



**Development of Inductive Coil Sensor based on  
Rogowski coil for Arcing Fault in Medium Voltage  
(MV) Measurement**

by

**Ayob Nazmy Bin Nanyan  
(1640912275)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

**Faculty of Electrical Engineering Technology  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2020

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis would not have been possible without first and foremost, the blessing of Allah, and the support extended by all the people involved. First and foremost, I would like to thank my main research supervisor, Assoc Prof Dr. Muzamir Isa for his valuable guidance and advice. He inspired me greatly to work in this thesis. He was very supportive at all times.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor of my research, Ts. Dr. Mohamad Nur Khairul Hafizi Bin Rohani who always provided his precious comments, guidance, ideas, advice, and encouragement throughout the development of this dissertation. I also would like to thank to Assoc. Prof Dr Haziah Abdul Hamid who is also my co-supervisor and Dean for always following up on the progress of my research and thesis.

My sincere appreciation goes out to Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) for sponsoring my PhD scholarship under *Skim Latihan Akademik Bumiputra* (SLAB) and Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia as well.

A special thank you also goes out to Dr Nur Afifah Shuhada Rosmi for her guidance in developing the modeling sensor in FEM. Not forgetting my research members and friends who always give ideas and advice to me. I also would like to thanks to Mr Wan Mokhdzani Wan Nor Haimi for his idea and support during thesis writing. Not forgot to the laboratory Chargeman (technical staff), Mr Shukur Abdullah and Mr Azliman Musa for their advice during operating and handling high voltage equipment. They have always been flexible and supportive. Their valuable comments highly contributed to this study.

Last but not least, an honorable mention goes to my wife, Nurul Izza Mohd Nor, my son Muhammad Adam Izhan Bin Ayob Nazmy and also for my family especially to my mother, Z. Roaini Ismail, family in-law and siblings for their understanding and support in completing this thesis. With their kind help and patience, the thesis has been completed comfortably with less unwanted occasions.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION OF THESIS</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Research Objectives	6
1.4 Scopes of Research	6
1.5 Dissertation organisation	8
<b>CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Overvoltage Theory	11
2.2.1 Features of Overvoltage	15
2.2.2 Arc Fault Overvoltage	19
2.2.2.1 Series Arc Fault	20

2.2.2.2	Parallel Arc Fault	22
2.2.2.3	Arc Fault Energy	22
2.2.3	Cause of Arc Fault	23
2.2.3.1	Mechanisms Gradually Leading	23
2.2.3.2	Immediate Causes of Arc Ignition	24
2.3	Overvoltages Detection Techniques	24
2.4	Arc Fault Measuring Sensors Application	30
2.4.1	Review on D-Dot Sensor Principle	30
2.4.2	Review on Electromagnetic Sensors-UHF/VHF Antenna	32
2.4.3	Review on High Frequency Current Transformer (HFCT)	32
2.4.4	Review on Rogowski Coil in General	33
2.5	Review on Types of Rogowski coil (RC) as measuring instrument	35
2.5.1	Rogowski Coil Application for Electrical Fault Detection	40
2.5.2	Rogowski Coil Application for Lightning Current Detection Sensor	45
2.6	Rogowski coil Model, Geometrical and Cross-sections	47
2.6.1	Rectangular cross-section	51
2.6.2	Circular cross-section	51
2.6.3	Oval cross-section	52
2.7	Rogowski Coil Sensor Construction as Arc Fault Sensor	53
2.7.1	Geometry	59
2.7.2	Core	60
2.7.3	Number of Turns	60
2.7.4	Winding	60
2.7.5	Return Loop	61
2.7.6	Termination Resistance, $R_T$	61
2.8	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) and Polylactic Acid (PLA) Material	63

