



**Preparation and Characterization of  
Natural *Citrus aurantifolia* Crosslinked  
Tapioca Starch/Nanocellulose Biocomposites**

by

**OWI WEI TIENG  
(1540411633)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

**School of Materials Engineering  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2020

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to thank my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ong Hui Lin for her endless guidance, encouragement, help, and support throughout the duration of executing this research. The blessing and attention given by her was very helpful for me to complete this research work successfully and also to be able to complete my thesis entitled “Preparation and characterization of natural *Citrus aurantifolia* crosslinked tapioca starch/nanocellulose biocomposites”. In addition, the guidance and valuable information on research carried out either during laboratory section or in writing research paper and thesis were useful.

Besides, thanks also to my co-supervisor, Dr. Sam Sung Ting from School of Bioprocess Engineering, UniMAP, together with Prof. Dr. Hazizan Md Akil from Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for their advices and suggestions regarding my work. Not forgotten, deepest thanks to Ministry of Education Malaysia for providing scholarship through MyBrain15 (MyPhD).

Moreover, I would also like to thank Universiti Malaysia Perlis (UniMAP) especially School of Materials Engineering for the help and support in term of laboratory facilities, and also all the lecturers, technicians and other staffs for their efforts throughout this research work. Special thanks to my research team mate, Dr. Toh Guat Yee, Dr. Nik Nur Azza, Dr. Mohammad Safwan and Chin Kwok Mern for their kindness to share their knowledge related to our researches.

Last but not least, millions of thank to my loving parents, siblings, and all friends for their love, care, and support that had showered onto myself throughout the years I had been studying in UniMAP.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DECLARATION OF THESIS</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>LIST OF NOMENCLATURE</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research background	1
1.2 Problem statement	6
1.3 Objective	8
1.4 Scope	9
1.5 Thesis outline	10
<b>CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Starch	12
2.1.1 Botanical origin of starch	12
2.1.2 Molecular and granular structure of starch	16
2.1.3 Properties of starch	18

2.2	Nanocellulose	19
2.2.1	Structure of nanocellulose	21
2.2.2	Sources of nanocellulose	24
2.2.3	Extraction of nanocellulose	27
2.2.4	Properties of nanocellulose	32
2.3	Preparation of starch-based biocomposites	37
2.3.1	Gelatinization of starch	37
2.3.2	Processing method	39
2.3.3	Addition of plasticizer	43
2.3.4	Addition of nanocellulose	45
2.3.5	Effect of nanocellulose on starch-based biocomposites	47
2.4	Utilization of crosslinker into starch-based biocomposites	52
2.4.1	Conventional crosslinker	53
2.4.2	Natural crosslinker	56
2.4.3	Effect of natural crosslinker on starch-based biocomposites	56
2.5	Food packaging application as edible films or coatings of starch-based biocomposites	63
<b>CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>66</b>
3.1	Materials and reagents	66
3.2	Preparation of nanocellulose	68
3.3	Characterization of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	70
3.3.1	Determination of functional groups of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	70
3.3.2	Crystallinity of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	70
3.3.3	Particle size distribution and zeta potential of NC	71
3.3.4	Morphology of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	71
3.3.5	Thermal properties of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	72
3.4	Determination of citric acid content in lime juice	72

3.4.1	Preparation of phenolphthalein solution	72
3.4.2	Standardization of sodium hydroxide solution	72
3.4.3	Titration of lime juice with standardized sodium hydroxide to calculate the citric acid content	73
3.5	Preparation of TS and its biocomposite films	74
3.5.1	Non-crosslinked neat TS film	74
3.5.2	Non-crosslinked TS biocomposite films	74
3.5.3	Crosslinked neat TS films	75
3.5.4	Crosslinked TS biocomposite films	76
3.6	Characterization of TS and its biocomposite films	77
3.6.1	Thickness of TS and its biocomposite films	77
3.6.2	Density of TS and its biocomposite films	78
3.6.3	Tensile test of TS and its biocomposite films	78
3.6.4	Fractured surface's morphology of TS and its biocomposite films	79
3.6.5	Determination of functional groups of TS and its biocomposite films	79
3.6.6	Degrees of substitution of crosslinked TS and its biocomposite films	79
3.6.7	Degree of di-esterification of crosslinked TS and its biocomposite films	80
3.6.8	Optical properties of TS and its biocomposite films	82
3.6.9	Color measurement of TS and its biocomposite films	83
3.6.10	Crystallinity of TS and its biocomposites films	83
3.6.11	Water vapor permeability test of TS and its biocomposite films	84
3.6.12	Water solubility of TS and its biocomposite films	86
3.6.13	Moisture absorption of TS and its biocomposite films	87
3.6.14	Swelling and crosslinked density of TS and its biocomposite films	89
3.6.15	Thermal properties of TS and its biocomposite films	90
3.6.16	Biodegradation test of TS and its biocomposite films	90
<b>CHAPTER 4 : RESULTS &amp; DISCUSSION</b>		<b>92</b>
4.1	Yield of Nanocellulose	92

