

**PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
OF TREATED KAPOK FIBER REINFORCED
POLYESTER COMPOSITES**

ZYAD SALEM ALSAGAYAR

SCHOOL OF MATERIALS ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2010



**PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES
OF TREATED KAPOK FIBER REINFORCED
POLYESTER COMPOSITES**

by

ZYAD SALEM ALSAGAYAR

(0931620398)

A thesis submitted

In partial fulfillment of requirements for degree
of Master of Science (Polymer Engineering)

SCHOOL OF MATERIALS ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2010

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful

With blessings and peace be upon the most honorable Prophets and Messengers, Muhammad and his Folk, Companions and those who follow noble way.

Alhamdulillah and thank to Allah, who has given me the commitment and strength to finish this research. It gives a great pleasure to write this acknowledgement. First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor, Ir. Dr. Surya who is a lecturer of School of Materials Engineering for his guidance, encouragements, suggestions, and ideas throughout the course of the work described hereby. His methodical and analytical approach toward problem solving has been very inspiring and I managed to learn something new on developing skills in the world of engineering whereas I learned in a good and healthy working environment.

Special thanks to my father Salem Mohamed, my mother Fatima, my sisters brothers and every single one of my relatives for their patience, understanding, unfailing love and support though the ups and downs. Not forgotten, I would like to express my gratitude and thanks as well to all staff and lecturers, specially Dr. Ir. Salmah Husseinsyah and laboratory technician of School of Materials Engineering for their helped, cooperation, guidance and sharing ideas in order to complete this research. An extended appreciation is also expressed to University Malaysia Perlis for giving facility and

*resource in completing this study. Last but not least, I would like to thank everyone who has been involved in this project or indirectly for their help and contribution, especially all my classmates without any exception. **Thank you very much!***

THANKS TO ALMIGHTY ALLAH

ZYAD SALEM ALSAGAYAR

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

© This item is protected by original copyright

SIFAT-SIFAT FIZIKAL DAN MEKANIKAL SERAT KAPOK YANG DIPERKUAT DENGAN KOMPOSIT POLIESTER

ABSTRAK

Komposit serat kapok diperolehi dengan menggunakan lima komposisi pengisi yang berbeza untuk mengkaji sifat-sifat mekanikal dan fizikal komposit tersebut. Kajian itu dibuat dengan membandingkan ciri-ciri keputusan awal dan akhir rawatan serat tersebut. Proses rawatan itu dijalankan di bawah keadaan tertentu bersama 2 % asid malik atau larutan natrium hidroksida. Kapok direndam selama dua jam di dalam larutan dan kemudian dimasukkan ke dalam oven selama 24 jam untuk dikeringkan. Serat kapok berbeza yang telah dirawat diisi dengan poliester dan kemudian ditekan dengan pemampat pada suhu 80 °C. Sampel tersebut diuji dengan kebolehan lentur, pemanjangan, impak dan penuaan hygrothermal. Pemanjangan lebih baik pada sampel yang tidak mengalami penuaan tidak kira serat tersebut dirawat dengan asid malik atau NaOH. Kekuatan lentur untuk komposit yang dirawat asid malik atau NaOH didapati berkurang dengan pertambahan beban ke atas serat. Untuk ujian ketahanan impak pula, apabila beban serat bertambah, ketahanan terhadap impak juga meningkat. Kesimpulan daripada kajian dapat dibuat ialah serat kapok perlu ditingkatkan lagi kualitinya untuk digunakan sebagai bahan penguat

PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF TREATED KAPOK FIBER REINFORCED POLYESTER COMPOSITES

ABSTRACT

The composite of treated kapok fibre reinforced polyester resin was carried out by using five different compositions of filler to study the physical and mechanical properties of composite. The investigation of the effect of fiber was done by comparing the characterizations results before and after the treatments of fiber. The treatment process of kapok has been done under certain conditions by 2% of maleic acid or sodium hydroxide solution, the kapok has dipped into the solution for two hours then placed in oven for 24 hours to make them dried. Different loading of treated kapok fiber was filled in polyester then moulded in compression at 80 °C. The samples were characterized under tensile, flexure, impact, and hygrothermal aging. The results showed that, better tensile properties were for unaged samples neither the kapok was treated by maleic acid or NaOH, despite they were decreased by increasing the loading of fiber. In addition, flexural strength of both composites treated by (maleic acid or NaOH) was found to be decrease as the fiber loading increased. The composites under impact strength test exhibited, as the fiber loading rise up in both cases (treated by NaOH or maleic acid) the impact strength increase stately. Due to low mechanical and physical properties exhibited by treated kapok fiber reinforced polyester it can be noted that, kapok fiber need to be improved as reinforcing material.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	i
APPROVAL AND DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Research Objectives	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Composites	5

