



**UniMAP**

**Design and Fabrication of A Wideband CMOS  
Continuous-Time Integrated Baseband Active  
Filter For A Synthetic Aperture Radar Receiver**

**By**

**Faizah Abu Bakar  
(1440111431)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Microelectronic Engineering

**School of Microelectronic Engineering  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2016

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praise to Allah the Almighty, for giving me strength, patience and perseverance to finish up this thesis. Special appreciations to Aalto University, Finland, Professor Kari Halonen and Dr. Ville Saari for helping me with the research and giving me permissions to use the publications published when I was there. To my supervisors, Dr. Sohiful Anuar Zainol Murad and Associate Professor Dr. Rizalafande Che Ismail, thank you so much for the support and guidance. I would like to thank Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) for giving me the chance to pursue my study here. Especially to my husband Dr. Muzamir Isa, and children, Zahirah, Sakinah and Ahmad Faheem, thank you very much for the time, space and doa. I could never repay you for all the sacrifices you have done. Thank you for believing in me. Not forgetting my parents Latifah Hassan and Abu Bakar Yahaya, my parents in law Che Nah Jusoh and Isa Sabu; your doa is one of the reason for what I am today. Last but not least, to all my friends and colleagues in UniMAP especially in School of Microelectronic Engineering and Department of Electronic Engineering Technology, Faculty of Engineering Technology, thank you for the laughter and fun time spent together for it motivates me to finish writing up this thesis.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>THESIS DECLARATION</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	iii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xv
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	xviii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	xix
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	1
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Research Objectives	7
1.5 Thesis Contributions	7
1.6 Organisation of the Thesis	9
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)	10
2.2.1 SAR System Architecture	13

2.2.2	SAR Receiver	14
2.2.2.1	Overview of a SAR Receiver	14
2.2.2.2	SAR Receiver Architecture	17
2.2.2.3	SAR Receiver Performance Measure	20
2.3	Active Baseband Filter for SAR Receiver	21
2.3.1	General Overview of Active Filters	21
2.3.2	Fundamental Purpose of the Integrated Baseband Active Low-pass Filter for SAR Receiver	23
2.3.3	Baseband Active Filter Performance Measure	24
2.3.3.1	Sensitivity and Input Referred Noise Voltage	24
2.3.3.2	Gain Compression and Harmonic Distortion	25
2.3.3.3	Third and Second-Order Intermodulation Distortion and Intercept Points	28
2.3.3.4	Transition Band Steepness and Phase Linearity	33
2.3.3.5	Direct Current (DC) Offset	34
2.3.4	Active Filter Architecture	35
2.3.4.1	Integrator as a Basic Building Block	35
2.3.4.2	<i>Opamp</i> -RC Integrator Technique	38
2.3.4.3	$g_m$ -C Integrator Technique	41
2.3.5	Wideband Continuous-Time Integrated Active Low-pass Baseband Filters	43
2.4	Low-pass Filter Prototype	51
2.5	Analog Low-pass Filter Approximations	53
2.6	Active Filter Synthesis with Order Greater Than Two	57
2.6.1	LC Ladder Simulation	58
2.7	Predistortion Methods in Filter Design	61
2.8	Negative Resistance Circuit as a Gain Enhancement Method	63
2.9	Conclusions	64

## **CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	65
3.2	Overall Design Activity	65
3.3	Technology and Receiver Architecture Selection	66
3.4	Continuous-time Integrated Baseband Low-pass Filter Design	70
	Specifications in the SAR Receiver	
3.5	Continuous-time Integrated Baseband Low-pass Filter Design Activity	74
	Flow	
3.6	Analog Baseband Block Diagram in the First Process Run	76
3.6.1	The 160 MHz Low-pass Filter Design	76
3.6.1.1	Filter Synthesis	78
3.6.1.2	Pseudo-differential Transconductor	84
3.6.1.3	Negative Resistance Circuit	87
3.6.1.4	The Mirror-error Compensation Circuit	88
3.6.1.5	Cutoff Frequency Control	90
3.6.1.6	Layout Design	91
3.6.2	Postlayout Simulation Results of the 160 MHz Filter Concerning	94
	PVT Variations with Extracted Parasitic Capacitances	
3.6.3	Processing for the First Process Run	96
3.6.4	Test Plan for the First Process Run	96
3.7	Analog Baseband Block Diagram in the Second Process Run	98
3.7.1	The 50 MHz Low-pass Filter Design	99
3.7.2	Current-steering Digital to Analog Converter	100
3.7.3	Final SAR Receiver Layout	103
3.7.4	Test Plan for the Second Process Run	104
3.8	Conclusions	110