4.2	Properties of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	92
4.2.1	Functional groups of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	92
4.2.2	Crystallinity of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	95
4.2.3	Particle sizes distribution and zeta potential of NC	96
4.2.4	Morphology of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	98
4.2.5	Thermal properties of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC	100
4.3	Citric acid content in lime juice	103
4.4	Properties of TS and its biocomposite films	104
4.4.1	Tensile properties of TS and its biocomposite films	104
4.4.2	Fractured surfaces' morphology of TS and its biocomposite films	109
4.4.3	Structural properties of TS and its biocomposite films	111
4.4.4	Degree of substitution for crosslinked TS and its biocomposite films	116
4.4.5	Degree of di-esterification according to complexometric titration of citric acid with copper (II) sulfate	118
4.4.6	Optical properties and color measurement of TS and its biocomposite films	119
4.4.7	Crystallinity of TS and its biocomposite films	125
4.4.8	Water vapor permeability of TS and its biocomposite films	128
4.4.9	Water solubility of TS and its biocomposite films	130
4.4.10	Moisture absorption of TS and its biocomposite films	133
4.4.11	Swelling and crosslinked density of TS and its biocomposite films	136
4.4.12	Thermal properties of TS and its biocomposite films	139
4.4.13	Biodegradation of TS and its biocomposite films	143
<b>CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION</b>		<b>147</b>
5.1	Conclusion	147
5.2	Contribution	149
5.3	Future Work	150
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>152</b>

<b>LIST OF PUBLICATION</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>APPENDIX A CALCULATION FOR DETERMINATION OF CA IN LJ</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>APPENDIX B CALCULATION OF COMMERCIAL CA NEEDED</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>APPENDIX C SUSTAINABILITY REPORT</b>	<b>183</b>

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF TABLES

	<b>PAGE</b>	
Table 2.1	Botanical origin of starches and its corresponding crystallinity, amylose and amylopectin (Ogunsona et al., 2018).	14
Table 2.2	Sources of NC and their main chemical composition (cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin).	25
Table 2.3	Wide range of gelatinization/melting temperature of different starch and processing method.	39
Table 2.4	Variation of processing methods for starch-based biocomposites.	40
Table 2.5	Starch-based edible coatings with its formulations and mode of applications on targeted fruits (Thakur et al., 2019).	65
Table 3.1	The appearance and usage of materials and reagents.	67
Table 3.2	Specimen codes and formulations of non-crosslinked TS biocomposite films with variation of nanocellulose (NC) content.	75
Table 3.3	Specimen codes and formulations of crosslinked TS films with variation of lime juice (LJ) content.	76
Table 3.4	Specimen codes and formulations of crosslinked TS biocomposite films with variation of nanocellulose (NC) content.	77
Table 4.1	Summary of peaks found on FTIR spectra for raw OPEFB, MC and NC.	94
Table 4.2	Crystallinity index of raw OPEFB, MC and NC.	96

Table 4.3	Thermal properties of raw OPEFB, MC and NC.	100
Table 4.4	Summary of peaks found on FTIR spectra for non-crosslinked, LJ-crosslinked and CA-crosslinked TS and its biocomposite films (fixed parameters: 80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT).	113
Table 4.5	Transmittance (%T), T value and color parameters for non-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp), LJ-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ) and CA-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT) TS and its biocomposite films.	124
Table 4.6	Crystallinity index of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films.	127
Table 4.7	Moisture content of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films after being conditioned at 59, 75 and 98 %RH. Error limits indicate the standard deviation based on triplicate.	136
Table 4.8	Crosslinked density of crosslinked TS biocomposite films. Error limits denote the standard deviation for triplicate.	138
Table 4.9	Thermal parameters for TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films.	141