2.9	Summary	65
<b>CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>68</b>
3.1	Introduction	68
3.2	Flow of Research of Arc Fault sensor	68
3.3	Modelling RC Sensor using Finite Element Method (FEM)	72
3.3.1	Rectangular Cross-section Modelling and Simulation	74
3.3.2	Circular Cross-section modelling and simulation	75
3.3.3	Oval cross-section modelling and simulation	77
3.4	Mutual Inductance Analysis	78
3.5	Rectangular cross-section RC Sensor Fabrication as Arcing Fault Detection Sensor	80
3.6	Experimental Measurement Setup	85
3.7	RC Sensor Parameter Identification and Characterization	88
3.8	RC Sensor performance measurement as arc fault detection sensor	91
3.8.1	Geometrical Effect of RC Sensor for Arc Fault Overvoltage Measurement	91
3.8.2	Frequency Response Analysis	92
3.8.3	Termination Resistance, $R_T$ Effect	93
3.9	Electromagnetic Transient Program/Alternative Transient Program (EMTP/ATPDraw)	95
3.9.1	Modelling Arc Fault Signal in EMTP/ATPDraw	96
3.9.2	Modelling RC and power network in EMTP/ATPDraw	99
3.9.3	Comparison RC Sensor Performance with another RC	100
3.10	Summary	102
<b>CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS &amp; DISCUSSION</b>		<b>103</b>
4.1	Introduction	103
4.2	Effect of Geometrical Analysis Magnetic Flux Density	103

4.2.1	Evaluation of Rectangular cross-section	104
4.2.2	Evaluation of Circular Cross-section	106
4.2.3	Evaluation of Oval Cross-section	108
4.3	Comparative Study Between RC Geometrical	110
4.4	Further Analysis of Rectangular cross-section RC Sensor	111
4.5	Mutual inductance analysis	113
4.6	Rogowski coil Characterization Analysis	116
4.6.1	Self-coil capacitance ( $C_c$ ), Self-coil inductance ( $L_c$ ) and Self-coil Resistance ( $R_c$ )	117
4.7	RC performance Analysis for arc fault detection sensor in the experimental measurement	118
4.7.1	Frequency Response Analysis	119
4.7.2	Geometrical Effect of RC Sensor for Arc Fault Measurement	121
4.8	Electromagnetic Transient Program/Alternative Transient Program (EMTP/ATPDraw) Analysis	129
4.8.1	Termination Resistance, $R_T$ Effect Analysis	130
4.8.2	Comparison RC Sensor Performance with another RC sensors	131
4.9	Summary	134
<b>CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION</b>		<b>136</b>
5.1	Conclusion	136
5.2	Research Findings	137
5.3	Recommendation and Future Works	139
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>141</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>151</b>
<b>APPENDIX A</b>		<b>152</b>
<b>APPENDIX B</b>		<b>153</b>

<b>APPENDIX C</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>APPENDIX D</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>APPENDIX E</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>APPENDIX F</b>	<b>160</b>

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>PAGE</b>	
Table 2.1	Classes and shapes of overvoltages- Standard voltage shapes and standard withstand tests (Hase, 2012)	13
Table 2.2	Correspondence between events and most critical types of overvoltage generated (Hase, 2012)	14
Table 2.3	Summary of Overvoltage Sensor Technology	29
Table 2.4	The comparison summary of Arc Fault Measuring sensor	35
Table 2.5	Summary of Rogowski coil Application for Fault Current and High Current Sensor detection	45
Table 2.6	Summary of Rogowski Coil Application for Lightning Current Detection Sensor	47
Table 2.7	General Properties of ABS (Manish et al., 2018)	64
Table 2.8	The material properties of ABS and PLA (Roy & Mukhopadhyay, 2020)	65
Table 3.1	The rectangular cross-section RC simulation parameters in FEM for magnetic flux density analysis with various number of turns, N	74
Table 3.2	The circular cross-section RC simulation parameters in FEM for magnetic flux density analysis	76
Table 3.3	The oval cross-section RC simulation parameters in FEM for magnetic flux density analysis	77
Table 3.4	The RC sensor with various geometrical parameters for Mutual inductance, $M_c$ study with N range 10-100 turns	80