## **CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introduction	111
-----	--------------	-----

4.2	Measurement Result of the First Process Run	111
4.3	Measurement of the Second Process Run	117
4.3.1	Measurement Result of the Baseband Block	118
4.3.2	Measurement Result of the Complete SAR Receiver	125
4.4	Conclusions on the Measurement Results	134
4.5	Comparison of the Filters in This Work to the Other Baseband Filters in Radar Receiver	135
4.6	Conclusions	140
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS</b>		
5.1	Conclusions	141
5.2	Future Work Recommendations	144
<b>REFERENCES</b>		146
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		156
<b>APPENDIX – A</b>		157

## LIST OF TABLES

NO.		PAGE
1.1	Authorized bandwidth and frequency for Synthetic Aperture Radar.	4
2.1	Comparison of heterodyne and direct conversion architecture.	17
2.2	Summary of recent published wideband integrated low-pass filters.	48
3.1	First design goals of the SAR receiver.	68
3.2	Design goals for the low-pass filters in the SAR receiver.	74
3.3	Normalized component values of a 5 <sup>th</sup> order Chebyshev low-pass filter with 0.3 dB passband ripple.	79
3.4	Transistors sizing of the transconductor.	86
3.5	Transistor sizing of the negative resistance circuit at the filter output node.	88
3.6	Transistor sizing of the mirror-error compensation circuit.	89
3.7	Capacitance value (filter coefficients) at each filter node.	90
3.8	DC-offset of the baseband obtained from Monte Carlo simulation.	100
3.9	Transistor sizing of the IDAC core.	101
3.10	The temperature specifications for characterization of the SAR receiver.	106
3.11	Specification of the RF and LO signals.	106
4.1	The measured performance of the SAR receiver compared to the specifications.	136
4.2	The comparison of the filters in this work to other recent published filters that have bandwidth around 200 MHz.	138

## LIST OF FIGURES

NO.		PAGE
2.1	SAR concept.	11
2.2	Comparison of RAR and SAR: The cross-range resolution (peak to-first-null of antenna pattern) of a SAR is one-half that of a real aperture radar (RAR) of the same aperture diameter. (Courtesy of SciTech Publishing, Inc.)	13
2.3	Monostatic radar system.	14
2.4	Superheterodyne receiver	15
2.5	Direct-conversion receiver	16
2.6	Principle receive panel architecture for digital beam forming SAR front-end.	19
2.7	Simplified block diagram of the integrated SAR receiver.	19
2.8	Input compression point (ICP).	27
2.9	Two-tone test signal components.	30
2.10	Second and third-order intercept points.	33
2.11	Magnitude and phase response of an ideal integrator (dashed line) and a real integrator (solid line).	37
2.12	Inverting <i>opamp-RC</i> integrator (lossless).	38
2.13	Inverting lossy <i>opamp-RC</i> integrator.	39
2.14	$g_m$ - $C$ integrator with (a) floating capacitor (b) grounded capacitor.	41
2.15	Ideal transmission characteristic of a low-pass filter.	51
2.16	Magnitude response of the normalized Butterworth low-pass filter for Various value of $N$ .	54
2.17	Magnitude response of the Chebyshev Type 1 low-pass filter various value of $N$ .	56
2.18	Fifth-order lossless LC ladder.	59
2.19	Cross-coupled pair.	63

