



**Warpage Minimisation on Side Arm using Response
Surface Methodology (RSM)**

by

Shazzuan Bin Shahari

1632421945

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Science in Manufacturing Systems Engineering

**School of Manufacturing Engineering
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to express my grateful to ALLAH SWT for the blessing given in finishing my research.

In preparing this dissertation, I have engaged with many people in helping me completing this research. First, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Mohd. Fathullah bin Ghazali for encouragement, guidance, advices and motivation. Without his continues support and interest, this dissertation could not be finished. Besides, a special thanks to Dr. Shayfull Zamree bin Abd Rahim and Mr. Mohd. Nasir bin Mat Saad who also guided me in completing this whole dissertation.

I acknowledge my sincere gratitude to my family for their love, all of the motivation spirits and sacrifice throughout my life. My father Shahari bin Saleh, my mother Nal binti Jab, my brother Shahrizal bin Shahari and all of my friends that always support, motivate and encourage me to success. There is no appropriate words which could properly describe my appreciation for their devotion, support and faith in my ability to attain my goals. Special thanks should be given to my panels for willing to spend their time focusing on my presentation, positive commends and suggestion which was crucial for the successful completion of this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
THESIS DECLARATION	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
ABSTRAK	xiv
ABSTRACT	xv
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction to the Research	1
1.2 Introduction of Catheter	1
1.2.1 Catheter Manufacturing Process	2
1.3 Background of Catheters Manufacturing Issues	4
1.4 Injection Moulding Process for New Process of Manufacturing Catheters	5
1.4.1 Injection Moulding Process: A New Process in Manufacturing Side Arm	5
1.4.2 Nylon PA66 as the New Material for the Side Arm	7
1.5 Problem Statement	8

1.6	Research Questions	9
1.7	Objectives	9
1.8	Scope of Study	10
1.9	Significance of Research	10
1.10	Summary of Chapter	11
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Warping Defect	12
2.3	Injection Moulding Process	14
2.3.1	Injection Moulding Machine	17
2.3.1.1	Injection Unit	17
2.3.1.2	Mould	18
2.3.1.3	Clamping system	19
2.3.2	Processing Parameters of Injection Moulding	19
2.3.2.1	Melt Temperature	20
2.3.2.2	Packing Pressure	20
2.3.2.3	Packing Time	20
2.3.2.4	Injection pressure	20
2.3.2.5	Injection velocity	20
2.3.2.6	Cooling time	21
2.4	Design of Experiment (DOE) Applications	21
2.5	Optimisation Methods used in Injection Moulding Processes	22
2.5.1	Response Surface Methodology	27
2.5.1.1	Optimisation Works using RSM	28
2.5.1.2	Combination of RSM with GA (RSM + GA)	31
2.5.1.3	Combination of RSM with Other Optimisation Methods	35
2.5.2	Taguchi Method	37
2.5.2.1	Optimisation Works using Taguchi Method	37

2.5.2.2	Integration of Taguchi Method with RSM (Taguchi + RSM)	44
2.6	Comparison between RSM and Taguchi	46
2.7	Other Optimisation Methods	51
2.8	Summary of Chapter	65
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY		
3.1	Introduction	66
3.2	Main Framework of this Research	66
3.3	3D Model Development of Side Arm	67
3.4	Acquiring Recommended Settings of Processing Parameters	68
3.4.1	Importation of 3D CAD Model into Moldflow	68
3.4.2	3D CAD Model Meshing	70
3.4.3	Execution of Fill Analysis	71
3.4.4	Execution of Fill + Pack Analysis	76
3.4.5	Execution of Cool (FEM) Analysis	76
3.4.6	Acquisition of Recommended Settings of Processing Parameters	76
3.4.7	Execution of Cool (FEM) + Fill + Pack + Warp Analysis	77
3.5	Design of Experiment	77
3.5.1	Determination on Range of Processing Parameters	78
3.5.2	List of Experiment	79
3.5.3	Appointment of Type of Regression Model in Regression Analysis	81
3.5.4	Development of Analysis of Variance Table	82
3.5.5	Examination of Every Processing Parameters	83
3.5.6	Elimination of Insignificance Processing Parameters (Backward Elimination Process)	83
3.5.7	Examination of Coefficient of Determination	84
3.5.8	Optimisation of Processing Parameters	84
3.6	Summary of Chapter	84

CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	Results on Simulation Analysis	85
4.2.1	Mesh Generation	85
4.2.2	Recommended Setting and Range of Processing Parameter	86
4.2.3	Simulation of Warpage based on Experimental Designs	88
4.2.3.1	Two Level Full Factorial Design	88
4.2.3.2	Face-centered Central Composite Design	91
4.3	Central Composite Design (CCD) Result	92
4.3.1	Regression Model	96
4.3.2	Relationship between Processing Parameters and Warpage	96
4.3.3	Significance of Processing Parameters	102
4.3.4	Optimisation of Processing Parameters	104
4.4	Comparison of Warpage	105
4.5	Summary of Chapter	106

CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

5.1	Conclusion	107
5.2	Future Works	108

REFERENCES	111
-------------------	-----

APPENDIX	118
-----------------	-----

LIST OF TABLES

NO		PAGE
2.1	Literature implementing Taguchi	22
2.2	Literature implementing RSM	23
2.3	Literature implementing artificial neural network	24
2.4	Literature implementing Kriging	24
2.5	Literature implementing Taguchi regarding warpage	25
2.6	Literature implementing RSM regarding warpage	26
2.7	Literature implementing Kriging regarding warpage	26
2.8	Researches of optimisation using RSM	54
2.9	Researches of optimisation using combination of RSM and GA	55
2.10	Researches of optimisation using combination of RSM and other methods	55
2.11	Researches of optimisation using Taguchi	56
2.12	Researches of optimisation using integration of Taguchi and RSM	60
2.13	Comparison of Taguchi and RSM in researches work	61
2.14	Number of articles reporting on the most significant factors from the literature reviews	62
2.15	Strengths and weaknesses of the optimisation tools	63
3 1	Material properties of Nylon PA66	72

3.2	Range of processing parameters	78
3.3	Experimental runs generated through Two Level Full Factorial Design and face-centered CCD	80
3.4	Explanation of each model terms	82
4.1	Results obtained from fill analysis	86
4.2	Results obtained from fill + pack analysis	87
4.3	Results obtained from cool (FEM) analysis	88
4.4	Range of Processing Parameters	88
4.5	Experimental runs developed based on Two Level Full Factorial Design	90
4.6	ANOVA of Two Level Full Factorial Design	91
4.7	Additional experimental runs based on face-centered CCD	92
4.8	ANOVA developed before backward elimination process	94
4.9	ANOVA developed after backward elimination process	95
4.10	Optimum setting of processing parameters	105
4.11	Comparison of warpage between optimum and recommended setting of processing parameter	106

LIST OF FIGURES

NO		PAGE
1.1	Indwelling catheter	2
1.2	Threading process on side arms	3
1.3	(a) Side arm, and (b) Former	3
1.4	(a) New side arm, and (b) Rejected side arm	4
1.5	Nylon PA66 side arm	8
2.1	(a) Default shape of injection moulded part, and (b) Warpage occurrence on injection moulded part	13
2.2	Main components in injection moulding machine	15
2.3	Example of cooling channels	16
2.4	Thin shell plate geometry	29
2.5	(a) Single gate mould design, and (b) Dual gate mould design	30
2.6	Polyvinyl chloride (L-bow)	31
2.7	The geometry of thin shell plastic part	32
2.8	Bus ceiling lamp base geometry	33
2.9	The geometry of digital camera case	33
2.10	Thin wall plate	34
2.11	Cell phone shell geometry design	35