Table 3.5	Simulation Parameters of rectangular cross-section RC for prototype fabrication using FEM analysis software	82
Table 3.6	The Rectangular RC Sensor Prototype Parameters and Fabrication using 3D printer	83
Table 3.7	Arc Fault Generator Parameters	88
Table 3.8	Geometrical of RC sensor parameters developed by researchers for comparison purposes in EMTP/ATPDraw	101
Table 4.1	FEM simulation result for rectangular cross-section analysis in term of magnetic flux density, B	105
Table 4.2	FEM simulation result for circular cross-section analysis in term of magnetic flux density, B	107
Table 4.3	FEM simulation result for oval cross-section analysis in term of magnetic flux density, B	109
Table 4.4	The rectangular cross-section RC simulation result using FEM analysis for RCprototype fabrication selection	112
Table 4.5	The mutual inductance calculation result of various the Rectangular RC cross-section and number of turns	114
Table 4.6	The comparison calculation and FEM simulation results between mutual inductance, $M_c$ and magnetic flux density, B of all RC prototypes	116
Table 4.7	The measurement results of RC prototype coil parameters $L_c$ , $C_c$ and $R_c$ .	118
Table 4.8	The comparison between PSIM simulation result and calculation result of frequency response (MHz) analysis ( $R_T=1\text{ k}\Omega$ )	120
Table 4.9	Experimental result of RC output performance for arcing fault measurement at 3-kV breakdown voltage	128

Table 4.10	Termination resistance effect over coil voltage and sensitivity for arc fault measurement using EMTP/ATPDraw simulation of $50\Omega$ , $125\Omega$ and $500\Omega$ for RC2A5	131
Table 4.11	Termination resistance effect over Resonance Frequency for arc fault measurement using EMTP/ATPDraw simulation of $50\Omega$ , $125\Omega$ and $500\Omega$ for RC2A5	131
Table 4.12	RC sensor performance evaluation and comparison result for arc fault analysis using EMTP/ATPDraw in term of coil voltage and resonant frequency at $R_T=1\text{ k}\Omega$	132
Table 4.13	RC sensor performance evaluation and comparison result for arc fault analysis using EMTP/ATPDraw in term of sensitivity at $R_T=1\text{ k}\Omega$	133

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>PAGE</b>	
Figure 1.1	The failure cable caused by arc fault during arc length measurement (Cheng, Craighead, & Cress, 2017).	3
Figure 1.2	The Single-line diagram of arcing fault sensor (RC1, RC2 and RC3) placement in the 33kV/11kV substation	4
Figure 2.1	(a) Single phase-to-ground voltage; (b) Fundamental ferro resonance; (c) Mean amplitude curve of single phase-to-ground voltage; (d) Mean amplitude curve of fundamental resonance (J. Wang, Yang, Sima, Yuan, & Zahn, 2011).	16
Figure 2.2	(a) High frequency ferro resonance; (b) Sub-frequency ferro resonance; (c) Mean amplitude curve of high frequency ferro resonance; (d) Mean amplitude curve of sub-frequency ferro resonance (J. Wang et al., 2011).	17
Figure 2.3	(a) Arc grounding; (b) Capacitor switching; (c) Mean amplitude curve of arc grounding; (d) Mean amplitude curve of capacitor switching (J. Wang et al., 2011).	18
Figure 2.4	(a) Lightning; (b) Line switching; (c) Transient oscillation of lightning; (d) Transient oscillation of line switching; (e) Mean amplitude curve of lightning; (f) Mean amplitude curve of line switching (J. Wang et al., 2011).	18
Figure 2.5	Typical of series fault.	21
Figure 2.6	Series arc fault effect on bus-bar due to oxidisation to simulate a bad connection, which results in a local heating and a higher resistivity (Müller, Tenbohlen, Maier, & Anheuser, 2010)	21
Figure 2.7	Typical of parallel fault.	22

