



UniMAP

**New Design of Wireless Ad-Hoc Network (WAHCN)
For Motorway Monitoring System**

By

LAYTH ABDULKAREEM HASSNAWI

(1040210463)

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Computer Engineering)

**School of Computer and Communication Engineering
UNIVERSITY MALAYSIA PERLIS
MALAYSIA**

2013

Acknowledgements

I would like to use this opportunity to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. R. Badlishah Bin Ahmad, for his continuous encouragement, advice and motivation that enabled me to achieve all goals to complete this research to the best of my standard. His insight and knowledge makes him a significant person to me. It is my great honour to be his student.

I would also like to thank my Co-supervisor Dr. Abid Yahya for his kind support, and invaluable suggestions. I would like to express sincere thanks to my Co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Syed Alwee Aljunid for his guidance throughout this project.

I would like to express my gratitude towards all those who gave me the possibility to complete this thesis, especially the MCRG members.

I wish to thank my parents, for their daily prayers, giving me the motivation and strength, and encouraging me to accomplish and achieve my goals.

Last but not least, sincere thanks and gratitude to my wife Aysar and my children Abdullah, Mohammed and Umniyah who inspired me by their, courage, support and patience throughout the period of my study.

Layth Abdulkareem Hassnawi
University Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATION OF THESIS	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
ABSTRAK (MALAY)	xxii
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	xxiv
 CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
 1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Constraints of Using Ad Hoc Networks	4
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Research Objectives	6
1.5 Summary of Contributions	7
1.6 Thesis Outlines	8

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Surveillance System Types	12
2.2.1	Surveillance Systems Based on Wired Networks	13
2.2.2	Surveillance System Based On Infrastructure Wireless Networks	15
2.3	Types of Network Technologies	21
2.3.1	Wired Networking	21
2.3.2	Infrastructure Wireless Networking	24
2.3.2.1	Routing In Infrastructure Wireless Networks	26
2.3.3	Infrastructureless Wireless Network (Ad Hoc Networks)	27
2.3.3.1	Challenges in Mobile Ad hoc Networks (MANET)	31
2.4	Routing Performance in Mobile Ad hoc Networks	33
2.5	Improvement of Routing Protocols In Mobile Ad hoc Networks	37
2.6	Summary	39

CHAPTER 3 ROUTING IN MOBILE AD HOC NETWORK

3.1	Introduction	44
3.2	Properties of MANET Routing Protocols	45
3.3	Classification of Routing Protocols in MANET	46
3.4	Proactive Routing Protocols	47
3.4.1	Optimized Link State Routing Protocol (OLSR)	47
3.4.1.1	Advantages and Disadvantages of OLSR	50

3.5	Reactive Routing Protocols	51
3.5.1	Dynamic Source Routing Protocol (DSR)	52
3.5.1.1	Route Discovery Mechanism in DSR	53
3.5.1.2	Route Maintenance Mechanism in DSR	54
3.5.1.3	Advantages and Disadvantages of DSR	55
3.5.2	Ad Hoc on Demand Distance Vector Routing Protocol (AODV)	57
3.5.2.1	Route Discovery Mechanism in AODV	58
3.5.2.2	Route Maintenance Mechanism in AODV	60
3.5.2.3	Drawback of AODV	61
3.5.2.4	Advantage and Disadvantage of AODV	61
3.6	Hybrid Routing Protocols	62
3.6.1	Zone Routing Protocol (ZRP)	62
3.6.1.1	Route Discovery Mechanism in ZRP	63
3.6.1.2	Route Maintenance Mechanism in ZRP	64
3.7	Summary	64

CHAPTER 4 WAHCN SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND MODELING

4.1	Introduction	66
4.2	Proposed Motorway Surveillance System Network (WAHCN) Design	67
4.2.1	Modeling of WAHCN	67
4.2.1.1	System Architecture	67
4.2.1.2	Camera Node Configuration	69
4.2.1.3	Transmission Range Setting	69