2.1.1	Definition of Composites.....	5
2.1.2	Classification of Composites	8
2.1.3	Polymer Composites.....	9
2.1.3.1	Thermoplastic and Thermoset Composites.....	10
2.1.3.2	Matrix and Filler	12
2.2	Polyester Resins	15
2.3	Curing Agent.....	19
2.4	Natural Fiber	21
2.4.1	Classification of Natural Fiber	24
2.4.1.1	Vegetable Fiber	25
2.4.1.2	Wood fiber	26
2.4.1.3	Animal Fiber	26
2.4.2	Characteristic of Fibers.....	26
2.4.3	Application of Natural Fiber in Composites.....	27
2.4.4	Natural fiber composites.....	28
2.5	Kapok Fiber.....	30

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY 35

3.1	Materials.....	35
-----	----------------	----

3.2	Equipment	35
3.3	Procedures	36
3.3.1	Treatment of fiber	36
3.3.2	Sample Preparation of Composites.....	37
3.4	Testing and Characterization.	39
3.4.1	Tensile Test.....	39
3.4.2	Flexural Test.	40
2.4.3	Charpy Impact Test.....	41
2.4.4	Hygrothermal Aging	42
2.4.5	Scanning Electron Microscopy Study (SEM).....	43
2.5	Flow Chart of the Experiment.....	44
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		45
4.1	Mechanical Properties.....	45
4.1.1	Tensile Properties.....	45
4.1.2	Flexural Properties	56
4.1.3	Impact Strength	58
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS		63

5.1	Conclusion	63
5.2	Recommendations	64
REFERENCES		65

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF TABLES

Table No:	Page
Table 2.1: Typical properties of isophatalic unsaturated previous polyester resin.....	18
Table 2.2: Chemical and physical properties of MEKP	21
Table 2.3: Chemical composition of some plant fibers.....	32
Table 2.4: Physical properties of the plant fibers.....	33
Table 2.5: Mechanical properties of coir and the seed fibers.....	34
Table 2.6: Plant fibers reinforced composites.....	34
Table 3.1: Formulation of treatment processing.....	36
Table 3.2: Formulation of kapok fiber reinforced polyester resin.....	38

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No:	Page
Figure 2.1: Types of composites	9
Figure 2.2: Systematic illustration of the structural components of composite materials	15
Figure 2.3: Chemical structure of isophthalic unsaturated polyester resin (IUPR).....	17
Figure 2.4: Cross-linking process of polyester by peroxide curing agent.....	18
Figure 2.5: The chemical structure of MEKP	20
Figure 2.6: Classification of Natural Fibers.....	25
Figure 2.7: The SEM Image of Single Kapok Fiber	31
Figure 3.1: Compression Molding Machine.....	39
Figure 3.2: Instorn Machine	40
Figure 3.3: Charpy Impact machine	42
Figure 3.4: Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	43
Figure 3.5: Flow chart of the experiment	44
Figure 4.1: Effect of filler loading on the tensile strength of treated kapok fiber filled polyester composites before and after hygrothermal aging.....	47
Figure 4.2: Effect of filler loading on the elongation at break of treated kapok fiber filled polyester resin composite before and after hygrothermal aging.....	48

Figure 4.3: Effect of filler loading on the modulus's elasticity of treated kapok fiber filled polyester resin composite before and after hygrothermal aging	49
Figure 4.4: Scanning electron micrograph of tensile fracture surfaces of unaged composite that filled by 5% of treated kapok fiber (a) treated by Maleic acid and (b) treated by NaOH	52
Figure 4.5: Scanning electron micrograph of tensile fracture surfaces of unaged composite that filled by 10% of treated kapok fiber (a) treated by Maleic acid (b) treated by NaOH	53
Figure 4.6: Scanning electron micrograph of tensile fracture surfaces of aged composite that filled by 5% of treated kapok fiber; (a) treated by Maleic acid and (b) treated by NaOH	54
Figure 4.7: Scanning electron micrograph of tensile fracture surfaces of aged composite that filled by 10% of treated kapok fiber; (a) treated by Maleic acid and (b) treated by NaOH	55
Figure 4.8: Effect of filler loading on the flexural strength of treated Kapok fiber filled polyester composites	57
Figure 4.9: Effect of different filler loading on the flexural modulus of treated kapok fiber filled polyester resin composite.	58
Figure 4.10: Effect of different filler loading on the Impact strength of treated kapok fiber filled polyester composites	59

Figure 4.11: Scanning electron micrograph of impact fracture surfaces of unaged composite that filled by 5% of treated kapok fiber (a) treated by Maleic acid and (b) treated by NaOH 61

Figure 4.12: Scanning electron micrograph of impact fracture surfaces of unaged composite that filled by 10% of treated kapok fiber (a) treated by Maleic acid and (b) treated by NaOH 62