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<b>PAGE</b>	
Figure 2.1	Morphology of starch granules from different botanical origin: (a) taro, (b) chestnut, (c) ginger, (d) manioc, (e) corn, (f) green banana, (g) wheat and (h) potato. Scale bars in all images: 20 $\mu\text{m}$ (Pérez & Bertoft, 2010).	13
Figure 2.2	Morphology of tapioca starch granules (Wahab, Ismail, & Othman, 2011).	16
Figure 2.3	Chemical structure of (a) amylose and (b) amylopectin (F. Xie et al., 2013).	17
Figure 2.4	Structural organization model of three major components on the fiber cell wall (Kabir et al., 2012).	22
Figure 2.5	Chemical structure of (a) cellulose, (b) hemicellulose and (c) lignin (Kabir et al., 2012).	23
Figure 2.6	Intra-molecular (-----) and inter-molecular (-----) hydrogen bonds network in cellulose chemical structure (Phanthong et al., 2018).	24
Figure 2.7	Schematic diagram of NC extraction from lignocellulosic biomass (Phanthong et al., 2018).	28
Figure 2.8	Different kinds of NC (Mishra et al., 2018).	30
Figure 2.9	Particle size distribution of NC extracted from cotton (Fattahi Meyabadi et al., 2014).	35
Figure 2.10	Schematic diagram of molecules flow within the NC-reinforced starch biocomposite films (Adapted from Azeredo (2009)).	47

Figure 2.11	Schematic illustration of starch esterification with CA and possible molecular structure of CA-crosslinked starch (Olsson, Menzel, et al., 2013).	59
Figure 3.1	White microcellulose (MC).	69
Figure 3.2	Schematic diagram of equipment used for WVP test.	85
Figure 3.3	Weight gain of non-crosslinked neat TS film (80 °C GTemp) as a function of time.	85
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of equipment used for moisture absorption measurement.	88
Figure 4.1	FTIR spectra of (a) raw OPEFB, (b) MC and (c) NC.	93
Figure 4.2	XRD patterns of (a) raw OPEFB, (b) MC and (c) NC.	95
Figure 4.3	Particle size distribution of NC.	97
Figure 4.4	Stable suspension of NC.	98
Figure 4.5	Morphology of (a) raw OPEFB, (b) MC at 500X magnification and (c) NC at 100kX magnification.	99
Figure 4.6	(a) TGA curves, and (b) DTG curves of raw OPEFB, its MC and NC.	101
Figure 4.7	Effects of gelatinization temperature on tensile properties of neat TS (non-crosslinked).	104
Figure 4.8	Effect of crosslinking temperature on tensile properties of LJ-crosslinked TS (80 °C GTemp, 15 min CT, 15 phs LJ).	105
Figure 4.9	Effect of crosslinking time on tensile properties of LJ-crosslinked TS (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 phs LJ).	106
Figure 4.10	Effect of LJ content on tensile properties of LJ-crosslinked TS (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT).	107

Figure 4.11	(a) Tensile strength and (b) break elongation of non-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp), LJ-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ) and CA-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT) of TS and its biocomposite films.	108
Figure 4.12	Morphology of non-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp), LJ-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ) and CA-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT) TS biocomposite films' fractured surface at magnification of X1,000.	110
Figure 4.13	FTIR spectra of (a) non-crosslinked neat TS, (b) non-crosslinked NC1, (c) crosslinked LJ10, (d) crosslinked LJ-NC1, (e) crosslinked CA, and (f) crosslinked CA-NC1 (fixed parameters: 80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT).	112
Figure 4.14	Proposed reaction mechanism for crosslinked TS biocomposite films.	115
Figure 4.15	DS of crosslinked TS biocomposite films using LJ and CA as a function of NC content (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ/CA).	117
Figure 4.16	DDE of crosslinked TS biocomposite films using LJ and CA as a function of NC content (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ/CA).	119
Figure 4.17	Digital images of TS biocomposite films for non-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp), LJ-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ) and CA-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT) with variation of NC content.	120
Figure 4.18	UV-vis transmittance spectra of non-crosslinked TS biocomposite films (80 °C GTemp).	121