Figure 2.8	Calibration of the sensor (Z. Wang et al., 2014); (a) Measurement result under long wave tail, (b) Measurement result under step front wave.	25
Figure 2.9	Test Circuit of non-contact Electro-optic sensor (R. Han et al., 2014).	27
Figure 2.10	The system structure (Xiufang Jia et al., 2002).	28
Figure 2.11	The Rogowski coil structure and construction (Rezaee & Heydari, 2008) .	37
Figure 2.12	Rogowski coil with integrator (Karel Draxler, Styblíkova, Hlavacek, & Prochazka, 2011).	37
Figure 2.13	PCB Rogowski coil Design (Ljubomir A Kojovic, 2013)	41
Figure 2.14	Integrated Protection, Control, and Metering Functions (Ljubomir A Kojovic, 2013)	42
Figure 2.15	High Power test setup(Dos Santos et al., 2012)	44
Figure 2.16	(a) A Rogowski coil structure , (b) Lumped model of Rogowski coil (Samimi et al., 2015)	48
Figure 2.17	Equivalent circuit diagram of the lumped parameter model with improvement (Zhou Li et al., 2011)	49
Figure 2.18	The equivalent circuit of Distributed Model of Rogowski coil (Samimi et al., 2015)	50
Figure 2.19	Distributed Model of Rogowski coil (R. Y. Han et al., 2015)	50
Figure 2.20	Rectangular cross-section of Rogowski coil (Styblíková & Draxler, 2011)	51
Figure 2.21	Circular cross section of Rogowski coil (Styblíková & Draxler, 2011)	52

Figure 2.22	Oval cross-section of Rogowski coil (Styblíková & Draxler, 2011)	53
Figure 2.23	Dimensions and construction of a toroidal coil (Ida, 2015)	54
Figure 2.24	The view of RC Rectangular cross-section	56
Figure 2.25	The RC lumped equivalent circuit for arc fault sensor application (Muhammad Shafiq, Hussain, et al., 2014)	57
Figure 2.26	Modes of Rogowski coil operation based on damping value (Muhammad Shafiq, Lehtonen, et al., 2014)	62
Figure 3.1	Overall research objectives chart	69
Figure 3.2	RC Sensor Design and Development Flowchart as Arc Fault Overvoltage sensor	71
Figure 3.3	RC Prototype Clamp on three core 11 kV 240 mm <sup>2</sup> underground XLPE Insulated Unarmored Cable	73
Figure 3.4	The Three Core 240 mm <sup>2</sup> underground XLPE Unarmored Cable	73
Figure 3.5	The illustration of rectangular cross-section and parameters, (b) rectangular cross-section modelling in FEM analysis software	74
Figure 3.6	(a) The illustration of circular cross-sectional and parameters, (b) Circular cross-section modelling in FEM analysis software	76
Figure 3.7	(a) The illustration of oval cross-sectional and parameters, (b) Oval cross-section modelling in FEM analysis software	77
Figure 3.8	Flow chart process of redesigning the RC rectangular cross-section for magnetic flux density analysis using FEM	81

Figure 3.9	The 3D design of rectangular cross-section RC using SolidWorks	84
Figure 3.10	The rectangular cross-section RC prototype fabrication process using 3D printer in the lab	84
Figure 3.11	(a) The RC prototype for arc fault detection sensor come with N turns using ABS as the body or shell and (b) Bayonet Neill-Concelman (BNC) connector with coaxial ( $50\Omega$ ) cable and RC terminal output (T1 and T2).	85
Figure 3.12	Single line diagram for series connection of arcing fault generator experimental setup	87
Figure 3.13	Experimental measurement setup for arcing fault measurement with breakdown voltage at 3-kV	87
Figure 3.14	Equivalent circuit for capacitance value $C_c$ measurement	90
Figure 3.15	High vottage test setup for RC parameters identification for coil-capactitance, $C_c$ value	90
Figure 3.16	Frequency response analysis RC on lumped parameter circuit using PSIM software	93
Figure 3.17	An equivalent circuit for frequency response analysis by inserting termination resistance, $R_T$	94
Figure 3.18	The measurement setup for frequency response analysis by inserting termination resistance, $R_T$ across T1 and T2	95
Figure 3.19	The illustrations of single line diagram for arc fault occur at the 11/415kV XLPE underground cable jointing between two sub stations.	96
Figure 3.20	The modelling of arcing fault measurement circuit in EMTP/ATPDraw; (a) Modelling of 33/11 kV electrical network, (b) Arcing fault model, (c) RC sensor model, (d)	