4.2.1.4	Transport Layer Protocol	69
4.2.1.5	Network Layer Protocol	70
4.2.1.6	Link Layer Protocol	71
4.2.2	Design Parameters Configuration of WAHCN and Evaluation Scenarios	72
4.2.2.1	Topologies of WAHCN	72
4.2.2.2	Channel Capacity	74
4.2.2.3	Packet Rate	75
4.2.2.4	Packet Size	75
4.2.2.5	Vehicle Speed and Mobility Pattern	75
4.2.3	Simulation Model and Setup	76
4.2.4	Analysis Metrics	77
4.3	Finding and Requesting Desired Camera Protocol Development	78
4.3.1	Protocol Architecture	80
4.3.1.1	Packet Format of PREQ Control Message	82
4.3.1.2	Packet Format of PREP Control Message	83
4.3.1.3	Packet Format of IREQ Control Message	84
4.3.1.4	Packet Format of IREP Control Message	84
4.3.2	Outline of SFP Protocol Algorithm	85
4.3.2.1	IP Address Computation of Desired Camera	88
4.3.3	Evaluation Scenarios for SFP Protocol	90
4.4	Methodologies of Analyzing Performance of Different Routing Protocols on WAHCN Scenario	90
4.4.1	Network Modeling for Analyzing Routing Protocols	92
4.4.2	Evaluation Scenarios	93
4.4.3	Analysis Metrics	94

4.5	Modifying AODV Routing Protocol to Improve WAHCN Performance	95
4.5.1	MAODV Protocol Mechanism	96
4.5.2	MAODV Protocol Operation	97
4.5.3	Outline of MAODV Algorithm	98
4.5.4	Mathematical Modeling of MAODV	101
4.5.5	Simulation Model and Setup	105
4.5.6	MAODV Evaluation Scenario	106
4.5.7	Analysis Metrics	106
4.6	Summary	107

CHAPTER 5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Evaluation and Analysis of Design Parameters for WAHCN	110
5.2.1	Performance Analysis Using Single Line Topology on WAHCN	110
5.2.1.1	Performance of Single Line Topology by Varying The Number of Nodes between Source and Destination	110
5.2.1.2	Performance of Single Line Topology by Varying Vehicle Speed	114
5.2.2	Performance Analysis Using Double Line Topology on WAHCN	117
5.2.2.1	Performance of Double Line Topology by Varying The Number of Nodes between Source and Destination	117
5.2.2.2	Performance of Double Line Topology by Varying Vehicle Speed	120
5.2.3	Performance Comparison between Single and Double Line Topologies	122
5.2.3.1	Comparison of Single and Double Line Topologies Based on Varying Number of Nodes	123

5.2.3.2	Comparison of Single and Double Line Topologies Based on Varying Number of Users	126
5.2.4	WAHCN Design Parameters Analysis	130
5.2.4.1	Channel Capacity Analysis (Bandwidth)	130
5.2.4.2	Packet Rate Analysis	133
5.2.4.3	Packet Size Analysis	136
5.2.4.4	Vehicle speed Analysis	140
5.3	Performance Evaluation of SFP Protocol	142
5.4	Evaluation and Analysis of The Performance of Different Routing Protocols	144
5.4.1	Effects of Vehicle Speed Variation on Routing Performance When Using Light Network Load	145
5.4.2	Effects of Vehicle Speed Variation on Routing Performance When Using Heavy Network Load	150
5.5	Performance Evaluation of The Modified AODV Routing Protocol	155
5.5.1	Performance Evaluation and analysis of MAODV Using Heavy Network Load	155
5.6	Summary	162
 CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK		
6.1	Conclusions	165
6.2	Future Work	168
 REFERENCES		170
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		182
LIST OF AWARDS		185