2.12	(a) 2-plate mould side gate, and (b) 3-plate mould pin-point gate	37
2.13	Thin shallow part with pin-point gate	38
2.14	The geometries of thin plate with side gate	39
2.15	Thin shallow part with side gate	40
2.16	Thin shallow part with side gate	41
2.17	Ultra-thin plate part with pin-point gate	41
2.18	Ultra-thin plate part with pin-point gate	42
2.19	Thin shallow part with side gate	43
2.20	Cooling channel design for (a) Straight drilled, and (b) Conformal	44
2.21	The geometry of thin-shell plastic part	44
2.22	The design of thin-shell plastic part	45
2.23	The geometry of plastic part	48
2.24	Product geometry design	50
3.1	The main framework of methodology	67
3.2	3D model of side arm	68
3.3	Flowchart in acquiring recommended processing parameters by software	68
3.4	(a) 3D model of side arm alongside sprue, runner, gate and cooling channel, and (b) Zoomed in 3D model	70
3.5	Meshed 3D model	71

3.6	Simulation result of fill analysis (recommended setting)	73
3.7	Flowchart of optimisation using RSM	78
4.1	Results of mesh statistic	86
4.2	Simulation result of fill + pack analysis (recommended setting)	87
4.3	Simulation result of cooling time (recommended setting)	88
4.4	Relationship of packing time with the warpage	97
4.5	Relationship of cooling time with the warpage	98
4.6	Relationship of mould temperature with the warpage	99
4.7	Relationship of melt temperature with the warpage	100
4.8	Response surface of warpage between the effect of packing time and mould temperature	101
4.9	Response surface of warpage between the effect of cooling time and mould temperature	101
4.10	Percentage of contribution of each processing parameters	104

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2FI	Two factor interaction
-----	------------------------

3D	Three dimensional
ABS	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
AMI	Autodesk Moldflow Insight
ANN	Artificial neural network
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CAD	Computer aided drafting
CAE	Computer aided engineering
CCD	Central composite design
CH ₂ Cl ₂	Methylene Chloride
DOE	Design of experiment
GA	Genetic algorithm
PA	Polyamide
PC	Polycarbonate
PP	Polypropylene
PROB > F	Probability value
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimisation
PVC	polyvinylchloride
R ²	Coefficients of correlation
RSM	Response surface methodology
S/N	Signal to noise
SA	Simulated Annealing
SAO	Sequential approximation optimisation
SM	Shot material
TQM	Total Quality Management

LIST OF SYMBOLS

X_i	Various independent inputs
Y	Output or response
n	Design variables number
B_0	Unknown coefficient of constant
B_l	Unknown coefficient of linear
B_u	Unknown coefficient of quadratic
B_{ij}	Unknown coefficient of interaction of terms
D	Diameter of reciprocating screw (mm)
L_1	The length of shot material covered by the reciprocating screw in order to inject the molten material into the sprue, runner and gate in the mould (mm)
L_2	Length of shot material covered by the reciprocating screw in order to inject the molten material into side arm cavity in the mould (mm)
L_T	Total length of shot material covered by the reciprocating screw in order to inject the molten material into the sprue, runner, gate and the side arm cavity in the mould (mm)
L_{MS}	Length covered by reciprocating screw in order to pack the part with additional molten material (mm)
\vec{V}	Ram speed (mm/s)
\dot{V}	Volume flow rate of the injected molten material (mm ³ /s)
A	Cross-sectional area of reciprocating screw (mm ²)

Meminimumkan Keledingan pada Side Arm Menggunakan Response Surface Methodology (RSM)