© This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
°C	Degree Celsius
FS	Flexural Strength
g	Gram
IM	Impact Strength
KJ/m²	Kilo Joule/ Meter ²
Kg	Kilogram
MPa	Mega Pascal
MEKP	Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide
mm	Millimeter
min	Minute
%	Percentage
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
NaOH	Sodium Hydroxide
UPR	Unsaturated Polyester Resin
w t%	Weight Percent

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

The use of composite materials have been used for many centuries ago, some 3000 years ago in Egypt, straw was used as a fiber to reinforce clay to build walls. In the industrial plastic, a combination plastic with wood flour or natural fiber was introduced. As one of the typical examples for application of natural fiber (cotton) embedded in polyester matrix was the body of the East German car (1950-1990). Over more, construction materials were developed more and more when the glass fiber with tough, rigid resin was able to be produced on large scale (Bledzki & Faruk., 2006).

Some properties of cotton fiber-polyester composites have been reported. These include density which was marked 1400kg/m³, tensile was at 34.5-689.6 MPa, flexural was between 62.1- 124.1 MPa while the impact resistance reached 253.3-428.8 kg m/m², and water absorption has marked 0.8% it was for 24 hours at room temperature (Mwaikambo & Bisanda., 1999). But 25 years later it was reported that cotton fabric reinforced phenol in resin composites was used as breakings in place of phosphor bronze in the roll necks off steel and also non-ferrous rolling mills, this created in energy saving of up to 25%. Unfortunately no details regarding the fabrication and property were reported (Satyanarayana, Gregorio & Wypych., 2009).

Nowadays, a vast variety of agrarian species such as sisal, bamboo, jute, straw or pineapple leaf, are considered for use in the manufacture of plant fiber reinforced polyester composites. Even though the mechanical properties of composites have been obtained compared with neat resin, much basic research is needed before plant fiber can compete with glass fiber, and for example, a small research was done with hemp-polyester system, although hemp is generally regarded as one of the strongest and heaviest available agricultural fibers listed (Rouison, Sain & Couturier., 2006).

However wood fiber presents some benefits such as, high specific strength, low density and Young's modulus; it is non-abrasive to processing supplies, low cost and most importantly biodegradable (Karmarkar, et al., 2007). However, there are some disadvantages of wood fiber. Its hydrophilic nature is lowers the compatibility with hydrophobic polymeric matrices. It also presents dimensional stability that prevents a wider use of natural fiber composites (Barkoula, Garkhail & Peijs., 2010).The possibility of using these materials in outdoor application is to makes it necessary to analyses their mechanical behavior under the influence of ageing (Arbelaiz, et al., 2005).

Another researcher have done that, Kraft fiber was reinforced polypropylene (PP) composites to describe the influence of fiber length, fiber beating and hygrothermal ageing on tensile strength (TS), Young's modulus (YM), failure strain (FS) and impact strength (IS) and found that, TS, YM and IS of composites were found to decrease and FS increased with decreasing fiber length (Beg & Pickering., 2008). But modest levels of fiber beating increased the TS of composites, which were believed to be due to improved interfacial bonding. Then during Hygrothermal ageing, increasing the ageing temperature, the

diffusion coefficient increased. TS and YM were found to decrease for Hygrothermal ageing due to fiber damage and reduced fiber matrix interfacial bonding, whilst FS and IS were found to increase due to the plasticizing effect of water (Pegoretti & Penati., 2004). In another work, Hemp fiber was used to reinforce polyester composite and they were prepared using resin transfer molding technique and the flexural and impact behavior had been investigated. An increasing trend with fiber content was shown by flexural stress at break and flexural modulus. The impact strength decreased at low fiber content, but it was gradually increased with further addition of fiber (Sebe, et al., 2000).

One of natural fiber which has great relevance to Asian countries is that Jute fiber and this due to its systematic cultivation and processing, (Satyanarayana, et al., 1990). There were extensive studies about Jute fiber which have been made to fabrication jute/epoxy and jute/polyester, laminates for social uses such as low-cost housing boats. It was about studying the effect of process variables such as curing temperature and time on mechanical properties of jute fiber- polymer composites.

For the best of our knowledge, nothing has been reported on the preparation of treated kapok fiber/ polyester resin. In this project, polyester resin is used as matrix polymer whereas the kapok fiber acts as the filler, and the catalyst as the binder. Here polyester resin/kapok fiber composites are prepared and (physical and mechanical) properties such as tensile strength, flexural strength, impact strength, hygrothermal aging and SEM investigated.