	Terminating resistor and (e) Oscilloscope cable resistance and measurement	100
Figure 4.1	The relationship between Magnetic Density vs number of turns for rectangular cross-section RT 1 to RT 9	105
Figure 4.2	The relationship between magnetic density vs number of turns for circular cross-section CR1 to CR9	107
Figure 4.3	The relationship between magnetic flux density and number of turns for oval cross-section OV 1 to OV 9	109
Figure 4.4	The Geometrical Comparison Between Rectangular, Circular and Oval of Rogowski coil using box plots analysis	111
Figure 4.5	The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for boxplot plot analysis of RT1A to RT9A	113
Figure 4.6	The analysis of variance (ANOVA) for boxplot plot analysis of mutual inductance for the mean value of R1 to R5	115
Figure 4.7	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A1	120
Figure 4.8	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A2	121
Figure 4.9	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A3	121
Figure 4.10	Performance Analysis of RC2A1 at 3-kV breakdown voltage in time-domain and frequency-domain plot	123
Figure 4.11	Performance Analysis of RC2A2 at 3-kV breakdown voltage in time- domain and frequency- domain plot	123
Figure 4.12	Performance Analysis of RC2A3 at 3-kV breakdown voltage in time-domain and frequency- domain plot	124

Figure 4.13	Performance Analysis of RC2A4 at 3-kV breakdown voltage in time- domain and frequency- domain plot	125
Figure 4.14	Performance Analysis of RC2A5 at 3-kV breakdown voltage in time- domain and frequency- domain plot	126
Figure 4.15	Performance Analysis of RC5A at 3-kV breakdown voltage in time- domain and frequency-domain plot	126
Figure 4.16	Performance Analysis of RC5B at 3-kV breakdown voltage in (a) time- domain, (b)frequency-domain	127
Figure 4.17	Experimental result of RC sensor prototypes in term of frequency resonance for arcing fault measurement at 3-kV breakdown voltage	128
Figure 4.18	Experimental result of RC sensor prototypes in term of sensitivity for arcing fault measurement at 3-kV breakdown voltage	129
Figure 4.19	The Resonance Frequency of RC sensor comparison result (Resonance Frequency) for arc fault analysis using EMTP/ATPDraw in term of coil voltage and resonant frequency at $R_T=1\text{ k}\Omega$	133
Figure 4.20	The sensitivity of RC sensor comparison result (Resonance Frequency) for arc fault analysis using EMTP/ATPDraw in term of coil voltage and resonant frequency at $R_T=1\text{ k}\Omega$	134
Figure 5.1	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A1 using PSIM Bode Plot	160
Figure 5.2	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A2 using PSIM Bode Plot	160
Figure 5.3	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A3 using PSIM Bode Plot	161

Figure 5.4	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A4 using PSIM Bode Plot	161
Figure 5.5	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC2A5 using PSIM Bode Plot	161
Figure 5.6	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC5A using PSIM Bode Plot	162
Figure 5.7	The underdamped frequency response analysis of RC lumped parameter model for RC5B using PSIM Bode Plot	162

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
AC	Alternating Current
ACD	Asymmetrical Conical Dipole
AFD	Arc Fault Detector
AFI	Arc Fault Interrupter
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
BNC	Bayonet Neill-Concelman
CB	Circuit Breaker
CR	Circular
CVT	Capacitor Voltage Transformer
D-HFCT	Differential High-Frequency Current Transformer
DTOA	Difference Time of Arrival
EMF	Electromagnetic Field
EMTP-ATP	Electromagnetic Transient Program-Alternative Transient Program
FEM	Finite Element Method
FFF	Fused Filament Fabrication
FFO	Fast Front Overvoltages
FPD	Flush Plate Dipole
GHz	Gigahertz
GIS	Gas Insulated Switchgear
HF	High Frequency
HECT	High-Frequency Current Transformer
HSD	Hollow Spherical Dipole
Hz	Hertz
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
IOPC	Integrator and Integrated-Optic Pockels Cell
kA	Kilo Ampere
kV	Kilo volt
LCC	Line Communicates Converter
LV	Low Voltage
MA	Mega Ampere
MATLAB	Matrix Laboratory