3.1	Overall work plan.	67
3.2	Simplified block diagram of the SAR receiver.	68
3.3	Simulated ideal 5 <sup>th</sup> order Chebyshev with 0.3 dB passband ripple.	71
3.4	IC design flow.	77
3.5	Analog baseband chain in the first run.	78
3.6	The implemented 160 MHz continuous-time active integrated baseband filter.	78
3.7	A 5 <sup>th</sup> order Chebyshev lossless LC ladder prototype filter	79
3.8	A 5 <sup>th</sup> order Chebyshev lossy LC ladder prototype filter.	80
3.9	The fifth-order $g_m$ - $C$ leapfrog filter having low DC gain.	81
3.10	Block diagram of one filter stage.	84
3.11	Transconductor circuit.	85
3.12	Negative resistance circuit.	88
3.13	Mirror-error compensation circuit.	89
3.14	Binary weighted switched capacitors.	91
3.15	Layout design of the 160 MHz filter in the first run.	92
3.16	First test structure in the first process run.	92
3.17	Second test structure in the first process run.	93
3.18	Chip layout for the first process run (including other test structures).	93
3.19	Simulated frequency response with typical corners for capacitors and transistors at +22°C temperature of the designed $g_m$ - $C$ filter with extracted parasitic capacitances (blue solid line) and an ideal Chebyshev frequency response (black dashed line).	94
3.20	Simulated frequency response in case of the minimum capacitance value of the capacitors, the FFA-corner of the transistors and -25°C temperature (blue solid line) of the designed $g_m$ - $C$ filter with extracted parasitic capacitances and an ideal Chebyshev frequency response (black dashed line).	95
3.21	Simulated frequency response in case of the maximum capacitance value of the capacitors, the SSA-corner of the transistors and +70°C temperature	95

(blue solid line) of the designed  $g_m$ -C filter with extracted parasitic capacitances and an ideal Chebyshev frequency response (black dashed line).

3.22	Frequency response measurement setup.	97
3.23	Noise measurement setup.	97
3.24	Linearity measurement setup.	97
3.25	Revised analog baseband circuits for the second process run.	98
3.26	Layout design of the 50 MHz filter in the second run.	99
3.27	IDAC core.	102
3.28	IDAC buffer.	102
3.29	IDAC layout.	103
3.30	Final SAR chip layout.	104
3.31	SAR receiver.	105
3.32	Measurement setup for gain and gain flatness of the receiver.	107
3.33	IQ phase imbalance measurement.	107
3.34	Noise measurement setup of the receiver.	108
3.35	Linearity test measurement setup.	109
3.36	Setup for full scale input power, dynamic range and power consumption measurements.	109
4.1	Baseband micrograph.	112
4.2	Measured magnitude response of the I and Q branches of the VGA+LPF+OBUF test structure.	112
4.3	Measured phase response of the I and Q branch of the VGA+LPF+OBUF test structure with an ideal $\pm 5$ degree pipe.	113
4.4	Measured bandwidth programmability of the test structure.	114
4.5	Measured and simulated magnitude response at different gain setting.	115
4.6	Gain and phase imbalance of the baseband test structure.	115

4.7	Measured noise density of the baseband test structure.	116
4.8	Measured in-band linearity.	116
4.9	Final SAR chip micrograph.	117
4.10	The test board.	118
4.11	Baseband block in the second process run.	119
4.12	Measured magnitude response of the 160 MHz filter.	119
4.13	Measured magnitude response of the 50 MHz filter.	120
4.14	Measured gain range of the baseband.	121
4.15	Measured IQ imbalance of the baseband in the second process run.	121
4.16	Measured phase linearity of the 160 MHz filter with $\pm 15^\circ$ pipe.	122
4.17	Measured input-referred noise density of the 160 MHz filter.	124
4.18	Measured input-referred noise density of the 50 MHz filter.	124
4.19	Test setup using a temperature chamber in the second process run.	126
4.20	Measured magnitude response for L-band at room temperature.	127
4.21	Measured gain imbalance of the 160 MHz L-band at different temperatures.	128
4.22	Measured gain imbalance of the 50 MHz L-band at different temperatures.	128
4.23	Measured magnitude response for C-band at room temperature.	129
4.24	Measured gain range of 160 MHz C band at different temperatures.	130
4.25	Measured gain range of 50 MHz C band at different temperatures.	130
4.26	Measured gain imbalance of 160 MHz C band at different temperatures.	131
4.27	Measured phase imbalance of 160 MHz C band at different temperatures.	131
4.28	Measured gain imbalance of 50 MHz C band at different temperatures.	132
4.29	Measured phase imbalance of 50 MHz C band at different	132

temperatures.

