



**KINEMATIC DESIGN AND ANALYSIS  
OF A 2RPS-1PRS PARALLEL MANIPULATOR  
FOR  
HOSPITAL BED REPOSITIONING SYSTEM**

by

**FAHISAL BIN ABDULLAH  
(1240610790)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

**Faculty of Electrical Engineering & Technology  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2023

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise be to Allah for His blessings, and for giving me the strength and opportunity to complete this research. I would like to express my gratitude to the Vice Chancellor of University Malaysia Perlis, Prof. Dato' Ts. Dr. Zaliman Bin Sauli for granting me the permission to conduct my research in this university.

I am also thankful to the Dean of Faculty of Electrical Engineering & Technology, Prof. Ir. Dr Abu Hassan Bin Abdullah and my colleagues for their support, important advice, and encouragement during my research work. I would also like to acknowledge the Faculty of Electrical Engineering & Technology members for many valuable discussions, and the fun times during the completion of my research.

I wish to thank my project supervisors, PM Ts. Dr Shafriza Nisha Bin Basah, who have given a valuable supervision, support, and valuable advice, including brilliant ideas that have helped me in completing this research and during the preparation of this thesis.

Last but not least, I am incredibly grateful to my parents, Mr Abdullah and Mrs Norihan, my parents-in-law, Mr Abdullah Norhairi and Mrs Jamilah, my beloved wife, Siti Norsafura, my lovely sons, Fatin and Fateh, and my brothers, Fahizal, Fahrizan, Fairus, and Fahimi for their continuous emotional support, unconditional love, and encouragement that pushed me to persevere in completing this research work.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION OF THESIS</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research Background	1
1.3 Problem Statement	7
1.4 Objectives of the Study	8
1.5 Research Scope of Work	9
1.6 Significant Findings	10
1.7 Thesis Organisation	10
1.8 Summary	12
<b>CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction	13

2.2	Hospital Bed Repositioning System	13
2.2.1	Introduction	14
2.2.2	The Laterally Repositioning Features in the HBRS	18
2.2.3	The Trendelenburg and anti-Trendelenburg Features in a HBRS	21
2.2.4	The Adjustable Height Function in the HBRS	22
2.3	Kinematics Design of Mechanism	24
2.3.1	Mechanism synthesis	25
2.3.2	Degree of Freedom	26
2.3.3	Kinematics Modelling	28
2.4	Review on HBRS Design	31
2.4.1	Common Design Used	31
2.4.2	Alternative Design	45
2.5	Kinematics Analysis of Mechanism	50
2.5.1	Inverse Kinematics Analysis	50
2.5.2	Workspaces	52
2.5.3	Singularity	53
2.5.4	Kinematics Validation	55
2.6	Review on Kinematics Analysis of Mechanism	56
2.7	Summary	57
<b>CHAPTER 3 :            METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>58</b>
3.1	Introduction	58
3.2	Kinematics Design of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator	60
3.2.1	Geometric Description of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator	62
3.2.2	Degree of Freedom of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator	65
3.2.3	Kinematics Modelling of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator based on DH Method	67
3.2.4	Verification of 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	80

3.2.5	Parameters of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	84
3.2.6	Performance Evaluation of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	86
3.3	Kinematics Analysis of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	86
3.3.1	Inverse Kinematics of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator	88
3.3.2	Inverse Kinematics for three axes	90
3.3.2.1	Inverse Kinematics for roll attitude	90
3.3.2.2	Inverse Kinematics for pitch attitude	93
3.3.2.3	Inverse Kinematics for z axis translation	96
3.3.3	Coordinate System for Inverse Kinematics Analysis	98
3.3.4	Workspace analysis of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	100
3.3.4.1	Workspace of roll attitude	100
3.3.4.2	Workspace of pitch attitude	102
3.3.4.3	Workspace of z axis translation	103
3.3.5	Singularity of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	104
3.3.5.1	Singularities Analysis for Roll Attitude	105
3.3.5.2	Singularities Analysis for Pitch Attitude	107
3.3.5.3	Singularities Analysis for Z axis translation	108
3.3.6	Performance Evaluation of Workspace and Singularities	109
3.3.6.1	Performance Evaluation of Workspace Analysis	109
3.3.6.2	Performance Evaluation of Singularity	111
3.4	Kinematics Validation of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	113
3.4.1	Simulations of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	113
3.4.2	The 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator Test Bed Design	114
3.4.2.1	Linear Motor	115