MHz	Mega-Hertz
ms	Milliseconds
MV	Medium Voltage
ns	Nanoseconds
OV	Oval
OV1	Oval cross-section 1
OV2	Oval cross-section 2
OV3	Oval cross-section 3
OV4	Oval cross-section 4
OV5	Oval cross-section 5
OV6	Oval cross-section 6
OV7	Oval cross-section 7
OV8	Oval cross-section 8
OV9	Oval cross-section 9
OV10	Oval cross-section 10
PCB	Printed Circuit Boards
PD	Partial Discharge
PE	Polyethylene
PLA	Poly Lactic Acid
PSIM	Power Simulator
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
R1	RC Rectangular 1
R2	RC Rectangular 2
R3	RC Rectangular 3
R4	RC Rectangular 4
R5	RC Rectangular 5
RC	Rogowski Coil
RC2A1	Rectangular RC sensor prototype with 20 turns
RC2A2	Rectangular RC sensor prototype with 30 turns
RC2A3	Rectangular RC sensor prototype with 50 turns
RC2A4	Rectangular RC sensor prototype with 90 turns
RC2A5	Rectangular RC sensor prototype with 100 turns
RC5A	Rectangular RC sensor prototype with 20 turns (good in term of mutual inductance)

RC5B	Rectangular RC sensor prototype with 30 turns (good in term of mutual inductance)
RT	Rectangular cross-section
RT1	Rectangular cross section 1
RT2	Rectangular cross section 2
RT3	Rectangular cross section 3
RT4	Rectangular cross section 4
RT5	Rectangular cross section 5
RT6	Rectangular cross section 6
RT7	Rectangular cross section 7
RT8	Rectangular cross section 8
RT9	Rectangular cross section 9
RT1A	Rectangular cross section 1A
RT2A	Rectangular cross section 2A
RT3A	Rectangular cross section 3A
RT4A	Rectangular cross section 4A
RT5A	Rectangular cross section 5A
RT6A	Rectangular cross section 6A
RT7A	Rectangular cross section 7A
RT8A	Rectangular cross section 8A
RT9A	Rectangular cross section 9A
SFO	Slow Front Overvoltages
SMA	Sub Miniature Version A
T1	Terminal 1
T2	Terminal 2
TNB	Tenaga Nasional Berhad
TOV	Temporary Overvoltages
USFA	United States Fire Administration
UHF	Ultra-High Frequency
VCB	Vacuum Circuit-Breakers
VFFO	Very Fast Front Overvoltages
VHF	Very High Frequency
XLPE	Cross-Linked Polyethylene

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$B$	Magnetic Flux Density
$C_c$	Self-coil Capacitance
$C_p$	Probe Capacitance
$C_{ex}$	External Capacitor
$d$	Radius of The Wire
$d_{rc}$	RC Core Diameter or width
$d_w$	Diameter of Conductor
$f_r$	Resonance Frequency
$g$	Time-Varying Arc Conductance
$G$	Stationary Arc Conductance
$h$	Height
$I$	Current
$i_{arc}$	Instantaneous Arc Current
$L_c$	Self-coil inductance
$l_w$	Length of The Copper Wire
$M_c$	Mutual inductance
$N$	Number of Turn
$\rho_c$	Copper Resistivity
$pF$	Pico Farad
$r$	Resistive Component Per Arc Length
$R_c$	Self-coil resistance
$R_{in}$	Inner Radius
$R_{out}$	Outer Radius
$R_T$	Termination Resistance
$T$	Tesla
$\tau$	Arc Time Constant
$\mu H$	Micro Henry
$\mu s$	Microsecond
$\mu_o$	Air permeability
$U_{arc}$	Constant Arc Voltage
$u_o$	Characteristic Arc Voltage