Figure 4.19	UV-vis transmittance spectra of crosslinked TS biocomposite films (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ/CA).	122
Figure 4.20	XRD patterns of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films.	126
Figure 4.21	WVP of crosslinked TS films as a function of LJ content (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT).	129
Figure 4.22	WVP of non-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp) and crosslinked TS biocomposite films using LJ (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ) and CA (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT) as a function of NC content.	130
Figure 4.23	Water solubility of crosslinked TS films as a function of LJ content (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT).	132
Figure 4.24	Water solubility of non-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp), LJ-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT, 10 phs LJ) and CA-crosslinked (80 °C GTemp, 105 °C CTemp, 15 min CT) of TS films as a function of NC content.	132
Figure 4.25	Moisture absorption of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films as a function of time, conditioned at (a) 59, (b) 75, (c) 98 %RH, and (d) comparison of non-crosslinked and crosslinked neat TS at different RH. Error bars indicate the standard deviation for triplicate.	134
Figure 4.26	Swelling ratio of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films. Error bars indicate the standard deviation in triplicate.	137
Figure 4.27	(a) TGA curves, and (b) DTG curves of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films.	140

- Figure 4.28 DSC thermogram of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films. 143
- Figure 4.29 Weight loss of TS, its non-crosslinked and crosslinked biocomposite films after biodegradation test. Error bars indicate the standard deviation for triplicate. 144

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CA	Citric acid
DCMC	Dialdehyde carboxymethyl cellulose
DDE	Degree of di-esterification
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DS	Degree of substitution
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
LDPE	Low-density polyethylene
LJ	Lime juice
MA	Moisture absorption
MC	Microcellulose
NC	Nanocellulose
OPEFB	Oil palm empty fruit bunch
PA	Polyamide
PE	Polyethylene
PET	Polyethylene terephthalate
PHA	Polyhydroxyalkanoate
phs	Part per hundred starch
PLA	Poly(lactic acid)
PP	Polypropylene
pph	Part per hundred
PS	Polystyrene
PVC	Polyvinylchloride
RH	Relative humidity
rpm	Revolutions per minute
SA	Succinic acid
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
SPI	Soy protein isolate
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TGA	Thermogravimetry analysis
TS	Tapioca starch
UV-vis	Ultraviolet-visible

WS	Water solubility
WVP	Water vapor permeability
WVT	Water vapor transmission
XRD	X-ray diffraction

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$A_{600}$	Absorbance value at 600 nm
$a^*$	Chromaticity parameters (red-green)
$b^*$	Chromaticity parameters (yellow-blue)
$I_{002}$	Crystallinity index for crystalline and amorphous region
$I_{am}$	Crystallinity index for amorphous region only
$I_{cr}$	Crystallinity index
$L^*$	Luminosity
$MW$	Molecular weight of ester group
$M_{AGU}$	Molar mass of one anhydroglucose unit
$M_{CA}$	Molar mass of citric acid
$m_{CA}$	Amount of citric acid added into starch film
$m_{TS}$	Amount of tapioca starch in film
$N_a$	Normality of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> solution
$Q$	Swelling ratio
$R_1$	Relative humidity in the humidity chamber
$R_2$	Relative humidity in the glass test cup
$S$	Saturation vapor pressure
T value	Transparency value
$T_5$	Initial weight loss of about 5% temperature
$T_{DTGpeak}$	Degradation temperature
$T_{eva}$	Evaporation temperature
$T_m$	Melting temperature
$T_{on}$	Initial (onset) degradation temperature
$V_1$	Molar volume of solvent
$V_2$	Volume fraction of specimen in swollen network at equilibrium
$V_a$	Volume of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> solution used (for crosslinked film)
$V_{blank}$	Volume of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> solution used (for non-crosslinked film)
$W_f$	Final weight of the specimen
$W_i$	Initial weight of the specimen
$W_s$	Weight of specimen
$W_t$	Weight of specimen at any given time, t
$w_{diester}$	Weight fraction of citric acid taking part in a di-ester linkage
$(W/t)$	Rate of change of weight
$X$	Thickness of the film