3.4.2.2	Top platform	116
3.4.2.3	Bottom platform	116
3.4.2.4	Spherical Joint	117
3.4.2.5	Revolute Joint	117
3.4.2.6	Arduino Microcontroller	118
3.4.3	2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator Parameters	119
3.4.4	Tools used for Active and Passive Joint Measurements	121
3.4.4.1	Graph Paper	121
3.4.4.2	Goniometer	122
3.4.4.3	Laser Pointer	123
3.4.4.4	Tape Measure	124
3.4.5	Performance tests of 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator Design	125
3.4.5.1	Performance Evaluation using Simulation of 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	125
3.4.5.2	Performance Evaluation of Prototype 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	126
3.4.5.3	Comparisons between Simulation results and Prototype of Experimental	127
3.5	Summary	127
<b>CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS &amp; DISCUSSION</b>		<b>129</b>
4.1	Introduction	129
4.2	Simulation Results of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator Based on MATLAB	130
4.3	Kinematics Analysis of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator and Discussion	133
4.3.1	Workspaces and Discussion	135
4.3.1.1	Results for workspace roll attitude	136

4.3.1.2	Results for pitch attitude	138
4.3.1.3	Results for workspaces z axis translation	141
4.3.2	Singularity and Discussion	143
4.3.2.1	Results for roll attitude	144
4.3.2.2	Results for pitch attitude	146
4.3.2.3	Results for z axis translation	149
4.4	Kinematics Validation of HBRS and Discussion	150
4.4.1	Simulation Result using MATLAB program	151
4.4.1.1	Results for roll attitude	151
4.4.1.2	Results for pitch attitude	153
4.4.1.3	Results for z axis translation	155
4.4.2	Result from the prototype of 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator.	157
4.4.2.1	Results for roll attitude	158
4.4.2.2	Results for pitch attitude	159
4.4.2.3	Results for z axis translation	161
4.4.3	Comparisons and Discussion	162
4.4.3.1	Results for roll attitude	163
4.4.3.2	Results for pitch attitude	164
4.4.3.3	Results for z axis translation	166
4.4.3.4	Performance of 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator Design	167
4.5	Summary	170
	<b>CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION</b>	<b>171</b>
5.1	Introduction	171

5.2	Conclusion	171
5.3	Research Achievements	173
5.4	Recommendations for Future Studies	174
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>176</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>198</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>204</b>

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>PAGE</b>	
Table 2.1	Summary of the functionalities of HBRS	17
Table 2.2	Summary of Recent Research on HBRS Design	32
Table 2.3	Summary of Recent Research on Parallel Manipulator	45
Table 3.1	Definition of Propose 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	70
Table 3.2	The first limb parameter of the proposed parallel manipulator	70
Table 3.3	The second and third limb parameter of the proposed parallel manipulator	70
Table 3.4	Linear Actuator and Length on Side of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	84
Table 3.5	Parameters of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel manipulator	85
Table 3.6	Input parameters used in proposed parallel manipulator	120
Table 4.1	The comparisons of Kinematics Link based on Simulation Methods	132
Table 4.2	The comparisons of Kinematics Link based on Simulation Methods	134
Table 4.3	Summary from Figures 4.15, 4.16, and 4.17 for the percentage of deviation between simulation and develop prototype in terms of active and passive joints.	169

