QPSK and (b) 16QAM can be represented with a phasor diagram	16
2.3	An FFT implementation (decimation in time)	20
2.4	Insertion of a guard period to an OFDM signal	23
2.5	Comparison of the spectral utilization between: (a) FDM and (b) OFDM	25
2.6	Spectrum of an OFDM symbol with overlapping orthogonal subcarriers	27
2.7	OFDM signal containing sinusoidal high peaks	31
2.8	Classification of PAPR reduction techniques	33
3.1	Block diagram of PTS scheme	79
3.2	Three kinds of PTS sub-blocks partition schemes	80
3.3	Flowchart of the traditional PTS method	86
3.4	Block diagram for the proposed enhanced techniques	89
3.5	Division of symbol frame into partitions, sub-blocks, and symbols	90
3.6	(a) Blocks and sub-blocks in blocked interleaved partitioning, (b) Allocation of sub-blocks into BIP partitions	92
3.7	Flowchart of the proposed BIP-PTS algorithm	96
3.8	Comparative partitioning between adjacent and proposed BIP scheme	101
3.9	Phase vectors for 2 phase levels and 4 partitions	104
3.10	Flowchart of the proposed BIPSC algorithm	107
3.11	Effect of phase sequence on PAPR for data set one	111
3.12	Effect of phase sequence on PAPR for data set two	111
3.13	Effect of phase sequence on PAPR for data set three	112

3.14	Effect of phase sequence on PAPR for data set four	112
3.15	Minimum PAPR for four different subspaces of the rotation vector space for all 200 data sets: (a) Subspace (1...64), (b) Subspace (65...128), (c) Subspace (129...192), and (d) Subspace (193...256)	113
3.16	Minimum PAPR for four different subspaces of the rotation vector space for all 2000 data sets: (a) Subspace (1...64), (b) Subspace (65...128), (c) Subspace (129...192), and (d) Subspace (193...256)	114
3.17	Enumerated combinations of the rotation levels	116
3.18	Divisions and mid-points	117
3.19	(a): Index of the levels. (b): Sequence of the combinations (c): Mid-points of the divisions	118
3.20	Flowchart of the proposed BIPBR algorithm	122
4.1	PAPR reduction of BIP-PTS compared with traditional PTS schemes (AP and IP) for QPSK modulation	132
4.2	PAPR reduction of BIP-PTS compared with traditional PTS schemes (AP and IP) for 8PSK modulation	132
4.3	PAPR reduction of BIP-PTS compared with traditional PTS schemes (AP and IP) for 16QAM modulation	133
4.4	PAPR reduction of BIP-PTS compared with traditional PTS schemes (AP and IP) for 64QAM modulation	133
4.5	Comparative PAPR reduction performance for QPSK modulation for the block size =16 and 32	136
4.6	Comparative PAPR reduction performance for 8PSK modulation for the block size =16 and 32	136
4.7	Comparative PAPR reduction performance for 16QAM modulation for the block size =16 and 32	137
4.8	Comparative PAPR reduction performance for 64QAM modulation for the block size =16 and 32	137
4.9	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=64$ and number of sub-blocks $M=8$	142
4.10	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=128$ and number of sub-blocks $M=8$	142

4.11	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=256$ and number of sub-blocks $M=8$	143
4.12	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=64$ and number of sub-blocks $M=4$	143
4.13	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=128$ and number of sub-blocks $M=4$	144
4.14	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=256$ and number of sub-blocks $M=4$	144
4.15	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=64$ and number of sub-blocks $M=2$	145
4.16	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=128$ and number of sub-blocks $M=2$	145
4.17	PAPR reduction performance of BIP-PTS compared to AP-PTS and IP-PTS for number of subcarriers $N=256$ and number of sub-blocks $M=2$	146
4.18	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIP-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes under QPSK and FRAT	149
4.19	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIP-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes under 8PSK and FRAT	149
4.20	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIP-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes under 16QAM and FRAT	150
4.21	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIP-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes under 64QAM and FRAT	150
4.22	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for QPSK modulation	153
4.23	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for 8PSK modulation	154
4.24	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for 16QAM modulation	154