LIST OF TABLES

No.		PAGE
2.1	Summary of Literature Review	39
3.1	OLSR Advantages and Disadvantages	50
3.2	DSR Advantages and Disadvantages	55
3.3	AODV Advantages and Disadvantages	61
4.1	Parameter Setup for AODV Protocol	93
4.2	Parameter Setup for DSR Protocol	93
4.3	Parameter Setup for OLSR Protocol	93
4.4	Symbol Description	102
5.1	Preferred Value of WAHCN Design Parameters	163
6.1	Preferred WAHCN Design Parameter Values	166

LIST OF FIGURES

No.	PAGE
1.1 Vehicle Driver Monitoring	2
1.2 Wireless Ad Hoc Camera Networks (WAHCN)	3
2.1 Wired Network Topologies	22
3.1 Classification of Routing Protocols in MANETs	46
3.2 MPR Node Selection in OLSR	48
3.3 Route Discovery Mechanisms in DSR	54
3.4 AODV Mechanisms	58
3.5 Routing Zone of Radius 2 Hops	63
4.1 Wireless Ad Hoc Camera Networks (WAHCN)	68
4.2 Single Line Topology Scenario	73
4.3 Double Lines Topology Scenario	74
4.4 Motorway User Monitoring	79
4.5 MANET's Protocol Stack Model	80
4.6 PREQ Packet Format	82
4.7 PREP Packet Format	83
4.8 IREQ Packet Format	84
4.9 IREP Packet Format	85
4.10 SFP Protocol Flowchart	89
4.11 Flowchart of Repairing the Broken Link using MAODV Protocol	100
4.12 Motorway Surveillance System Scenario	101

5.1	Network Throughput vs. Number of Nodes between Source and Destination in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	111
5.2	Number of Lost Packets vs. Number of Nodes between Source and Destination in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	112
5.3	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Number of Nodes between Source and Destination in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	113
5.4	Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Number of Nodes between Source and Destination in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	113
5.5	Network Throughput vs. Vehicle Speed in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	114
5.6	Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Vehicle Speed in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	115
5.7	Number of Lost Packets vs. Vehicle Speed in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	116
5.8	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Vehicle Speed in Single Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	116
5.9	Network Throughput vs. Number of Nodes between Source and Destination in Double Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	118
5.10	Number of Lost Packets vs. Number of Nodes between Source and Destination in Double Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	119
5.11	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Number of Nodes between Source and Destination in Double Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	119
5.12	Network Throughput vs. Vehicle Speed in Double Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	121

5.13	Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Vehicle Speed in Double Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	121
5.14	Number of Lost Packets vs. Vehicle Speed in Double Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	122
5.15	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Vehicle Speed in Double Line Topology for Different Packet Sizes	122
5.16	Network Throughput vs. Number of Nodes for Single and Double Line Topologies	124
5.17	Number of Lost Packets vs. Number of Nodes for Single and Double Line Topologies	124
5.18	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Number of Nodes for Single and Double Line Topologies	125
5.19	Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Number of Nodes for Single and Double Line Topologies	126
5.20	Network Throughput vs. Number of Users for Single and Double Line Topologies	127
5.21	Number of Lost Packets vs. Number of Users for Single and Double Line Topologies	128
5.22	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Number of Users for Single and Double Line Topologies	129
5.23	Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Number of Users for Single and Double Line Topologies	129
5.24	Network Throughput vs. Number of Users for Different Channel Capacities	131
5.25	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Number of Users for Different	132

Channel Capacities	
5.26 Number of Lost Packets vs. Number of Users for Different Channel Capacities	132
5.27 Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Number of Users for Different Channel Capacities	133
5.28 Network Throughput vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Rates	134
5.29 Number of Lost Packets vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Rates	135
5.30 Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Rates	136
5.31 Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Rates	136
5.32 Network Throughput vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Sizes	137
5.33 Number of Lost Packets vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Sizes	138
5.34 Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Sizes	139
5.35 Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Number of Users for Different Packet Sizes	139
5.36 Network Throughput vs. Vehicle Speed for Different Packet Sizes	140
5.37 Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Vehicle Speed for Different Packet Sizes	141
5.38 Number of Lost Packets vs. Vehicle Speed for Different Packet Sizes	142
5.39 Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Vehicle Speed for Different Packet Sizes	142
5.40 Establishing Connection Time vs. Number of Nodes between the Source and Destination Using SFP Protocol for Single and Double Line	143