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>PAGE</b>	
Figure 1.1	The activity of turning process in HBRS	3
Figure 2.1	An example of an HBRS	16
Figure 2.2	The laterally reposition function in HBRS before (left) and after activation (right)	19
Figure 2.3	The activity of laterally repositioning function in HBRS	20
Figure 2.4	The Reposition Function in a HBRS: boosting (left) and Trendelenburg position (right).	22
Figure 2.5	The Adjustable Height Function: before (left) and after activation (right)	24
Figure 3.1	Function Flow chart of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator design methodology.	59
Figure 3.2	Flow chart of the kinematics design for Propose 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator development	61
Figure 3.3	Kinematics diagram of the 2RPS-1PRS bottom medical bed	62
Figure 3.4	Kinematics diagram of proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator (a) fixed platform and (b) moving platform parallel manipulator.	64
Figure 3.5	Schematics of kinematic chain for (a) first limb and (b) second and third limb ( $n = 2, 3$ ).	69
Figure 3.6	Kinematics diagram of the propose 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator using MATLAB software.	82

Figure 3.7	Verification of Propose 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator Flowchart	83
Figure 3.8	Kinematics Analysis of the 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator Flowchart	88
Figure 3.9	Simulator of the 2RPS 1PRS bottom medical bed	114
Figure 3.10	Top and Bottom platform for 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	115
Figure 3.11	Top and Bottom platform for 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	116
Figure 3.12	Spherical joint for 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	117
Figure 3.13	Revolute joint for 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	118
Figure 3.14	Arduino UNO for 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator	119
Figure 3.15	Graph paper with a laser marked at centre of x-y axes.	122
Figure 3.16	Goniometer Records produces by Indian Orthopaedics Research Group.	123
Figure 3.17	Digital measurement tape with laser pointer.	124
Figure 3.18	The adjustable height Function Tape Measure	124
Figure 4.1	The geometry design of HBRS using MATLAB Software	133
Figure 4.2	The geometry design of HBRS using (a) MATLAB coding (b) GeoGebra Software	135
Figure 4.3	The results for workspace of roll attitude	138
Figure 4.4	The results for workspace pitch attitude	141
Figure 4.5	The results for workspace z axis translation	143
Figure 4.6	The results for singularity during roll attitude.	146

Figure 4.7	The results for singularity during pitch attitude.	148
Figure 4.8	The results for singularity during z axis translation.	150
Figure 4.9	Simulation results for active and passive joints during roll attitude.	153
Figure 4.10	Simulation results for active and passive joints during pitch attitude	155
Figure 4.11	Simulation results for active and passive joints during z axis translation.	156
Figure 4.12	Prototype of 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator system	157
Figure 4.13	Experiment results for active and passive joints during roll attitude	159
Figure 4.14	Experiment results for active and passive joints during pitch attitude	161
Figure 4.15	Experiment results for active and passive joints during z axis translation	162
Figure 4.16	Comparisons result for active and passive joints during roll attitude based on simulation and the developed prototype	164
Figure 4.17	Comparisons result for active and passive joints during pitch attitude based on simulation and the developed prototype.	165
Figure 4.18	Comparisons result for active and passive joints during z axis translation based on simulation and the developed prototype.	167

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HBRS	Hospital Bed Repositioning System
DH	Denavit & Hartenberg
RPS	Revolute-Prismatic-Spherical
PRS	Prismatic- Revolute-Spherical
DoF	degrees of freedom
CAD	Computer-Aided Design
VDC	Voltage Direct Current
DC motor	Direct current motor
LCD	Liquid Crystal Display
3D	Three Dimensional
POSE	Position and Orientation