<i>%Transmittance</i>	Transmittance value in percentage
$\varepsilon$	Crosslinked density
$\rho$	Density
$\rho_1$	Density of specimen
$\rho_2$	Density of solvent
$\chi$	Flory-Huggins TS-solvent interaction parameter

©This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF NOMENCLATURE

$\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$	Acetic acid glacial
$\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}$	Ethanol
$\text{C}_3\text{H}_8\text{O}_3$	Glycerol
$\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7$	Citric acid
$\text{C}_8\text{H}_8\text{N}_6\text{O}_6$	Murexide
$\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_4$	Phenolphthalein
$\text{CuSO}_4$	Copper (II) sulfate
$\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$	Sulphuric acid
$\text{KBr}$	Potassium bromide
$\text{KOH}$	Potassium hydroxide
$\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$	Potassium sulfate
$\text{NaBr}$	Sodium bromide
$\text{NaCl}$	Sodium chloride
$\text{NaClO}_2$	Sodium chlorite
$\text{NaHSO}_4$	Sodium hydrogen sulfate
$\text{NaOH}$	Sodium hydroxide
$\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$	Sodium tetraborate decahydrate

© This item is protected by original copyright

## Penyediaan dan Pencirian Biokomposit Kanji Ubi Kayu/Nanoselulosa Berangkai Silang dengan *Citrus aurantifolia* Semula Jadi

### ABSTRAK

Saput biokomposit berasaskan kanji dalam aplikasi pembungkusan makanan telah menarik perhatian umum disebabkan kombinasi menarik sifat-sifatnya seperti kos rendah, mesra alam dan mudah didapati. Walau bagaimanapun, penggunaan saput biokomposit berasaskan kanji dalam aplikasi perindustrian dihadkan oleh sifat tegangan yang lemah, rintangan terhadap air yang lemah serta sifat sukar dikawal yang semulajadi. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menghasilkan saput biokomposit berasaskan kanji berangkai silang serta mengkaji kesan nanoselulosa (NC) dan ejen rangkai silang terhadap ciri-ciri tegangan, fizikal, rintangan air, terma serta biodegradasi saput kanji ubi kayu (TS). NC telah diekstrak daripada tandan kosong kelapa sawit (OPEFB) melalui hidrolisis asid. Analisis dari spektroskopi inframerah jelmaan Fourier pada NC mengesahkan rawatan kimia dan hidrolisis asid menyingkirkan unsur-unsur bukan selulosa seperti hemiselulosa dan lignin daripada OPEFB. Difraktogram sinar-X mendedahkan peningkatan kehabluran NC dari 43.1 % bagi OPEFB mentah ke 65.8 %. Imej mikroskop elektron transmisi mengesahkan diameter NC adalah bersaiz nano dengan struktur menyerupai jarum. Biokomposit TS/NC dengan gliserol sebagai pemplastik disediakan menggunakan kaedah tuangan larutan dengan mempelbagaikan jumlah NC. Ejen rangkai silang, iaitu *Citrus aurantifolia*, juga dikenali sebagai jus limau (LJ), diperkenalkan untuk merangkai silang TS. Pada masa yang sama, asid sitrik komersial (CA) digunakan sebagai ejen rangkai silang bagi tujuan perbandingan. Rangkai silang diantara TS dan LJ melalui pengesteran telah disahkan melalui keputusan dari spektroskopi inframerah jelmaan Fourier, darjah penggantian dan darjah di-pengesteran. Sepuluh bahagian per seratus kanji (bsk) LJ meningkatkan kebolehlenturan biokomposit TS seperti yang ditunjukkan menerusi pemanjangan putusannya yang sebanyak 106 % adalah tertinggi, manakala NC (1 bsk) meningkatkan kekuatan tegangan dengan nilai tertinggi sebanyak 13.5 MPa. Ejen rangkai silang tidak menjejaskan sifat optik biokomposit TS tetapi penambahan NC mengurangkan kelutsinarannya. Saput biokomposit TS dengan kedua-dua LJ dan NC mempunyai kebolehtelapan wap air (WVP) yang lebih rendah. WVP bagi saput TS yang berangkai silang-LJ dengan 1 bsk NC dikurangkan kira-kira 55 % berbanding dengan saput TS bersih. Kehabluran saput TS meningkat dari 43.5 % untuk TS bersih ke 51.6 % untuk saput TS dengan penambahan NC. Saput TS yang berangkai silang-LJ serta mengandungi 1 bsk NC memiliki penyerapan kelembapan dan nisbah pembengkakan yang paling rendah, ini menunjukkan peningkatan rintangan terhadap air oleh TS. Saput biokomposit TS dengan kedua-dua LJ dan NC juga mempunyai ciri-ciri terma dan biodegradasi yang lebih baik. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa LJ boleh digunakan untuk merangkai silang kanji kerana keputusan-keputusan ujian yang diperolehi adalah standing dengan CA komersial. Peningkatan ciri-ciri seperti tegangan, rintangan terhadap air dan terma pada biokomposit TS berangkai silang-LJ membolehkannya digunakan sebagai pembungkus makanan terutamanya untuk makanan kering.