4.30	Bandwidth ranges of 160 MHz filter in 4 different temperatures.	133
4.31	Bandwidth ranges of 50 MHz filter in 4 different temperatures.	133

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADC	Analog to Digital Converter
APF	All Pass Filter
BER	Bit Error Rate
BB	Baseband
BiCMOS	Bipolar-Complementary-Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CMFB	Common-Mode Feedback
CMFF	Common-Mode Feedforward
CMOS	Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor
DC	Direct Current
DCR	Direct-conversion Receiver
DRC	Design Rules Check
DUT	Design Under Test
ESA	European Space Agency
GBW	Gain Bandwidth
GHz	GigaHertz
HD2	Second-order Harmonic Distortion
HD3	Third-order Harmonic Distortion
IC	Integrated Circuit
ICP	Input Compression Point
IDAC	Current-Steering Digital to Analog Converter
IF	Intermediate Frequency
IIP2	Second-order Input Intercept Point
IIP3	Third-order Input Intercept Point
IMD	Intermodulation

LNA	Low Noise Amplifier
LO	Local Oscillator
LOS	Line-Of-Sight
LPF	Low Pass Filter
LSB	Least Significant Bit
LVS	Layout Versus Schematic
MHz	MegaHertz
MIM	Metal-Insulator-Metal
NMOS	N-type Metal Oxide Semiconductor
OBUF	Output Buffer
OFDM	Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing
PCB	Printed Circuit Board
PMOS	P-type Metal Oxide Semiconductor
PVT	Process Variations and Temperature
RAR	Real Aperture Radar
RF	Radio Frequency
RMS	Root Mean Square
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SAW	Surface Acoustic Wave
SC	Switched-Capacitor
SFB	Source Follower Based
SFG	Signal Flow Graph
SNR	Signal-to-Noise Ratio
THD	Total Harmonic Distortion
UWB	Ultra Wideband
VGA	Variable Gain Amplifier
VNA	Vector Network Analyzer

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$^{\circ}$	degree
$\lambda$	wavelength
$\omega$	angular frequency
$\omega_{P1}$	low frequency pole
$\omega_{P2}$	high frequency pole
$e^{j\theta\omega}$	phase response
$\theta$	phase
$\tau_{(int)}$	integrator time constant
$\bar{V}_{n,BB,in}$	integrated noise of the baseband referred to the baseband input
$a_n$	voltage gain from the circuit input at the $n$ stage
$A$	amplitude
$A_{DC}$	DC gain
$A_{V,RF}$	RF gain
$B$	bandwidth
$B_{RF}$	RF noise bandwidth
$C$	capacitance
dB	decibel
$D$	width of antenna
$D(\omega)$	group delay
$D(s)$	denominator
$f$	frequency
$f_1$	frequency of tone 1
$f_2$	frequency of tone 2
$f_s$	spurious response frequency
$g_m$	transconductance

$g_m$ -C	transconductance-C
$g_o$	output conductance
$G$	conductance
$G_n$	power gain expressed in absolute values of the $n$ th receiver block
$ H(j\omega) $	magnitude response
$H_{int}(s)$	integrator transfer function
$H(s)$	filter transfer function
$I$	current
$Im$	Imaginary number
$IIP3_n$	third-order intercept point in Watts
$IIP3_{tot}$	total third-order input intercept point
$k$	Boltzmann's constant ( $1.38e^{-23} J/K$ )
$k_0$	DC offset
$k_1$	gain of the circuit associated with a linear circuit theory
$k_2$	second order nonlinear component
$k_3$	third order nonlinear component
$L$	inductance
$M$	positive number greater than 1
$n$	natural number
$N$	positive number greater than 1, order of the filter
$N(s)$	numerator
$NF_{RF}$	RF noise figure
$NF_{RX}$	overall noise figure of the receiver
$p_i$	transfer function poles
$P_1$	input signal power
$P_2$	output signal power
$P_{dBm}$	power in dBm