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$A_i$	Position of limbs in bottom platform ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )
$B_i$	Position of limbs in top platform ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )
$D_i$	Position of revolute joints in mechanism ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )
$k_i$	Length of linear actuator ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )
$q$	Length of linear actuator
$L_i$	Length of side $i$ for equilateral of bottom platform $A_1A_2A_3$ ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )
$l_i$	Length of side $i$ for equilateral of top platform $B_1B_2B_3$ ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )
$\theta$	Rotation angle of moving frame $O$ about the $v$ -axis
$\psi$	Rotation angle of moving frame $O$ about the $u$ -axis
$a$	Radius of bottom platform
$b$	Radius of top platform.
$X_o, Y_o, Z_o$	The centre point coordinate of fixed frame, $O$
$\theta_{2,1}$	The rotational angle of the $x_{1,1}$ axis about the $z_{1,1}$ axis, such that current $x_{1,1}$ axis will be parallel to the $x_{2,1}$ axis after rotation
$\phi$	An offset angles of fixed base platform
$\lambda$	An offset angles of moving base platform
$r$	Radius of frames
$l$	Length of frames
$O$	Center point at top platform
$o$	Center point at bottom platform
$a_i$	Link length
$\alpha_i$	Link twist angle
$\theta_i$	Joint angle
$d_i$	Joint distance
$k_n$	The distance from the origin of the frame $x_{1,n}, y_{1,n}, z_{1,n}$ to the origin of the frame $x_{2,n}, y_{2,n}, z_{2,n}$ .
$T_{1,n}^{0,n}$	The transformation matrices of limb $i$ ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )
$T_{2,n}^{1,n}$	The transformation matrices of limb $i$ ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ )

## **Reka Bentuk dan Analisis Kinematik Sebuah Pengolah Selari 2RPS-1PRS untuk Sistem Kedudukan Semula Katil Hospital**

### **ABSTRAK**

Sistem kedudukan semula katil hospital (HBRS) telah menjadi salah satu kajian terpenting untuk mengurangkan kecederaan fizikal dalam kalangan penjaga semasa aktiviti pengendalian pesakit. Walau bagaimanapun, ciri-ciri katil hospital seperti ketinggian yang boleh disesuaikan, peningkatan, kedudukan semula secara lateral, dan pusingan sebagai ciri-ciri mekanisme sendiri secara amnya tidak berkesan kerana kekerapan penjaga perlu mengubah katil bagi mencapai kedudukan diingin. Kekerapan pengulangan ini boleh meningkatkan risiko kecederaan fizikal akibat tekanan di kalangan penjaga. Dari segi reka bentuk berstruktur untuk HBRS, konfigurasi pengolah selari boleh menjadi pendekatan yang berkesan untuk mengintegrasikan ciri-ciri tersebut ke dalam satu reka bentuk katil hospital. Walau bagaimanapun, penggunaan pengolah selari sebagai reka bentuk berstruktur yang mampu mengintegrasikan ciri-ciri katil hospital merupakan suatu masalah yang mencabar akibat keterbatasan ruang kerja. Oleh itu, objektif-objektif kajian ini adalah untuk membangunkan model kinematik dan untuk menganalisis prestasi pengolah selari dalam sistem HBRS dengan mempertimbangkan ciri-ciri Trendelenburg, anti-Trendelenburg, mengubah kedudukan, dan ketinggian yang boleh disesuaikan. Reka bentuk dan pembangunan model kinematik berasaskan Denavit & Hartenberg untuk mekanisme selari yang dicadangkan iaitu 2RPS (sambungan revolusi-sambungan prismatic- sambungan sfera)-1PRS (sambungan prismatic- sambungan revolusi- sambungan sfera) diperlukan untuk meneliti keperluan fungsi mekanisme HBRS. Berasaskan model kinematik ini, ruang kerja dan kesingularan pengolah selari 2RPS-1PRS yang dicadangkan telah dianalisis untuk menentukan tingkah laku mekanisme tersebut. Kemudian, simulasi berangka telah direka bentuk menggunakan perisian MATLAB dan prototaip perkakasan mekanisme ini telah digunakan untuk mengesahkan prestasi pengolah selari 2RPS-1PRS yang dicadangkan. Berdasarkan geometri tertentu, keputusan simulasi dan keputusan eksperimen dengan ralat pemasangan dan julat sudut sfera telah menunjukkan trend yang sama dalam had kesalahan 5% untuk sendi aktif dan pasif, Penemuan ini menyerlahkan keupayaan ciri-ciri bersepadu dalam HBRS berasaskan mekanisme pengolah selari 2RPS-1PRS berfungsi lebih baik daripada ciri-ciri sendiri. Integrasi ciri-ciri HBRS dengan pengolah selari 2RPS-1PRS menawarkan satu mekanisme mudah yang boleh memberikan pelbagai pergerakan, seperti menggulingkan, mencondongkan, dan mengalihkan yang mewakili pergerakan berfungsi katil hospital yang sedia ada.