Topologies	
5.41 Establishing Connection Time vs. Vehicle Speed Using SFP Protocol for Single and Double Line Topologies	144
5.42 Network Throughput vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Light Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	146
5.43 Number of Lost Packets vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Light Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	147
5.44 Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Light Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	148
5.45 Protocol Overhead Vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Light Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	149
5.46 Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Light Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	150
5.47 Network Throughput vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	151
5.48 Number of Lost Packets vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	152
5.49 Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	153
5.50 Protocol Overhead vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	154
5.51 Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for Different Routing Protocols	155
5.52 Network Throughput vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for AODV and MAODV Routing Protocols	156

5.53	Number of Lost Packets vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for AODV and MAODV Routing Protocols	157
5.54	Packet Transmission Ratio (PTR) vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for AODV and MAODV Routing Protocols	158
5.55	Average Packet Transmission Time vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for AODV and MAODV Routing Protocols	159
5.56	Protocol Overhead Vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load for AODV and MAODV Routing Protocols	160
5.57	Packet Transmission Difference Ratio between MAODV and AODV Protocols vs. Vehicle Speed When Using Heavy Network Load	162

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

I. Basic Units

Quantity	Unit	Symbol
Data Rate, Throughput	Bit per Second or Kilo bit per Second	bps, Kbps
Frequency	Hertz, Gigahertz	Hz, GHz
Packet Size	Byte, Kilobyte	B, KB
Packet Generation Frequency	Packet per Second	Pkt/s
Speed	Meter per Second	m/s
Speed	Kilometer per hour	km/h
Time	Second, Millisecond	s, ms

II. Abbreviations

AODV	Ad Hoc on Demand Distance Vector Routing Protocol
AS	Autonomous System
ABR	Associative Based Routing
ART	Active Route Timeout
BS	Base Station
CSMA	Carrier Sense Multiple Access
CSMA/CD	Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection
CSMA/CA	Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance
CBR	Constant Bit Rate
CIT	Camera Index Table

DSR	Dynamic Source Routing Protocol
DCF	Distribution Coordination Function
DSDV	Dynamic Destination-Sequenced Distance-Vector Routing
DC	Desired Camera
FIFO	First-in-First-out transmission queue
FSR	Fisheye State Routing Protocol
GPS	Global Positioning System
HOLSR	Heterogeneous Optimized Link State Routing Protocol
IP	Internet Protocol
IERP	Inter-zone Routing Protocol
IARP	Intra-zone Routing Protocol
IREQ	Image Request
IREP	Image Reply
LAN	Local Area Network
MSS	Motorway Surveillance System
MANET	Mobile Ad Hoc Network
MAODV	Modified AODV
MAC	Media Access Control
Mbps	Mega Bit per Second
MAN	Metropolitan Area Network
MPEG	Moving Picture Experts Group
MPR	Multipoint Relaying
NETT	Net Traversal Time
OLSR	Optimized Link State Routing Protocol

OSPF	Open Shortest Path First Routing Protocol
PoE	Power over Ethernet
PHY	Physical Layer
PC	Personal Computer
PRNET	Packet Radio Network
PDT	Path Discovery Time
PREQ	Position Request
PREP	Position Reply
PTR	Packet Transmission Ratio
QoS	Quality of Service
RREP	Route Reply
RREQ	Route Request
RERR	Route Error
RF	Radio Frequency
R-AODV	Reverse AODV
SFP	Selecting and Finding Position Protocol
SS	Surveillance System
SOTIS	Self Organizing Traffic Information System
SD	Safety Distance
STP	Shielded Twisted Pair
SCALLOPSNet	Scalable Large Optical Sensor Network
TIBPEA	Time-Bounded Parameter Estimation Accuracy
TSAM	Time-Synchronized Application MAC
TP	Twisted Pair

TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TTI	Traffic and Travel Information
TORA	Temporally Ordered Routing Algorithm
TC	Topology Control
TTL	Time to Live
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
UTP	Unshielded Twisted Pair
VBR	Variable Bit Rate
VANET	Vehicular Network
VGA	Video Graphics Array
WAHCN	Wireless Ad Hoc Camera Network
WCN	Wired Camera Network
ZRP	Zone Routing Protocol
ZHLS	Zone-Based Hierarchical Link State Routing Protocol

LIST OF SYMBOLS

DC	Desired Camera
ID	Camera Identification Number
RD	Requested Distance between Vehicle and Desired Camera
d	Distance between Each Two Cameras
V_i	The i th Vehicle that Requires Image Data from Desired Camera
M	Number of Camera Nodes Existing In Transmission Range of Vehicle V_i
$SeqN$	Sequence Number Related To Each Vehicle V_i
T	Time Period between Each Two Successive Control Messages
N	Total number of nodes within the network
n	Current node index
$C(n)$	Connectivity index parameter of node n with its Neighbors
$S(n)$	Stationary index parameter for node n
P	Path of n nodes index parameter
$D(n)$	Data at node n (data or control messages)
X	Cameras nodes index
PK_n	Packet at node n
J_n	Total number of packets at node n at specific time
Y_n	Total number of neighbors of node n
$Des(seq\ no)$	Destination sequence number (parameter belongs to AODV Protocol)
PD	Path Duration Time
ρ	Proportionality Constant
L_p	Probability of Link Breakage

V_s	Vehicle Speed
R	Transmission Range
h	Number of Hops on Path

© This item is protected by original copyright

Rekabentuk Baru Rangkaian Kamera Ad-Hoc (WAHCN) Untuk Sistem Pengawasan Lebuhraya

ABSTRAK

Sistem pengawasan lebuhraya merupakan salah satu teknologi penting yang digunakan pada masa kini untuk mendapatkan maklumat mengenai keadaan semasa trafik/lebuhraya. Kamera dalam sistem pengawasan lebuhraya tradisional menggunakan teknologi rangkaian infrastruktur dengan atau tanpa wayar; manakala sistem tersebut direkabentuk bagi menghantar maklumat (imej) ke lokasi yang ditentukan (“Stesen Pangkalan” - “Base Station”). Sistem tradisional tidak berkesan dalam menyediakan capaian ke rangkaian sistem pengawasan untuk pengguna lebuhraya. Dalam kajian ini, satu rekabentuk rangkaian sistem pengawasan lebuhraya yang baru dicadangkan, dimodelkan dan dianalisis, yang dikenali sebagai Rangkaian Kamera Ad-Hoc Tanpa Wayar (Wireless Ad-Hoc Camera Network - WAHCN). WAHCN membolehkan pengguna lebuhraya mengakses data imej yang diambil oleh rangkaian kamera yang dipasang di sepanjang lebuhraya. Ia dapat meningkatkan kesedaran tentang keselamatan jalan raya melalui paparan keadaan trafik dan lebuhraya yang dapat dilihat oleh pengguna lebuhraya. Rekabentuk topologi WAHCN menghadkan jumlah imej yang boleh dihantar melalui rangkaian, bergantung kepada saiz dan kadar paket yang dihasilkan oleh setiap kamera. Hasil kajian juga mendapati dengan adanya WAHCN, prestasi rangkaian adalah lebih baik, pada kelajuan kurang daripada 120 Km/j. Satu protokol baru berdasarkan julat penghantaran nod dibangunkan untuk memastikan keberkesanan pengurusan prosedur pemilihan, pengenalpastian dan permintaan capaian kamera yang diingini tanpa menggunakan peranti GPS. Protokol ini dinamakan sebagai protokol Posisi Pemilihan dan Pengenalpastian (Selecting and Finding Position (SFP)) yang menyediakan satu cara berkesan untuk memperoleh imej daripada mana-mana kamera dalam WAHCN. Dalam mana-mana sistem rangkaian, penghalaan adalah satu proses yang penting, terutamanya untuk WAHCN disebabkan oleh persekitaran yang sangat dinamik. Jenis protokol penghalaan yang berbeza menunjukkan prestasi rangkaian yang berbeza kerana perbezaan mekanisme dan fungsi. Penilaian, perbandingan dan analisis prestasi bagi tiga protokol penghalaan (AODV, DSR, dan OLSR) untuk WAHCN telah dilaksanakan dalam kajian ini. Penilaian dan analisis dijalankan berdasarkan pengukur prestasi yang berbeza dan di bawah keadaan rangkaian yang berbeza. Hasil kajian mendapati pada kelajuan kenderaan yang pelbagai serta beban rangkaian yang berbeza, protokol AODV menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding protokol DSR dan OLSR, dengan melihat kepada kadar celusan rangkaian (35.11% dan 10.24%) dan overhead protokol (20.51% dan 57.38%). Daripada keputusan ini, satu protokol penghalaan yang baru telah dicadangkan dan dinamakan sebagai Modified AODV (MAODV). Protokol MAODV mempunyai semua ciri protokol AODV yang asal memandangkan ianya melibatkan kesemua langkah yang sama dalam mekanisme pengenalpastian dan penyelenggaraan hala dalam AODV yang asal. Dalam protokol MAODV, salah satu kamera pada hala yang lama diarahkan untuk memulakan