4.25	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for 64QAM modulation	155
4.26	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes for the block size =16 and 32 under QPSK	157
4.27	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes for the block size =16 and 32 under 8PSK	157
4.28	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes for the block size =16 and 32 under 16QAM	158
4.29	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the traditional PTS schemes for the block size =16 and 32 under 64QAM	158
4.30	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for QPSK modulation	161
4.31	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for 8PSK modulation	161
4.32	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for 16QAM modulation	162
4.33	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPSC-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for 64QAM modulation	162
4.34	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for QPSK modulation	165
4.35	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for 8PSK modulation	166
4.36	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for 16QAM modulation	166
4.37	PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the traditional PTS scheme for 64QAM modulation	167
4.38	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for QPSK modulation	168
4.39	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for 8PSK modulation	169

4.40	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for 16QAM modulation	169
4.41	Comparison of PAPR reduction performance of the BIPBR-PTS method with the BIP-PTS technique for 64QAM modulation	170

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3GPP	3rd Generation Partnership Project
4G	4th Generation
ACE	Active Constellation Extension
ACI	Adjacent Channel Interference
ADC	Analog-to-Digital Converter
AM	Amplitude Modulation
AP	Adjacent Partitioning
ASK	Amplitude-Shift Keying
AWGN	Additive White Gaussian Noise
BER	Bit Error Rate
BIP	Blocked Interleaved Partitioning
BIPBR	BIP with Blocked Random Phase Selection
BIPSC	BIP with Smallest Correlation Phase Vector
BRPS	Blocked Random Phase Selection
BW	Bandwidth
CCDF	Complementary Cumulative Distribution Function
CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function
CDMA	Code Division Multiple Access
CF	Clipping and Filtering
CP	Cyclic Prefix
CPU	Central Processing Unit
DAC	Digital-to-Analog Converter
DAB	Digital Audio Broadcasting

DVB	Digital Video Broadcasting
DFT	Discrete Fourier Transform
ETSI	European Telecommunication Standards Institute
FDM	Frequency Division Multiplexing
FFT	Fast Fourier Transform
FM	Frequency Modulation
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Arrays
FRAT	Finite Radon Transform
FSK	Frequency-Shift Keying
GI	Guard Interval
HF	High Frequency
HIPERLAN	High Performance Radio Local Area Network
HPA	High Power Amplifier
IBO	Input Back Off
ICF	Iterative Clipping & Filtering
ICI	Inter Carrier Interference
IDFT	Inverse Discrete Fourier Transform
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
IFFT	Inverse Fast Fourier Transform
IP	Interleaved Partitioning
ISI	Inter Symbol Interference
I-Q	In phase-Quadric phase
LAN	Local Area Network
LTE	Long Term Evolution
MATLAB	Mathematical Laboratory

MC-CDMA	Multicarrier Code-Division Multiple Access
MCM	Multi-Carrier Modulation
MIMO	Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output
M-PSK	Multilevel Phase Shift Keying
M-QAM	Multilevel Quadrature Amplitude Modulation
OBO	Output Back Off
OOB	Out-of-Band
OFDM	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
PA	Power Amplifier
PAPR	Peak-to-Average Power Ratio
PAPR ₀	Threshold Peak-to-Average Power Ratio
PHY	Physical Layer
PM	Phase Modulation
PRP	Pseudorandom Partitioning
P/S	Parallel to Series Data Converter
PSK	Phase Shift Keying
PTS	Partial Transmit Sequence
QAM	Quadrature Amplitude Modulation
QPSK	Quadrature Phase Shift Keying
RF	Radio Frequency
SCPV	Smallest Correlation Phase Vector
SER	Symbol Error Rate
SI	Side Information
SLM	Selective Mapping
SNR	Signal to Noise Ratio

S/P	Series to Parallel Data Converter
SQNR	Signal to Quantization Noise Ratio
TI	Tone Injection
TR	Tone Reservation
WiMAX	Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access
Wi-Fi	Wireless Fidelity
WLAN	Wireless Local Area Network
WMAN	Wireless Metropolitan Area Network
WPAN	Wireless Personal Area Network
ZP	Zero Padding

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$(*)$	Complex conjugate operation
$\varphi(r_i)$	Phase factors
φ_i	Rotation angles
τ	Number of times iterations
b_u^v	Phase factor
${}^n C_r$	Number of combinations
dB	Decibel (ratio in log scale)
$d_0 \dots \dots d_{z-1}$	Levels for each partition
$E\{\cdot\}$	Expected value
F	Group of signals
Δf	Sub-carrier spacing
f_k	Center of frequency
f	Frequency
I	Index function
i	Partition number
i^{th}	Index partition
k^{th}	Frequency index
L	Length of adjacent partition
$l_{\bar{p}}$	Adjacent lengths of original frame
M	Number of sub-blocks
MP	Mid-point
N	Number of subcarriers