$P_{in,min}$	sensitivity of the receiver
$P_{IN}$	fundamental input signal power
$P_{IMD2,IN}$	input-referred second-order intermodulation power
$P_{IMD3,IN}$	input-referred third-order intermodulation power
$P_{IMD3.OUT}$	output third-order intermodulation product
$P_{OUT}$	fundamental output signal power
$Q_{1,eff}$	effective quality factor of the first stage
$Q_{int}$	integrator quality factor
$R$	Resistance
$Re$	Real number
$R_L$	load resistance
$R_S$	source resistance
$SNR_{min}$	minimum signal to noise ratio
$t$	time
$T$	absolute temperature (290 K)
$T(j\omega)$	magnitude
$T(s)$	filter transfer function
$v_{IMD2}$	second order intermodulation term
$v_{IMD3}$	third order intermodulation term
$V$	voltage
$V_{dBV}$	voltage in dBV
$V_{in}$	input voltage
$V_{out}$	output voltage
$V_{OFF,TOT}$	total offset voltage
$z_i$	transfer function zeros

## **Pembangunan Penapis Aktif Jalur-lebar Laluan-rendah CMOS untuk Penerima Radar Buka-an Sintetik (SAR)**

### **ABSTRAK**

Tesis ini mempersembahkan rekabentuk dan fabrikasi penapis aktif jalur-lebar laluan-rendah CMOS untuk penerima integrasi penuh Radar Buka-an Sintetik (SAR). Penapis tersebut adalah sebahagian daripada penerima bistatik yang mempunyai litar yang kurang kompleks berbanding dengan monostatik SAR. Bistatik SAR memisahkan litar penghantar dengan litar penerima. Sel penerima terdiri daripada banyak bukaan, maka adalah menguntungkan sekiranya penerima SAR direkabentuk secara bersepadu menggunakan teknologi 'ultra deep submicron' yang boleh digunakan di tempat yang terhad. Dalam penerima SAR ini, isyarat operasi jalur telah ditapis di panel radiator. Namun, adalah penting untuk menapis sekali lagi isyarat tersebut di jalur dasar untuk memastikan laluan isyarat bersih daripada isyarat gangguan dan menghadkan hingar jalur. Penapis laluan-rendah ini perlu direkabentuk di atas cip dan mempunyai frekuensi potong di 50 MHz sehingga 160 MHz. Ini adalah mencabar di dalam teknologi "ultra deep submicron CMOS" di mana voltan bekalan di dalam lingkungan 1.2 V digunakan. Penapis tersebut juga perlu mendapat riak yang rendah  $\pm 0.75$  dB sehingga  $\pm 1$  dB di laluan lulus dan gandaan dalaman untuk memenuhi spesifikasi jalur asas. Penapis tersebut perlu juga mempunyai penurunan sambutan frekuensi yang paling curam kerana ia terletak sebelum penukar analog kepada digital (ADC), untuk mengelakkan pertindihan hingar dan isyarat luar jalur yang tidak diperlukan di dalam sampel isyarat. Objektif utama tesis ini adalah untuk merekabentuk dan mengfabrikasi penapis laluan-rendah bersepadu di jalur asas dengan frekuensi potong 50 MHz sehingga 160 MHz sebagai sebahagian daripada penerima bersepadu SAR. Penapis tersebut perlu mempunyai riak laluan lulus sebanyak  $\pm 0.75$  dB sehingga  $\pm 1$  dB sebagai litar tunggal, dan memenuhi kejatuhan -20 dB di 220 MHz. Penapis tersebut perlu menyediakan gandaan sebanyak 20% hingga 30% daripada gandaan jalur asas keseluruhan. Kemudian, keupayaan fungsi penapis itu dibuktikan melalui eksperimen sebagai satu litar tunggal. Seterusnya, penapis tersebut bersama-sama dengan litar lain dibuktikan melalui eksperimen sebagai satu penerima SAR berintegrasi penuh. Rekabentuk telah dijalankan dalam dua fasa, dengan menggunakan Cadence dan Eldo sebagai alat rekabentuk dan alat simulasi. Penapis jalur-rendah susunan  $5 g_m-C$  telah dibangunkan menggunakan teknologi 130 nm CMOS. Penapis tersebut dibangunkan menggunakan prototaip kehilangan. Topologi pengkamiran-pseudo telah digunakan bersesuaian dengan voltan punca 1.2 V yang rendah. Litar rintangan negatif telah untuk memastikan gandaan pengalir-trans tetap pada 26 dB. Suis matrik-kapasitor 5 bit telah dibangunkan untuk mengawal lebar jalur penapis. Penukar digital-ke-analog kawalan-arus 9-bit (IDAC) jug dibangunkan untuk menyahkan pergerakan DC. Keputusan eksperimen penapis selaku litar tunggal dan juga bersama-sama dengan blok penerima yang lain telah membuktikan penapis-penapis tersebut yang mempunyai frekuensi potong 160 MHz dan 50 MHz, masing-masing mencapai kepadatan hingar rujukan masukan sebanyak  $4.9 \text{ nV}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  dan  $7.7 \text{ nV}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ . Kedua-dua penapis mempunyai gandaan dalaman sebanyak 8 dB dan riak di jalur lulus sebanyak  $\pm 1$  dB sebagai litar tunggal. Walaupun spesifikasi fasa linear tidak dapat dipenuhi, sambutan fasa boleh dilinearakan menggunakan penapis laluan-semua yang telah dicadangkan untuk dibangunkan di masa hadapan.