## Preparation and Characterization of Natural *Citrus aurantifolia* Crosslinked Tapioca Starch/Nanocellulose Biocomposites

### ABSTRACT

Starch-based biocomposite films have drawn considerable attention on food packaging due to their attractive combination of low price, environmental friendly, and abundance. Nevertheless, the use of starch-based biocomposite films in industrial application were restricted by its poor tensile properties, poor water resistance and naturally intractable behavior. The aims of this research work were to develop crosslinked starch-based biocomposite films and to investigate the effects of nanocellulose (NC) and crosslinking agent on tensile, physical, water resistance, thermal and biodegradation properties of tapioca starch (TS) biocomposite films. The NC was extracted from oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) via acid hydrolysis. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy analysis on NC verified that the chemical treatments and acid hydrolysis removed non-cellulosic constituents including hemicelluloses and lignin from OPEFB. X-ray diffractograms revealed that the crystallinity of NC increased from 43.1% of raw OPEFB to 65.8%. Transmission electron microscope image confirmed the diameter of NC was in nano-sized with needle like structure. TS/NC biocomposites with glycerol as plasticizer were prepared using solution casting method by varying amounts of NC. Crosslinker, namely *Citrus aurantifolia*, also known as lime juice (LJ), was introduced for TS crosslinking. At the same time, commercial citric acid (CA) was used as crosslinker for comparison purposes. Crosslinking between TS and LJ through esterification were validated through the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, degree of substitution and degree of de-esterification results. Ten parts per hundred starch (phs) of LJ enhanced the flexibility of TS biocomposite as its break elongation of 106 % was the highest, while NC (1 phs) increased its tensile strength with the highest value of 13.5 MPa. Crosslinkers did not considerably affect the optical property of TS biocomposites but the addition of NC reduced its transparency. TS biocomposite films with both LJ and NC have lower water vapor permeability (WVP). The WVP of LJ-crosslinked TS film with 1 phs NC reduced about 55%, compared to neat TS film. The crystallinity of TS film increased from 43.5 % for neat TS to 51.6 % for TS film with addition of NC. LJ-crosslinked TS film with 1 phs of NC had the lowest moisture absorption and swelling ratio, indicating the improvement of water resistance of TS. TS biocomposites with both NC and LJ also had better thermal and biodegradation behavior. This outcome showed that LJ is usable in starch crosslinking as the results obtained were comparable to those of the commercial CA. The developed LJ-crosslinked TS biocomposites with enhanced tensile properties, water resistance and thermal properties could be used as food packaging, especially for dry food.

## CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research background

Polymer composites have been employed worldwide for several years, yet their market share still grows continuously. It is widely known that the utilization of a polymer with one or more solid fillers have some advantages such as improvement of mechanical properties, thermal properties and reduction of cost of materials. The goals of designing composites are to combine the properties that is not displayed by individual material and to incorporate the best characteristics of the component materials (Zafar et al., 2016). Few instances among the solid fillers used are silica, graphite, carbon, etc.