## Pembangunan Pengesan Gelung Induktif berpandukan pada Gelung Rogowski untuk Pengukuran Arka Rosak Voltan Sederhana

### ABSTRAK

Voltan lampau arca rosak masalah biasa yang berlaku dalam sistem voltan rendah dan voltan sederhana. Walaubagaimanapun, voltan lampau arca rosak pada sistem voltan sederhana ialah paling berbahaya di mana ia mudah terdedah pada letupan komponen elektrik seperti kabel, penggubah, peralatan suis dan kelengkapan lain. Punca Voltan lampau arca rosak adalah daripada kelonggaran penamatan kabel di terminal palang bas dan pengubah, penyambungan kabel dan sebagainya. Untuk mengurangkan risiko kesan arca rosak, teknologi litar penyampuk arca (AFSI) dan pengesan arca rosak (AFD) telah diperkenalkan. Walaubagaimanapun, alat ini hanya sesuai untuk di gunakan di voltan rendah atau penggunaan domestik sahaja. Untuk penggunaan di voltan sederhana (MV), penerima arca rosak yang baru perlu diperkenalkan untuk mengatasi masalah ini. Kekurangan voltan lampau arca rosak boleh menyebabkan gangguan pada sistem elektrik dimana mungkin menyebabkan kerosakan sistem. Maka, untuk mengatasi masalah ini penerima arca rosak telah dibangunkan dalam kajian ini. Gelung Rogowski (RC) ialah salah satu di dalam kumpulan gegulung beraruhan telah dipilih sebagai penerima pengesanan arca rosak. Penyelakuan kaedah unsur terhingga (FEM) telah digunakan untuk analisa ketumpatan fluks electromagnet, B pada geometri dan bilangan lilitan pada RC dengan permodelan tiga jenis keratan rentas RC iaitu segiempat tepat, bujur dan bulat. Selain itu, pengiraan kearuhan saling terhadap keratan rentas segiempat juga dilakukan dan telah dibandingkan dengan keputusan penyelakuan FEM. Terdapat tujuh (7) contoh sulung penerima RC keratan rentas jenis segiempat yang dibuat menggunakan pencetak tiga dimensi (3D) dengan pelbagai bilangan lilitan antara 20 hingga 100 dan luas keratan rentas antara 200 mm<sup>2</sup> dan 1050 mm<sup>2</sup>. Bahan *acrylonitrile butadiene styrene* (ABS) dipilih sebagai teras penerima RC kerana ia mempunyai kerana ia mempunyai keberaliran yang rendah, dikategorikan sebagai penebat, ketegaran yang mencukupi, kestabilan haba yang baik, mempamerkan keteguhan yang tinggi walaupun dalam keadaan sejuk, ketahanan kimia, retak tekanan persekitaran dan sifat mekanik yang baik. Untuk mengesahkan prestasi penerima dari segi kepekaan dan jalur lebar, pengukuran eksperimen dilakukan di makmal voltan tinggi. Dari hasil eksperimen, RC2A5 (yang dibangunkan di dalam kajian ini) yang merupakan bilangan lilitan tertinggi (100 lilitan) menghasilkan sensitiviti yang sangat tinggi iaitu 0.56 kV / mA. Walau bagaimanapun, jalur lebar RC2A5 menurun kepada 3.51 MHz yang merupakan jalur lebar terendah. Manakala, dengan mengurangkan bilangan lilitan pada 20, RC2A1 (yang dibangunkan di dalam kajian ini) naik sehingga 7.93 MHz yang merupakan jalur lebar tertinggi akan tetapi kepekaannya jatuh dengan pesat pada 0.30 kV / mA. Dari hasil yang diperolehi, dapat disimpulkan bahwa jumlah lilitan yang lebih rendah menghasilkan jalur lebar yang lebih baik dari penerima RC tetapi mengurangkan kepekaan penerima dengan ketara. Penerima RC terbaik yang dibangunkan dalam kerja ini dicadangkan untuk digunakan sebagai penerima pengukuran voltan lampau arca rosak pada masa akan hadapan.