# Design and Fabrication of a Wideband CMOS Continuous-Time Integrated Baseband Active Filter for a Synthetic Aperture Radar Receiver

## ABSTRACT

This thesis presents the design and fabrication of CMOS continuous-time low-pass integrated baseband filters intended for a fully integrated multiband Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) receiver. The low-pass filters are part of a bistatic SAR receiver which exhibits less complexity of circuit implementation compared to its monostatic type of antenna counterpart. The bistatic SAR separates the transmit circuits from the receive circuits which is divided into sub-apertures. Since a large number of channels are required, it is very desirable to design integrated receivers in modern ultra deep submicron technologies which can cope with a limited space. In this SAR receiver, the band of operation is bandpass filtered in the radiator panel. However, it is important to have filtering again in the baseband to keep the signal path clean from interfering signals and to limit the noise bandwidth. This continuous-time baseband filter needs to be on-chip and the cutoff frequency must be at 50 MHz up until 160 MHz. This is very challenging in ultra deep submicron Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) technologies in which a low supply voltage around 1.2 V is demanded. In addition, the integrated low-pass filter is targeted to have low  $\pm 0.75$  dB to  $\pm 1$  dB passband ripple and embedded gain to cater the requirement of the baseband. At the same time, the filter needs to be the most selective since it is located before the Analog to Digital Converter (ADC), to avoid the aliasing noise and unwanted out-of-band signals in the signal sampling. The main objective of this work is to design and fabricate a low-pass continuous-time integrated baseband filter circuit with cutoff frequency of 50 MHz up to 160 MHz as part of a fully integrated SAR receiver. The filter should exhibit passband ripple from  $\pm 0.5$  dB to  $\pm 1$  dB as a standalone circuit and -20 dB attenuation at 220 MHz. The filter is targeted to provide gain of 20% to 30% from the whole baseband gain. The filter's functionality is to be proved by means of experimental results, at first as a standalone structure. Then, the measurement of the filter together with the other block as a complete SAR receiver is to be executed to prove the functionality of the filter in the receiver. The design activity has been done in two phases using Cadence and Eldo as the design and simulation tools respectively. 5<sup>th</sup>-order Chebyshev  $g_m$ -C low-pass filters were implemented in 130 nm CMOS technology and were synthesized using a lossy prototype. Since a low supply voltage of 1.2 V was targeted, a pseudo-differential topology transconductor has been designed. A negative resistance circuit was utilized as a means of Q-control circuit, which ensured the DC gain of the transconductor fixed to the targeted 26 dB. A 5-bit switched capacitor matrices has been developed to tune the filters' bandwidth. In addition, a 9-bit current-steering digital-to-analog converter (IDAC) was designed in the baseband to compensate the DC-offset. Measurement results of the filters as a standalone structure and also together with the other receiver blocks have proved the functionality of the circuits. The performances of the filters meet the specifications, in which with cutoff frequencies of 160 MHz and 50 MHz, 4.9 nV/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  and 7.7 nV/ $\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$  input referred noise density is achieved by the former and later, respectively. Both the filters have embedded gain of 8 dB and have a gain flatness of  $\pm 1$  dB as a standalone circuit. Although the phase linearity specified was not met, the phase response may be linearized with an all-pass filter, which is recommended for future work.