1.2 Research Objectives

The primary objective of this research is to determine the physical and mechanical properties and the characterization of kapok fiber reinforced polyester resin composite of different composition. Several compositions of kapok fiber/polyester composite were prepared in order to study;

1. To study the effect of filler loading of treated kapok fiber filled polyester composites.
2. To compare between two different elements chemical treatment on natural fiber (kapok fiber) reinforced polyester composites on mechanical properties.
3. To study the effect of hygrothermal aging on tensile properties of treated kapok fiber reinforced polyester composite.

CHAPTER 2

LITEARATURE REVIEW

2.1 Composites

2.1.1 Definition of Composites

Composites are defined as materials consisting of two or more distinct phase with recognized interface or interphase. Composites can be categorize by their matrix characteristics including type (metal, ceramic, polymeric based and inorganic or organic) origin (natural or artificial) and process ability (thermoset or thermoplastic) (Karge, 1993). Another phase is called reinforcing phase, is in form of fibers, sheets, or particles and is embedded in the matrix phase.

Compared with inorganic fiber in polymeric material, filler from natural fibers exhibit a number of advantages; for example, they are low in the density and price, cause less abrasion to processing equipment, are relatively harmless, biodegradable and renewable and yet their mechanical properties are comparable to those in organic fiber (Imam, et al., 1998).

One of the main disadvantages of natural fiber/plastic composites is that, poor compatibility exhibited between the hydrophobic polymeric matrix and the hydrophilic fiber. Poor compatibility leads to the formation of a weak interface, which results in poor mechanical properties. In order to improve the wettability of the fibers onto the matrix, either a third component has to be used –the so-called compatibilizer- or the fibers have to be surface modified prior to the preparation of the composites (Fung, et al., 2003).

In recent years, composite materials have received significant attention from the scientific community and it's used in a wide variety of applications. Composite materials are chronicled from the dawn of earliest recorded history. The first known application of composite materials occurred several thousand years ago, when materials have been used for many centuries ago, as a first uses, straw was used as a fiber to reinforce clay to build walls. In the industrial plastic, a combination plastic with wood flour or natural fiber was introduced (Bledzki & Faruk, 2006).

Since that time, great strides have been done in the development of composite material. They now offer the promise of new products with extraordinary strength, stiffness, and chemical and temperature resistance. Composite materials are materials that made from two or more constituent materials with significantly different physical or chemical properties, to give a combination of properties that cannot be in the original materials (Gupta & Gupta, 2005).

The development of these new materials will enable the circumvention of classic material performance trade-off by accessing new properties and exploiting unique synergies between materials. (Chung, 2001) said that composite materials obtained by artificial combination different materials to attain properties that the individual component cannot aim.

(Tuttle, 2004) described composites materials as combination of material that is one in which two (or more) materials are bonded together to form a third material. (Strong, 2000) derived the composites material as those solid materials composed of binder or matrix that surrounding and binds together fibrous reinforcement. (Hull & Clyne, 1996) derived composites as a material that comprise of hard and discontinuous reinforcement that embedded in a weaker and continuous matrix while (Alger, 1989) has defined a composite material as a combination of two or more materials in which the individual components retain their separate identifies while it exhibits different properties from those of its components. The reinforcement provides strength and rigidity, helping to support structure load. The matrix maintains the position and orientation of the reinforcement. Significantly, constituents of the composites retain their individual, physical and chemical properties; yet together they produce a combination of qualities which individual constituents would be incapable of producing alone.

The development of these new materials wills enables the circumvention of classic material performance trade-offs by accessing new properties and exploiting unique synergies between materials (Koo, 2006). Much naturally occurring material can be view as

composites. Wood, laminated wood and bone are composite. Wood is natural composites (Tuttle, 2004). It is one of the oldest and most widely used structural materials. Wood is made up of fibrous chain of cellulose molecules in a matrix of lignin, while bone and teeth are both essentially composed of hard inorganic crystal (hydroxyapatite or osteons) in a matrix of tough organic constituent called collagen. In all composites, the filler is embedded within a matrix. The introduction of filler into the matrix alters all the properties. The filler impacts their mechanical and physical properties to enhance the matrix properties.

2.1.2 Classification of Composites

There are several different types of composites. Composites materials are often classified on the basis of the matrix material used, rather than the reinforcing material. There are three main classes, according to the matrix;

- ❖ Polymer matrix composites
- ❖ Metal matrix composites
- ❖ Ceramic matrix composites
- ❖ Carbon Graphite matrix composites




Figure 2.1: Types of composites

2.1.3 Polymer Composites

Polymer composites materials represent about 90% of all composites (Strong, 2000). Polymer composites are composites that used the polymer as the matrix. (Chiang & Hung, 1999) derived a polymer composite as a polymer mixed with filler. Chemically, polymer matrix resin may be classified as thermosetting and thermoplastic resin. Every resin system offers advantages and disadvantages.