## **Development of Inductive Coil Sensor Based on Rogowski coil for Arcing Fault in Medium Voltage (MV) Measurement**

### **ABSTRACT**

Arcing fault overvoltage is one of the most common problem that always occur in both low voltage (LV) and medium voltage (MV) systems. The arc fault overvoltage in high voltage system is the most dangerous which can easily cause explosion of electrical components such as cable, transformers, switchgears and other equipment. The cause of arc fault overvoltage is due to the loosen cable termination at bus bar and transformers terminal, cable jointing and others. In order to reduce the arc fault risk, the arc circuit interrupter (AFSI) technology and arc fault detectors (AFD) have been developed. However, these devices are more suited for LV or domestic application. For applications in medium voltage (MV), a new arc fault sensor is needed to overcome this problem. Frequent arcing fault overvoltage can cause an interruption of the electrical system which may breakdown the system. Thus, to overcome this matter, the arcing fault sensor was developed in this research. The Rogowski coil (RC) which is one of the inductive coil group was selected as the arc fault detection sensor. Finite Element Method (FEM) was used for electromagnetic flux density, B analysis on RC geometrical and number of turns effect by modelling three different types of RC which are the rectangular, oval and circular cross-section. Based on FEM simulation results, the rectangular cross-section of RC had the highest electromagnetic flux density among the circular and oval cross-section. The mutual inductance calculation of rectangular cross-section has also been performed and compared to the FEM simulation results. There were seven (7) rectangular cross-section RC sensor prototypes that were fabricated using three-dimensional (3D) printer with various number of turns ranging from 20 to 100 with the cross-sectional area ranging between 200 mm<sup>2</sup> and 1050 mm<sup>2</sup>. The acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) material was selected as the RC sensor core because it has low conductivity, categorized as an insulator, adequate rigidity, good thermal stability, exhibit high toughness even in cold conditions, chemical resistance, environment stress cracking and excellent mechanical properties. The sensor performance verification in terms of sensitivity and bandwidth was conducted with an experimental measurement that was done in the high voltage lab. Based on the experimental results, the RC sensor prototype RC2A5 (fabricated in this research) which has the highest number of turns (100 turns) produced excellent sensitivity at 0.56 kV/mA. However, the bandwidth of RC2A5 descended to 3.51 MHz which is the lowest bandwidth. Whereas, by reducing the number of turns to 20, the RC sensor prototype RC2A1 (fabricated in this research) ascended to 7.93 MHz which is the highest bandwidth, but its sensitivity drops rapidly to 0.30 kV / mA. From the obtained results, it can be concluded that that the lower number of turns produced better bandwidth for the RC sensor but reduces the sensor sensitivity significantly. The best RC sensor developed in this work was proposed to be used as an arc fault overvoltage measuring sensor for the future.

## CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Overview

In power systems, the overvoltage phenomenon always occurs, and it may increase the number of outages which interrupts the electric supply. Therefore, it is necessary for the electrical engineers to reduce the overvoltage phenomenon. Overvoltage occurs when voltage is raised higher than the upper design limit where it may be hazardous to the electrical equipment. The arc-faults or arc-flash is one of the overvoltage phenomena and this event always transpire in the electric power equipment and networks such as power cable, busbar, transformer and others. Arc fault is the result of current flowing through the air between conductors in phase-to-phase, single phase-to-ground, or multiple phase-to-ground configurations (i.e. a three-phase bolted fault). In other words, an arc fault is a high-power electricity discharge between two or more conductors. This discharge translates into heat that can break down the insulation of the wire and potentially cause an electrical fire (Choo & Sa'adon, 2016).

Arc faults can release large amounts of energy in a short amount of time in the form of radiant heat, intense light, and high-pressure waves. One of the major features of an arc fault is the progression of the fault and the effect of the build-up of ionised matter within the arc on other energised parts of the system. A line-to-ground arcing fault, for example, can quickly become a three-phase arcing fault because the ionised gas produced envelops the other energy-energized part of the device. In fact, arc faults are one of the most significant causes of electrical fires. In recent years, the dangers and impact of arc flash have been studied and are now better understood. However, the electrical industry