proses pengenalpastian semula laluan yang baru ke destinasi yang sukar dicapai berbanding nod sumber apabila terputus sambungan. Pengubahsuaian AODV mengurangkan overhead protokol dan melancarkan proses penghantaran paket (dengan pengurangan lengahan), seterusnya meningkatkan prestasi WAHCN.

© This item is protected by original copyright

New Design of Wireless Ad-Hoc Network (WAHCN) For Motorway Monitoring System

ABSTRACT

Motorway surveillance system is one of the most important technologies used today to collect information about traffic conditions. Traditional camera motorway surveillance systems are based on wired or infrastructure wireless network technology; whereby the system is designed to send the information (images) to a predetermined location (the “Base Station”). The traditional system does not provide effective access to the surveillance system network for motorway users. In this thesis a newly designed motorway surveillance system network called Wireless Ad Hoc Camera Network (WAHCN) is proposed, modeled and analyzed. WAHCN enables motorway users to access image data taken by a network of cameras placed along the motorway. It provides improved safety awareness by allowing motorway users to view traffic and road conditions. The topology design of WAHCN imposes several constraints on the amount of images can be transmitted through the network depending on the size and the rate of the packets generated by each camera. Moreover, the results showed that the WAHCN provides better performance for vehicle speeds of less than 120 Km/h. A new protocol based on transmission range of the nodes is developed to effectively manage the operation of selecting, finding and requesting the desired camera without using GPS. This protocol is called Selecting and Finding Position (SFP) protocol and provides an effective way to acquire images from any camera within the WAHCN. Routing in any network system is a critical task, especially for WAHCN due to the highly dynamic environments. Different types of routing protocols may give different network performance due to the different functional mechanisms of these protocols. The performance evaluations, comparisons, and analysis for three routing protocols (AODV, DSR, and OLSR) for WAHCN are presented in this thesis. The evaluation and analysis are performed for different performance metrics and under different network conditions. The results showed that under various vehicle speeds and different network loads AODV outperforms DSR and OLSR protocols, with respect to network throughput (by 35.11% and 10.24%, respectively) and protocol overhead (by 20.51% and 57.38%, respectively). From these results a new routing protocols is proposed and called Modified AODV (MAODV). MAODV protocol has all the characteristics of the original AODV protocol since it follows all the steps of the route discovery and route maintenance mechanism of the original AODV. In MAODV, one of the cameras which belongs to the old route is forced to start the process of rediscovering a new path to the unreachable destination instead of the source node when link breakage occurs. The modification of AODV reduces protocol overhead and packet transmission time delay, which leads to an improvement in WAHCN performance.