# **Kinematic Design and Analysis of a 2RPS-1PRS Parallel Manipulator for Hospital Bed Repositioning System**

## **ABSTRACT**

Hospital Bed Repositioning System (HBRS) has become one of the most important studies to reduce physical injuries among caregivers during patient handling activities. However, hospital bed features, such as adjustable height, boosting, laterally repositioning and turning based on standalone mechanisms are generally ineffective since caregiver needs to frequently adjust the bed to get into the desired position. These frequent repetitions may increase the risk of physical injury of stress among caregiver. In terms of the structured design for the HBRS, the configuration of a parallel manipulator could be an effective approach to integrate these features into one hospital bed design. However, employing the parallel manipulator as a structured design with the ability to integrate specific features into a hospital bed can be a challenging issue due to the limitation of workspace. Hence, the objectives of this study were to develop a kinematics model and to analyse the performance of a parallel manipulator in the HBRS by considering the Trendelenburg, anti-Trendelenburg, repositioning, and height adjustable features. The design and development of the kinematics model based on the Denavit & Hartenberg method for the proposed parallel mechanism of 2RPS (revolute joint-prismatic joint-spherical joint)-1PRS (prismatic joint-revolute joint-spherical joint) was required to examine the functional requirements of the HBRS mechanism. Based on this kinematics model, workspaces and the singularity of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator were analysed to determine the behaviour of the mechanism. Then, a numerical simulation that was designed using the MATLAB software and the prototype of the hardware mechanism were used to verify the performance of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator. On specific geometry, the simulation and experimental results, considering the limitations of assembly errors and range of spherical angles, showed a similar trend within 5% error for active and passive joints. This finding highlighted the capability of the integrated features in the HBRS based on the mechanism of the 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator, which performed better than the standalone features. The integration of the HBRS features with the novelty of 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator offered a simple mechanism that could provide various motions, such as rolling, tilting, and shifting that represent the functional motions of existing hospital beds.

# CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Introduction

This chapter will provide an overview of the study and the structure of this thesis. This chapter will introduce the background of the study, followed by the problem statement and research objectives. The scope of this research and the significance of its findings are also discussed in this chapter

## 1.2 Research Background

Hospital Bed Repositioning System (HBRS) has received more attention from industrial manipulator manufacturers and concerned researchers in the last several decades. This is due to the potential application of the HBRS to provide specific features in hospital beds that can assist caregivers with common patient handling activities. These features can reduce physical injuries or musculoskeletal disorders among caregivers. However, according to Zhou & Wiggermann (2021) hospital bed features, such as boosting, lateral repositioning, and turning patients based on the HBRS will only be more effective to caregivers when they are integrated in a single hospital bed rather than being standalone features. Thus, kinematics design and analysis of the HBRS is a challenging issue that must be addressed, since the integration of different features in a hospital bed is essential.

Additionally, kinematics design and analysis of the HBRS in single design is necessarily needed because able to reduces the pressure injuries among caregivers during performing reposition task. According to Wiggermann (2016), the source of injuries such

back and shoulder pain, often result from the task of turning patients that requires lower physical demand when patients needed to changing lines, bathing, inspection for wounds, changing wound dressings, placing bedpans and repositioning to prevent bedsores. The author also highlighted the solution of these injuries, many manufacturing provided the turn assist features in the hospital bed mattresses. These features provided one or more air bladders in order to inflate to partially rotate the patients. However, the turn assist features is not being use among caregivers due its not a standard safe patient handling care practice.