N_c	Total number of rotation combinations
n	Discrete time index
n_c	Number of candidate signals
n_d	Number of divisions
n_p	BIP partition in the new frame
$n_{\bar{p}}$	Adjacent partition in the original frame
n_s	Number of symbols in a sub-blocks
$n_{\bar{s}b}$	The number of sub-blocks within each partition
P_i	Corresponding disjoint partitions
\hat{P}_i	Variable length disjoint subsets of OFDM frame
p^{th}	Element of the group
q	Symbol number within a sub-block
r	Sub-block number within a partition
r^{th}	Sub-block from each partition
Sb_{ir}	sub-blocks within adjacent partition
T	Total OFDM symbol duration
T_s	Symbol duration
T_b	Bit duration
U	Number of candidate signals
V	Number of sub-blocks or clusters
w_i	Rotation factor
X	Data block in frequency domain (original frame)
\hat{X}	New frame of interleaving of the sub-blocks
X_k	Input data symbols (the modulated data at k^{th} subcarrier)

X_m	Sub-blocks Vectors
x_m	Block of time domain
$x_i(n)$	Time domain signals
$x_i^{r_i}(n)$	Phase rotated sequences of time domain signals
\tilde{x}_n	Transmit signal candidate
x_n	OFDM signal at the discrete time
z	Number of phase rotation levels for each partition
z^{nP}	Total number of rotation combinations

©This item is protected by original copyright

Teknik Jujukan Pancaran Separa Dipertingkat dengan Kaedah Faktor Fasa dan Pemetakan Diperbaiki untuk - Sistem Pemultipleksan Pembahagian Frekuensi Ortogon

ABSTRAK

Pemultipleksan Pembahagian Frekuensi Ortogon (*Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing*, OFDM)) merupakan suatu teknologi penting — yang membolehkan pemancaran pada kadar data yang tinggi. Walau bagaimanapun, masalah utama-sistem OFDM adalah nisbah kuasa puncak-kepada-purata (*peak-to-average power ratio*, PAPR) yang tinggi pada isyarat OFDM. PAPR yang tinggi menyebabkan penguat kuasa pemancar (*power transmitter*, PA) menjadi tepu, dan mengakibatkan herotan tak linear. Dalam usaha meningkatkan prestasi sistem OFDM, PAPR perlu secara signifikan dikurangkan pada kekompleksan/kerumitan pengiraan yang lebih rendah. Bermotivasikan keperluan teknik pengurangan PAPR yang efisien berkaitan sistem pembawa berbilang, seperti OFDM, kajian ini meneliti teknik pengurangan PAPR terkini dan mencadangkan teknik pemprosesan isyarat baharu yang mampu mencapai PAPR minimum pada kekompleksan pengiraan yang lebih rendah bagi parameter sistem yang diberi, yang serasi dengan piawaian atau standard yang sesuai. Tesis ini mencadangkan satu skema baru yang fleksibel dan praktikal bagi mengurangkan PAPR, berdasarkan teknik jujukan pancaran separa (*partial transmit sequences*, PTS), yang merupakan pendekatan berkebarangkalian yang terkenal bagi masalah PAPR. Pendekatan ini mengurangkan PAPR tanpa sebarang pengurangan prestasi yang signifikan impak yang buruk, atau perubahan pada sistem. Pendekatan yang dicadangkan ini menghapuskan kelemahan teknik PTS semasa, iaitu kekompleksan pelaksanaan yang tinggi bagi mencapai pengurangan PAPR yang signifikan. Dalam tesis ini, tiga kaedah dicadangkan untuk mencapai PAPR minimum dengan kekompleksan pengiraan yang rendah dalam sistem OFDM. Pendekatan ini meningkatkan kedua-dua skema pemetakan dan putaran fasa dalam rangka kerja PTS bagi meminimumkan PAPR pada kekompleksan pelaksanaan yang lebih rendah. Prestasi pendekatan dinilai melalui pengiraan PAPR yang mencapai pendekatan ini dan membandingkannya dengan PAPR yang direalisasikan oleh skema PTS tradisional. Berikut adalah sumbangan utama kajian ini. Pertama, tesis ini telah mencadangkan satu kaedah pemetakan PTS yang baharu, iaitu Pemetakan Antara Lembar Terblok (*Blocked Interleaved Partitioning*, BIP), yang mendorong kepada prestasi pengurangan PAPR yang lebih baik. Kedua, Vektor Fasa Kolerasi Terkecil (*Smallest Correlation Phase Vector*, SCPV) dan Pemilihan Fasa Rawak Terblok (*Blocked Random Phase Selection*, BRPS) dicadangkan bagi menentukan putaran fasa optimum. Gabungan daripada pemetakan yang dicadangkan dan skema putaran fasa terhasil dalam dua skema pengurangan PAPR, yang dinilai sebagai BIPSC-PTS dan BIPBR-PTS. Pendekatan ini secara signifikan mengurangkan PAPR pada sistem dan pada masa yang sama merendahkan kekompleksan pengiraan. Prestasi algoritma yang dicadangkan telah disahkan melalui simulasi komputer. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang dicadangkan berjaya meningkatkan prestasi sistem OFDM berbanding dengan sistem semasa. Skema yang dicadangkan secara signifikan telah mengurangkan PAPR pada kadar kekompleksan pengiraan yang rendah. Analisis perbandingan tanda aras terhadap kerja yang diterbitkan menunjukkan bahawa kaedah yang dicadangkan BIPSC-PTS dan