ABSTRAK

Proses pembuatan alat perubatan seperti kateter memerlukan penggunaan acuan digelar 'side arm', melalui operasi pencelupan susu getah. Proses pembuatan side arm yang diperbuat daripada bahan Nylon PA66 melalui proses pengacuan suntikan sering menghasilkan kecacatan yang tidak diingini terutamanya keledingan. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menentukan parameter pemprosesan yang optimum bagi proses pengacuan suntikan 'side arm' yang membawa kepada pengurangan kecacatan keledingan tersebut. Parameter pemprosesan yang dipertimbangkan adalah tekanan pemampatan, masa pemampatan, masa penyejukan, suhu acuan dan suhu leburan. Selain itu, kajian ini juga cuba untuk mengenal pasti parameter pemprosesan yang paling berpengaruh terhadap keledingan. Model tiga dimensi 'side arm' dianalisis terlebih dahulu melalui simulasi perisian Moldflow untuk menjangka kejadian keledingan pada 'side arm' dan parameter-parameter berkenaan kemudiannya dioptimumkan melalui kaedah 'Response Surface Methodology'. Hasilnya, tetapan optimum parameter pemprosesan yang diperolehi bagi tekanan pemampatan, masa pemampatan, masa penyejukan, suhu acuan dan suhu leburan adalah 76.57 MPa, 0.60 s, 8.30 s, 34.45 °C dan 275.00 °C. Selain itu, isu keledingan pada 'side arm' didapati paling banyak dipengaruhi oleh parameter masa penyejukan dengan kadar sumbangan sebanyak 4.01 % berbanding parameter pemprosesan yang lain. Keledingan yang dihasilkan berdasarkan tetapan parameter pemprosesan yang disyorkan oleh simulasi perisian juga dibandingkan dengan keledingan yang dihasilkan berdasarkan tetapan optimum parameter pemprosesan. Kesimpulannya, keledingan dapat dikurangkan kira-kira 22.8 % selepas pengoptimuman dibuat berbanding tetapan parameter pemprosesan yang asal.

Warpage Minimisation on Side Arm using Response Surface Methodology (RSM)

ABSTRACT

Catheter manufacturing process requires the use of mould called side arm through latex dipping process. Manufacturing process of a nylon PA66 - side arm via an injection moulding often leads to undesirable warpage. This research tends to determine the optimum processing parameters that can be used for manufacturing side arms in minimizing the warpage issues. The considered processing parameters were packing pressure, packing time, cooling time, mould temperature and melt temperature. Apart from that, this research also tries to find the most significant factor affecting the warpage. The side arm model was developed via computer aided drafting (CAD) software and the whole analyses on the warpage was executed via a simulation software while the parameters concerned were optimised through response surface methodology. As a result, the optimised parameter settings are 76.57 MPa, 0.60 s, 8.30 s, 34.45 °C and 275.00 °C acquired for packing pressure, packing time, cooling time, mould temperature and melt temperature respectively. On top of that, cooling time has been found to be the most influential parameter affecting the warpage with contribution factor of 4.01 % compared to others. The warpage based on parameter settings recommended by the simulation software has also been compared with that after the optimisation. It can be concluded that the warpage after optimisation is 22.8 % reduced compared to the original recommended setting.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction to the Research

This research is an extended work based on a collaboration project between a urinary-catheter manufacturer located in Perlis, Malaysia and School of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Perlis in 2009/2010. This project has been initiated between both parties since the manufacturers had an issue with one of its dipping mould called as side arm in manufacturing catheters. A research group was invited to take part in solving the problem that the company had in reducing the reject rate of the side arm; an aluminium part that was used as a mould in dipping processes for manufacturing catheters. At the end of that project, a new design of side arm had been proposed which was made from very-much cheaper plastic materials that solved design issue of the traditional aluminium side arm (Fathullah, Shayfull, Azaman, Shuaib, & Manan 2010; Fathullah, Shayfull, Shuaib, Nasir, & Salleh, 2011a). In this work, the new design of side arm was analysed further in reducing warpage issues occurred during its manufacturing process.

1.2 Introduction of Catheter

A catheter (in this case an indwelling Foley-type catheter) is a rubber-based tool that aids patients transfer their urine from urine bladder during urination. The process of

depleting the urine is done by inserting the tube into the bladder through a duct called urethra and then the catheter is allowed to stay within the bladder. The catheter is extensively demanded globally and practically applied by trained medical specialist and surgeons. There are three common types of catheter which are straight, indwelling and suprapubic catheters (Fathullah et al., 2010). The example of indwelling catheter is shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1: Indwelling catheter (Fathullah et al., 2010)