In the effort to address the kinematics design of the HBRS to integrate different features, various types of mechanism designs have been proposed. As reported by Wiggermann et al. (2019), the Hillrom Centrella® Smart+ hospital bed consists of several features, such as turn assist, Trendelenburg, and mattress maximum inflation. The mechanism for the turn-assist feature uses air bladders to tilt the patient laterally on the bed to a maximum 30° from the horizontal position when one side of the mattress is inflated, as shown in Figure 1.1 (Zhou & Wiggermann (2021)). The turn assist feature utilises a different mechanism than the Trendelenburg feature. Due to the complex structure of the HBRS design, standalone features are normally selected for study, but this may cause the dynamic properties related to the repositioning mechanism to be lost. Thus, the parallel mechanism was proposed in this study to offer a realistic option for the structural design of the HBRS.



Figure 1.1 The activity of turning process in HBRS

Parallel mechanisms as the structural design of the HBRS could offer more advantages in terms of fewer actuator applications, simple structures, and low costs. Numerous researchers have studied parallel mechanism in kinematics designs and analyses in order to determine the behaviour of each mechanism that can meet specific requirements. According to Zhang & Zhang (2013), the parallel mechanism consists of a top moving platform, a fixed base platform, three revolute joints, three spherical joints, and three linear legs. Combinations of these links and joints give this parallel mechanism the ability to perform pitches, rolls, and vibrations in vehicle driving simulators. To make the application of the HBRS demonstrate the distinct effect of motions related to this parallel mechanism, the mechanism proposed by Zhang & Zhang (2013) was modified to meet the requirements of the features in the HBRS. However, to realise this proposed

parallel mechanism as the structural design of the HBRS to fulfil the integration features, a suitable kinematics design must be considered to synthesise the machine elements, such as a top moving platform, a bottom platform, and active and passive joints that can perform the motions of the previously mentioned integrated features.

In order to comprehend the pose of the proposed parallel mechanism that can fulfil the motions of the integrated features in the HBRS, kinematics designs based on several calculation methods have been proposed for developing a kinematics model. The most logical approach was by involving the Denavit & Hartenberg (DH) method to design the kinematics model for the proposed parallel mechanism. Based on this kinematics model, the position and orientation (pose) of the top moving platform can be predicted without placing force on it. As reported by Dumlu & Erenturk (2013), the kinematics model of a 6-DOF parallel manipulator was successfully formulated using the DH method by considering the interactions between the input links and the coupler links of the manipulator. They also found that the simulation results of the manipulator model showed that its mechanisms have the ability to improve the quality of the trajectory tracking control of the parallel manipulator. In this study, the intangible mechanisms of the kinematics model based on the DH method were determined using the kinematics simulation approach. The simulation results were then used to develop and analyse the behaviour of the top moving platform, which would be able to perform the motions of the integrated features in the HBRS.

According to Masood, M. U., & Haghshenas-Jaryani (2021), kinematics simulations based on a kinematics model for a robot to be used for harvesting Chile peppers were used to generate, verify, and simulate the robot's motions to achieve the

pose of the desired end-effectors. The algorithm of this simulation programme that was developed using the MATLAB software was based on mimicking the behaviours of real-world systems. This simulation programme also consisted of joint angles, while the end-effector for a specific object's pose was represented in the 3D Cartesian space. Based on the idea of this kinematics simulation, a kinematics model was developed in this study. The aim was to represent the proposed parallel manipulator that can fulfil the motions of the integrated features in the HBRS. Additionally, since the proposed parallel mechanism has a significant degree of structural freedom, the performance of the kinematics model was examined in order to understand the behaviour of the proposed parallel manipulator in the HBRS.

Kinematics performances, such as in workspaces and singularity represent the process that is involved in designing mechanisms in order to analyse the characteristics of parallel manipulator. Yang et al. (2018) reported that the kinematics performance obtained from the derivation of a kinematics model for the parallel manipulator was good in terms of workspace. The stiffness of the parallel manipulator has also satisfied their requirements and no singularity position happened within the workspace. Based on their results, kinematics performance might be able to indicate the performance of the proposed parallel manipulator.