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

This chapter presents an introduction to the research work in this thesis. The background and the problem statement are presented and the objectives of this research work are given in the next section. Then, the organization of this thesis is explained.

### 1.2 Background

In (Berens, 2006; Cherniakov, 2007; Torre, 2013), a radar or radio detection and ranging is defined as an electromagnetic sensor used for the detection and location of reflecting objects. In most cases of the radar system in which an antenna is the physical object, it is called a real aperture radar (RAR) (Sullivan, 2004). In the case where the antenna moves to cover a synthetic aperture, it produces a technique called a synthetic aperture radar (SAR). The principle of SAR was formed in 1951 in the US at the Goodyear Company by Carl Wiley (Sullivan, 2004; Richards, 2005, Cumming, Wong, 2004, Lancomm, 2011).

SAR is produced based on a desire to achieve finer resolution in an airborne or a spaceborn ground-mapping radar. Although the image quality obtained from SAR is not as good as the image resolution captured by photographic systems, the attribute of SAR that is the insensitive to weather conditions is an advantage (Berens, 2006). SAR can

image a scene through clouds and rough (inclement) weather due to the propagation of the RF waves. In addition, SAR can also image at any time regardless of day and night because it provides its own light via transmitted pulse and does not rely on the sun for illumination.

Classical SAR systems use an active antenna comprised a lot of active transmit and receive cells (Cherniakov, 2008). Each of the cells transmitted signals and received signals from individual antenna elements (or a group of elements). In order to create and steer the transmitted and received beam, the amplitude and phase of individual signals are adjusted under the control of a digital bus, integrated within the antenna structure (Sullivan, 2004). This architecture is called a monostatic SAR. This system enables beam steering and the selection of parts of the swath (target area) for high resolution measurements. It also allows lower resolution measurements of a larger area of the swath.

However, in circuit implementation point of view, such an active antenna implementation is highly complex (Muff, 2015). It requires the integration of microwave transmit power circuits, low noise microwave receive circuits, digital control circuits and bias supplies. In the case of a spaceborne SAR, its next generation will utilize digital beam forming techniques to improve performance and flexibility (Cherniakov, 2008). For this application, early downconversion and digitization at subarray (sub-apertures) level will be needed. Thus, the current system architecture cannot provide high resolution and wide coverage simultaneously, adequate for the expanding of the earth observation as well as the user community. It is also complex, poses a critical technology challenges and thus places a high financial expense on the market area.

A novel instrument overcomes the classical SAR limitations by using separated transmit and receive apertures. It is called a bistatic SAR (Cherniakov, 2008). The transmit aperture is smaller compared to that of conventional SAR. It determines the

swath width and the azimuth resolution. The larger receive only aperture which is divided into sub-apertures compensates the lower gain and the poor ambiguity performance of the transmit aperture. In the receive cell, a time frequency variant digital beam forming is applied to focus the receive gain on the transmitted pulse as it runs over the earth's surface (Ludwig et al 2003).

Each receive panel of a SAR receiver could consist typically around 30 elements or even more, depending on the radar configuration. A typical antenna could have more than thousand elements (Cherniakov, 2008). The number of receiver and digital channels is the same if digital beam forming is fully applied, on the element level.