1.2.1 Catheter Manufacturing Process

As reported in previous work published (Fathullah et al., 2010), the process of manufacturing catheter begins with a combination of two distinct parts namely aluminium former and side arm employed as the mould for the medical tool. The operator then put the former-side arm mould onto a jig that is readily available. After that, a rubber thread is put into the hole within the side arm (see Figure 1.2). At this stage, the process is called threading where the rubber thread is secured at the X1 spot and afterward the thread drawn out from the side arm until it is fastened at the X2 spot, as shown in Figure 1.3. The next stage is the lubrication of the mould which is to prevent the latex from attaching to the mould by immersing the mould into a type of

solution called METHCELL. Then, the former-side arm catheter mould is dipped into latex where this particular process is called latex dipping process. The process is then followed by the curing at 60 °C which is aimed to dry the latex coating surrounding the rubber thread and the former-side arm mould. Later, the coating of latex becomes hardened around the rubber thread and former-side arm mould. This hardened latex coating is actually known as catheter. The catheter then finally pulled out from the former-side arm mould (Fathullah et al., 2010).



Figure 1.2: Threading process on side arms



Figure 1.3: (a) Side arm, and (b) Former (Fathullah et al., 2010)

1.3 Background of Catheters Manufacturing Issues

The real problem of the catheter production arises in the threading process where the thread is difficult to be drawn out and is easy to tear while being inserted and pulled out manually from the side arm. Therefore, a piece of the thread usually left inside the side arms which lead the whole side arm needed to be fired at 600 °C to melt the rubber thread left inside. When the firing process is repeatedly done onto the side arm, the structure of the material is affected causing shrinkages and functional failures. The new and rejected side arm due to repetitive burning process are shown in Figure 1.4 (a) and (b) respectively. This particular issue is reported to cost the catheter manufacturer RM 9000.00 per annum, in order to replace 1000 units of the new side arms. The other issue causing the rubber thread to get trapped inside the side arms is due to different diameter of rubber thread and inner hole at the edge of the side arm which are 1.10 mm and 0.85 mm respectively. The aluminium side arm is deliberately designed to avoid the latex solution from entering inside the side arms during the dipping process takes place (Fathullah et al., 2010).




Figure 1.4: (a) New side arm, and (b) Rejected side arm (Fathullah et al., 2010)

1.4 Injection Moulding Process for New Process of Manufacturing Catheters

The research work reported herein is on the new side arm which is made through injection moulding process as reported by (Fathullah et al., 2010). It was reported that injection moulding process was the correct solution to replace machining operation in order to manufacture the side arm (Fathullah et al., 2010; Fathullah et al., 2011a). This decision was made since the new design of the side arm needed to fulfil several criteria e.g. the inner hole diameter at the tip of side arm needs to be at 0.85 mm and at the same time the inner hole diameter must be made larger. Further advantages of choosing an injection moulding process rather than the conventional machining processes are discussed in 1.4.1.

1.4.1 Injection Moulding Process: A New Process in Manufacturing Side Arm

There are four steps needed in machining processes of manufacturing conventional aluminium side arms. The steps of are as below;

- i) Drilling process (to create a through hole)
- ii) Turning process (using lathe machine to shape the body of the side arm)
- iii) Metal Tip Insertion (a metal tube inserted to control the hole size at the tip of the side arm)
- iv) Bending operation (to create an appropriate bending angle on the side arm)

The bending operation causes the inner hole diameter at the bending location become tighter than it was before bending takes place. The diameter of the hole at the

curved location was smaller than that of the rubber thread (0.35 mm diameter) therefore it led the rubber thread to get trapped when it was pulled out by the operator after the curing process (Fathullah et al., 2010).

Therefore, applying this process in making side arm can reduce the risk of the rubber thread to tear-off inside the side arm as the diameter hole inside the side arm can now be maintained at 0.85 mm which is wider than the inner hole at the tip of the side arm, making the rubber thread to be smoothly inserted inside the side arm. The diameter of the hole is very crucial to be controlled as if the diameter at the tip is left wider than 0.85 mm, it will allow latex solution to get into the hole and therefore the whole product will be rejected. This is the main reason why injection moulding process is selected as the new process to replace conventional side arm production. The benefit that come from this is that the new side arm does not has to undergo heating process at 600 °C in order to melt the trapped thread (Fathullah et al., 2010).