Several studies have explored the current mechanism rehabilitation features for hospital bed repositioning systems. Andhare & Onkar. (2021) developed mechanism of bed with features such as commode parts, side turning and transform bed function from sleeping position. W. Li & Lu. (2020) proposed mechanism that able to turn the angle with less than  $80^\circ$  of a patient's body to the left and right at bed platform. Seon et al.

(2021) studied the multiple segments using brushless motor and fuzzy controller controls to control body pressure among bedridden patients. Meanwhile, Hiray & Kulkarni. (2020) designed automatic position-adjusting beds with cover the function such as blood circulation, back pain, and musculoskeletal disorders among patients. Tian, Wang, Zhang, Su, Wang, et al. (2021) studied the transfer assist system devices on the top platform of the hospital bed reduced the pressure among caregivers. However, these studies of collectively have highlight the features of HBRS such as lateral repositioning, Trendelenburg, anti-Trendelenburg, and adjustable height into a single design are still lacking.

Therefore, the kinematics design and analysis of the proposed parallel manipulator were important parts of the design decision that arose when designing the HBRS system to meet the requirements of integrated features and to provide a simple mechanism. Based on the current kinematics design and analysis used in the HBRS system, the integration of features in a single design would increase the complexity of the mechanisms, which would lead to the analysis of standalone features only. Based on this limitation of the HBRS system, the parallel mechanism was selected as the structural design that could meet this requirement. The kinematics design of the proposed parallel manipulator was developed to study the ability of the top moving platform to perform the motions of integrated features. Kinematics simulation was performed to ensure that the model was concrete. Finally, kinematics analysis was conducted on the proposed parallel manipulator based on workspaces and singularity by identifying the characteristics of its mechanisms. Consequently, the proposed parallel manipulator, as a structural design in the HBRS, would be able to perform the motions of the integrated features.

### 1.3 Problem Statement

Kinematics design and analysis is an important part of a design process for developing the HBRS that can meet the requirements of caregivers and patients while performing repositioning tasks. Kinematics design and analysis were conducted in this study to create simple structural mechanisms that can integrate the features of the HBRS into a single design. The structural mechanism was built from a combination of machine elements, such as linkages, joints, and actuators for produces with specific motions based on the functions of the HBRS. Close attention on the design process in the development of the HBRS helped to create an innovative mechanism that can fulfil the requirements of caregivers and patients.

However, breakthroughs in the design process of multi-functional HBRS are still lacking. This is due to the complex structures of the mechanisms for the HBRS when the multi-functions of hospital beds are integrated into one design. Consequently, the standalone features of the HBRS are getting more attention from researchers and used the most by caregivers in hospitals, because they are easier to obtain compared to beds with integrated features. This scenario would expose the caregivers to physical stresses and uncomfortable working conditions during patient repositioning tasks. This scenario could also increase the risk of developing bedsores among patients when they lay in bed for longer periods. Unsuitable kinematics design and analysis of the HBRS could lead to the ineffective use of hospital bed features among caregivers and patients.

Therefore, the aim of the study was to conduct kinematics design and analysis of the HBRS and to produce a simple design structure of the mechanism that can integrate the different features of the HBRS into a single design. The design structure of the HBRS in this study incorporated the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator, which was able to perform three degrees of freedom, roll attitude, pitch attitude and z axis translation, which realizes the features of HBRS, such as the laterally repositioning, Trendelenburg and anti-Trendelenburg, and adjustable height, respectively. Then, to understand the behaviour of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator, kinematics analysis was performed on the motions of the existing system without placing any forces on it. The structure of the HBRS was also verified to ensure that the model and its hardware can meet the requirements of integrated features.

#### **1.4 Objectives of the Study**

The objectives of this study were as follows:

- a) To develop a kinematics design of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator that can perform different functions in HBRS, such as Trendelenburg, the anti-Trendelenburg, repositioning, and adjustable height.
- b) To perform kinematics analysis of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator that would be applied into the HBRS, in term of workspaces and singularity.
- c) To validate the efficacy of the kinematics model using a prototype of the proposed 2RPS-1PRS parallel manipulator.