However, the combination of two different materials leads to one of the restrictions of polymer composites that is the difficulty to reuse and recycle (La Mantia & Morreale, 2011). Hence, the preferred method to handle these polymer composites after being used is either direct disposal in a dump or incineration. Both methods is considered to be unsatisfactory due to the high cost, technical difficulties and environmental problems. In addition, it is worsened by the fact that most polymer composites used especially for food packaging are practically non-biodegradable. Petrochemical-based plastics such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyvinylchloride (PVC), polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS) and polyamide (PA) have been increasingly used as packaging materials because of their good mechanical performance such as tensile strength, good barrier to oxygen, carbon dioxide and heat seal ability (Siracusa, Rocculi, Romani, & Rosa, 2008).

The rising concerns towards environmental issues created by petroleum products and non-renewability of fossil resources have led researches to focus on new bio-based materials in order to develop biodegradable films as a big effort to extend shelf life, to improve quality of food and at the same time to reduce packaging waste (Azeredo, 2009; Dai, Zhang, & Cheng, 2019). Moreover, this century has witnessed remarkable green technology achievements in the field of materials science via the development of biocomposites because of the environment and sustainability issues.

According to Ivanič, Joheč-Mošková, Janigová, & Chodák (2017), starch is applied in a wide range of products, such as different applications of food, pharmaceuticals, textiles and plastics from renewable resources especially biodegradable plastics. In food industry, the two major applications of the starch-based biocomposites are food packaging (Goudarzi, Shahabi-Ghahfarrokhi, & Babaei-Ghazvini, 2017; Liu et al., 2017; López-Córdoba, Medina-Jaramillo, Piñeros-Hernandez, & Goyanes, 2017; Moustafa, Youssef, Darwish, & Abou-Kandil, 2019; Salehudin, Salleh, Mamat, & Muhamad, 2014) and edible films (Basiak, Lenart, & Debeaufort, 2018; Mantovan, Bersaneti, Faria-Tischer, Celligoi, & Mali, 2018; Piñeros-Hernandez, Medina-Jaramillo, López-Córdoba, & Goyanes, 2017). This could be because of the products developed from starch are isotropic, odorless, tasteless, colorless, non-toxic, and biodegradable (Belibi et al., 2014; Prakash Maran, Sivakumar, Sridhar, & Prince Immanuel, 2013).

The properties of biocomposites are manipulated by a number of variables comprising the type of fiber, environmental aspects, and processing methods. Recently, there are an increasing interest in the industries for composites involving biofibers and biopolymers. The greatest challenge when dealing with biocomposites based on natural

fibers is the variation in properties and characteristics of natural fibers. Nowadays, researches on using biodegradable starch as alternative material to replace or minimize the use of petrochemical-based plastic, particularly for food packaging, are being carried out. Piñeros-Hernandez et al. (2017) stated that about 39.6 % of total plastics are used for packaging application, which constitute the largest market share. In addition, production of green materials from bio-based substances is now very crucial due to issues of global warming and depletion of fossil fuel (Kengkhetkit & Amornsakchai, 2014).

Among the natural polymers, starch proved to be attractive due to its low price, wide availability, renewability and compostability without toxic residue (Nakthong, Wongsagonsup, & Amornsakchai, 2017; F. Xie, Pollet, Halley, & Avérous, 2013). Many researchers had reported the good quality of starch-based films from different crops, such as corn (Qin et al., 2016; Teacă, Bodîrlău, & Spiridon, 2013; K. Wang et al., 2017; Xu, Canisag, Mu, & Yang, 2015), potato (Ghosh Dastidar & Netravali, 2012), wheat (Goudarzi et al., 2017), rice (Colussi et al., 2015), cassava (Chuang, Panyoyai, Shanks, & Kasapis, 2017; López-Córdoba et al., 2017; Mei, Zhou, Jin, Xu, & Chen, 2015), etc.

Plasticizer such as glycerol are usually added to improve the processing of starch-based film by softening the polymer. Despite the interesting and promising potential uses of starch to replace conventional polymers such as low-density polyethylene (LDPE), the uses of starch-based materials are limited to some applications due to its natural intractability, brittleness, poor mechanical properties, poor water barrier properties and poor resistance to water (Al-Hassan & Norziah, 2012). According to Li et al. (2018a), the poor resistance to water can be attributed to the existence of numerous hydroxyl groups