There are a number of additional benefits using injection moulding process such as low cost, short duration of cycle time, large capacity of production, excellent quality of surface dimension and precise tolerance (C. C. Chen, Su, & Lin, 2009). Apart from that, injection moulding process is also commonly used due to its ability in producing parts with complicated shapes (Nasir, Ismail, Shayfull, & Fairuz, 2015). Although this process is the most preferable in producing plastic materials, manufacturers regards it as a tough process in maintaining the quality at the cheapest cost. The rise of shape complexity demand and factor manipulation therefore leads to higher production cost and at the same time influencing the product quality (Kumar, Gaur, Kasdekar, & Agrawal, 2015).

1.4.2 Nylon PA66 as the New Material for the Side Arm

The material used in this report is from the type of Nylon PA66. The material is selected as it was reported to have no reaction with a solution called METHCELL solution; the solution used as the lubricant in order to prevent the latex from sticking onto the side arm during dipping processes (Fathullah et al., 2010). The selection of the Nylon PA66 for the side arm would be cancelled out if it reacted with the METHCELL solution. Besides, Nylon PA66 does not react with Methylene Chloride (CH_2Cl_2) which is used as a solvent on the latex. This criterion is very important as the material on side arm may have a chemical reaction and therefore pollutes the whole latex solution when the process is taking place. Nylon PA66 has also been tested and passed the aging test where it is dry heated at $70\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in a furnace for seven consecutive days. This resistivity test was done on the material as the side arm needs to be dried at $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ after it is immersed in METHCELL solution as mentioned earlier. Moreover, it was selected due to a few other advantages it has such as the capability to reduce the rate of oxidation and thermal deterioration during its exposure to highly temperature for long extent of time. Besides, the physical properties of this material offers permanent enhancement under disclosure to continuous heat. Furthermore, this polymeric material is considered relevant for medical appliances which is concurred by Birkinshaw, Buggy and Daly (1987) who suggested that it can be utilized in medical fracture fixation utensils especially in certain situation (Fathullah et al., 2010).

On top of the reason why the material is selected, Nylon PA66 offers lower cost as compared to the previous material used. For instance, a side arm made by Nylon PA66 only cost RM 1.50 including the cost of manufacturing and assembling whereas

the cost of a side arm produced from aluminium is much higher which is RM 9.00 (Fathullah et al., 2010). Figure 1.5 shows the Nylon PA66 side arm.



Figure 1.5: Nylon PA66 side arm

1.5 Problem Statement

Although manufacturing side arm via an injection moulding process has solved the issue of reject rates, however it was reported that warpage occurred on the Nylon PA66 side arm produced (Fathullah et al., 2011a). An attempt was made previously by Fathullah et al. (2011a) to reduce the defect occurred on the side arm by implementing a classical method, Taguchi in optimising processing parameters from affecting warpage on the side arm. However, a major issue from the Taguchi technique is that it is not sufficient for full prediction yet it needs further verification from a different optimisation method. A new optimisation method is needed to answer the significant parameters as well as to determine best set of parameters influencing the warpage occurrences. Taguchi is also unable to anticipate on the levels in-between the given values rather than only selecting the exact levels set in the Taguchi orthogonal array. As previous studies have yet to anticipate the best combination parameters out of it therefore, another optimisation method is needed to anticipate the exact parameter levels influencing the warpage.

1.6 Research Questions

This research is intended to answer the following questions;

- i) What are the most significant parameters influencing the warpage issue on the side arm products after optimisation using response surface methodology?
- ii) What is the best setting of parameters to minimise warpage issues on the side arm parts after optimisation of response surface methodology?
- iii) How much warpage can be reduced after optimisation performed on the side arm parts compared to the recommended setting by computer simulation studies?

1.7 Objectives

The aim of this research is to minimise the warpage issue in the Nylon PA66 side arm products produced by injection moulding process. This aim can be achieved by the following specific objectives;

- i) To investigate the most significant factor influencing the warpage on the side arm parts.
- ii) To evaluate the best setting of processing parameters to minimise warpage on the side arm parts.
- iii) To compare the warpage value on the side arm parts after optimisation against the recommended settings from simulation studies.