Since a large number of channels are required, it is very desirable to design integrated receivers in modern ultra deep submicron technologies which can cope with a limited space. Accommodation, mass, noise and especially electrical power dissipation are all critical parameters. In this case, fully integrated receivers are very attractive to fit in the limited space and also to simplify manufacturing processes by reducing part count. The constraint is that the large number of channels requires low power implementation in order to keep the overall power consumption within the limits while high bandwidth is needed.

Radar system design has a strict limitation. The legal issues are decided at international conference which fix the bandwidth as well as the frequencies authorized (Massonet, Souyris, 2008). In the case of Synthetic Aperture Radar, the bandwidth and the authorized frequencies are shown in Table 1.1. The targeted band for this SAR receiver are C band, L band and X band. Hence, the RF bandwidth in the radar receiver has to be between 100 MHz up until 320 MHz.

Table 1.1: Authorized bandwidth and frequency for Synthetic Aperture Radar.

Band	Ku band	X band	C band	S band	L band
Center frequency	13.5 GHz	9.65 GHz	5.41 GHz	3.2 GHz	1.26 GHz
RF Bandwidth	500 MHz	300-320 MHz	320 MHz	200 MHz	85-100 MHz

Generally in a radar receiver, the band of operation is bandpass filtered in the radiator panel. The bandpass filter is assumed to suppress any unwanted out-of-band interfering signals to an adequate low level before the integrated receiver. However, a very selective RF bandpass filtering would be required before the sampling of the signal in receiver architectures that performs A/D conversion close to the receiver input. This is due to the increased effects of the aliasing of noise and unwanted out-of band interferers in the signal sampling operation. Furthermore, it is die area consuming to implement selective integrated RF filter. With regards to off-chip implementation, the more selective the RF filter, the more discrete components have to be used, thus increasing the price.

In this SAR receiver, the RF bandpass filter is implemented off-chip before the integrated receiver. When employing radar receiver architectures such as superheterodyne and direct conversion topology, some filtering is needed in addition to the bandpass filter of the radiator panel to have clean signal path.

### 1.3 Problem Statement

Although the RF bandpass filter has been implemented off-chip in this SAR receiver, it is important to have filtering again in the baseband to keep the signal path clean from interfering signals and to limit the noise bandwidth. This continuous-time baseband filter needs to be on-chip to have an integrated SAR receiver. The specification of the cutoff frequency for the integrated baseband low-pass filter in this SAR receiver is

high and must be at 50 MHz up until 160 MHz. This is very challenging especially in ultra deep submicron Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) technologies in which a low supply voltage around 1.2 V is demanded. The low supply voltage limits the number of stacked transistors and voltage swing of the circuits. As a result, a low dynamic range is achieved and the power dissipation might increase. (Annema, Nauta, van Langevelde, Tuinhout, 2005; Sylvester, Srivastava, 2007).

In addition to an accurate frequency response, the integrated low-pass filter is targeted to have low  $\pm 0.75$  dB to  $\pm 1$  dB passband ripple. To implement such a filter, the integrators forming the filter are required to have sufficiently high Q-factors. In wideband filters, any degradation in the integrator Q-factors may deteriorate the frequency response of the filter. Generally, it is difficult to design an integrator having simultaneously a high DC gain and a wide bandwidth. A finite DC gain and parasitic poles of the active elements forming the integrator (for example operational amplifiers or transconductors) degrade the integrator Q-factor and thus deteriorates the accuracy of the desired transfer function. Furthermore, parasitic wiring resistances and capacitances alone may degrade the Q-factor of the filter integrator and this effect tends to worsen in wideband filter designs. Consequently, a method has to be carefully chosen to design the wideband integrated baseband low-pass filter having an accurate frequency response.

At the same time, the integrated baseband low-pass filter needs to be the most selective since it is located before the Analog to Digital Converter (ADC), to avoid the aliasing noise and unwanted out-of-band signals in the sampling of the signal. The sampling frequency of the ADC in this SAR receiver is 440 MHz. From the system level specification, the baseband integrated low-pass filter must exhibit attenuation of -20 dB at half of the sampling frequency. Thus, a correct prototype and filter order should be selected to meet the selectivity together with the low passband ripple specifications.