BIPBR-PTS mengatasi kerja yang diterbitkan dengan penambahbaikan 29.7% and 22.9% masing-masing. Di samping itu, tanda aras masa pengiraan menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua kaedah yang dicadangkan berjaya mengurangkan keperluan masa CPU bagi carian putaran fasa melalui faktor 78.5 dan 15.7 masing-masing dibandingkan dengan PTS tradisional.

©This item is protected by original copyright

Enhanced Partial Transmit Sequence Technique with Improved Partitioning and Phase Factor Methods for Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing Systems

ABSTRACT

Orthogonal Frequency-Division Multiplexing (OFDM) is an important technology that enables transmission at high data rates. However, a major problem of OFDM systems is the high peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) of OFDM signals. High PAPR drives the transmitter power amplifier (PA) into saturation, and causes nonlinear distortions. In order to improve the performance of the OFDM systems, PAPR should be significantly reduced at low computational complexities. Motivated by the requirement of efficient PAPR reduction techniques associated with multi-carrier systems, such as OFDM, this research explores the state-of-the-art PAPR reduction techniques and proposes new signal processing techniques that can achieve a minimum PAPR at lower computational complexities for given system parameters that are compatible with the appropriate standards. This thesis proposes a novel flexible and practical scheme for PAPR reduction, based on partial transmit sequence (PTS) technique, which is a well known probabilistic approach to the PAPR problem. This approach decreases the PAPR without any significant performance loss, adverse impact, or changes to the system. The proposed approach eliminates the known drawback of current PTS techniques, namely the high implementation complexity to achieve a significant PAPR reduction. In this thesis, three methods have been proposed to realize minimal PAPR with low computational complexity in an OFDM system. These approaches enhance both partitioning and phase rotation schemes within the PTS framework in order to minimize PAPR at lower computational complexities. The performances of the approaches were evaluated via computation of PAPR achieving these approaches and comparing them with the PAPR realized by traditional PTS schemes. The following are the principal contributions of this thesis. First, a new PTS partitioning method, namely the Blocked Interleaved Partitioning (BIP), which leads to better PAPR reduction performance, is proposed. Second, the Smallest Correlation Phase Vector (SCPV) and Blocked Random Phase Selection (BRPS) are proposed to determination the optimal phase rotations. Combination of proposed partitioning and phase rotation schemes resulted in two proposed PAPR reduction schemes namely BIPSC-PTS and BIPBR-PTS respectively. These approaches significantly reduce the PAPR of the system and simultaneously lower computational complexities. The performance of the proposed algorithms was verified via computer simulations. The results show that the proposed methods did improve the performance of the OFDM system over the current ones. The proposed schemes significantly lowered PAPR at lower computational complexities. Benchmarked comparative analysis against the latest published work revealed that the proposed methods BIPSC-PTS and BIPBR-PTS outperformed the published work with 29.7% and 22.9% improvements respectively. Also, the benchmarking of computational time showed that these two proposed methods reduced the requirement of CPU time for phase rotation searching by factors of 78.5 and 15.7, respectively compared to traditional PTS.