

**SIMULATION AND CORRELATION OF THE MELT
FLOW CHARACTERISTIC USING POLYSTYRENE
IN UNSYMMETRICAL CAVITY INJECTION MOLD**

ABDULLA MAHMOUD AHMED

SCHOOL OF MATERIALS ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2011



**SIMULATION AND CORRELATION OF THE MELT
FLOW CHARACTERISTIC USING POLYSTYRENE
IN UNSYMMETRICAL CAVITY INJECTION MOLD**

by

ABDULLA MAHMOUD AHMED

(1031620001)

043198

11
F7P1150
M215
2011

A thesis submitted

In partial fulfillment of requirements for degree
of Master of Science (Polymer Engineering)

SCHOOL OF MATERIALS ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

2011

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful

With blessings and peace be upon the most honorable Prophets and Messengers, Muhammad and his Folk, Companions and those who follow noble way.

Alhamdulillah and thank to Allah, who has given me the commitment and strength to finish this research. It gives a great pleasure to write this acknowledgement. First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Du Ngoc Uy Lan who is a lecturer of School of Materials Engineering for his guidance, encouragements, suggestions, and ideas throughout the course of the work described hereby. His methodical and analytical approach toward problem solving has been very inspiring and I managed to learn something new on developing skills in the world of engineering whereas I learned in a good and healthy working environment.

Special thanks to my brother Dr. Naser M. Ahmed, my wife, my children and every single one of my relatives for their patience, understanding, unfailing love and support though the ups and downs. Not forgotten, I would like to express my gratitude and thanks as well to all staff and lecturers, specially Dr. Ir. Salmah Husseinsyah, Dr. Irwana, Dr. Teh and laboratory technician of School of Materials Engineering for their helped, cooperation, guidance and sharing ideas in order to complete this research. An extended appreciation is also expressed to University Malaysia Perlis for giving facility

and resource in completing this study. Last but not least, I would like to thank everyone who has been involved in this project or indirectly for their help and contribution, especially all my classmates without any exception. Thank you very much!

THANKS TO ALMIGHTY ALLAH

ABDULLA MAHMOUD AHMED

UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS

© This item is protected by original copyright

SIMULASI DAN KORELASI CIRI-CIRI ALIRAN MENCAIRKAN OLEH POLIS TIRENA DALAM RONGGASIMETRI SUNTIKAN ACUAN

ABSTRAK

Masalah-masalah yang ditemui dalam peringkat mereka acuan untuk menghasilkan suntikan plastik ialah keupayaan aliran plastik dan penghasilan acuan rongga suntikan yang tak simetri. Perbezaan ketebalan, morfologi dan isipadu rongga akan menyebabkan ciri-ciri aliran rongga dan hasil yang berbeza pada masa suntikan yang berbeza. Penyelidikan ini menggunakan teknologi "Solid-Work" dan "Cad-Mould" sebagai "Computer Aided Engineering" (CAE) untuk analisis corak dan pembinaan acuan rongga suntikan yang tak simetri. Bahan yang digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah untuk mencari kesan-kesan keupayaan aliran plastik, ialah polistirena (PS). Pembolehubah-pembolehubah yang telah digunakan dalam acuan rongga suntikan yang tak simetri ini adalah suhu takat lebur. Acuan suntikan praktikal dilakukan dengan menggunakan acuan suntikan BOY 22M. Parameter-parameter suntikan yang ditetapkan telah direkodkan dan digunakan untuk simulasi. Sampel-sampel PS yang telah disuntik dicirikan pada sifat-sifat ketumpatan dan mekanikal (tegangan dan lenturan). Sifat-sifat mekanikal tertinggi yang dicapai adalah pada pengesetan suhu 210 °C, kadar aliran 116 cm³/s, dan tekanan maksimum 614 bar. Perilaku reologi polistirena disifatkan melalui Indeks Aliran Leburan and Reometer Rerambut untuk proses simulasi. Hasil yang diperolehi adalah kelikatan, kadar ricihan dan faktor anjakan suhu – parameter-parameter jubin. Untuk simulasi, empat pengesanan telah disediakan di kedudukan get dan hujung untuk setiap rongga. Keputusan proses simulasi telah dianalisis dengan menggunakan program Cadmold. Korelasi antara praktikal dan simulasi telah dibuat. Daripada situ, pengubahsuaian acuan di get rongga dijalankan untuk mendapatkan aliran dan produk-produk suntikan yang lebih baik. Analisis dan pertimbangan yang dibuat berdasarkan kepada keputusan-keputusan proses simulasi. Hasil kajian menunjukkan pengubahsuaian acuan telah mencapai kelikatan yang lebih tinggi dan kadar ricihan yang lebih rendah untuk rongga dumbel yang boleh menjanjikan sifat-sifat mekanikal lebih baik.

**SIMULATION AND CORRELATION OF THE MELT FLOW
CHARACTERISTIC USING POLYSTYRENE IN UNSYMMETRICAL CAVITY
INJECTION MOLD**

ABSTRACT

In plastic injection molding, the problems found in the mold design stage are the capability of plastic flow and unsymmetrical cavity of the injection molding. Different thickness, morphology and volume of cavities have caused the different flow characteristic and results in different injection times. This research uses Solid-Work and Cad-Mold as Computer Aided Engineering (CAE) technology for design analysis and construction of unsymmetrical cavity injection molding. The material used in this study in order to find the effects of the capability of plastic flow is polystyrene (PS). The variables used in this unsymmetrical cavity injection mold consist was melt temperature. Practical injection molding is carried by BOY injection molding 22M. The injection setting parameters are recorded and used for simulation. The injected PS samples are characterized on density and mechanical properties (tensile and flexural). Temperature setting at 216 °C, 116 cm³/s flow rate and maximum pressure of 614 bar achieved the highest mechanical properties. For simulation, the rheology behavior of polystyrene is characterized from melt flow index and capillary rheometer. The obtained results by the rheology testing are viscosity, shear rate and temperature shift factor - carreau parameters. As for simulation, four sensors are setup at the gate and end of each cavity. While simulation results are analyzed by a Cadmold program. The correlation between practical and simulation are made. From there, a modified mold at a cavity gate is carried out in order to get better flow and better injection products. The analysis and judgment is based on simulation results. The result shows that the modified mold achieve higher viscosity and lower shear rate for the dumbbell cavity which could better mechanical properties.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	i
APPROVAL AND DECLARATION	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.2 Research Objectives	3
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Injection Molding	4
2.1.1 The Molding Machine	5
2.1.2 Injection Molding Fundamentals	7
2.1.3 Rheology In Injection Molding	10
2.2 Injection Molding Materials	12
2.2.1 Polystyrene (Arkema)	12
2.2.2 Structure and General Properties	13
2.2.3 Application of Polystyrene	14
2.3 Mold and Mold Filling	15

2.3.1	Mold	15
2.3.2	Mold Filling	16
2.3.3	The Molding Cycle	17
2.3.4	Fountain Flow	18
2.4	Computer Aided Engineering (CAE)	20
2.4.1	Solid Works Software for Model Design	20
2.4.2	Cadmould Software for Model Simulation	22
2.4.2.1	Simulation	22
2.4.2.2	Cadmold Overview	24
2.4.2.3	Cadmold-Flow	25
2.4.2.5	Cadmold-Cool	26
2.4.2.6	Cadmold-Warp	27
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY		28
3.1	Materials	28
3.2	Equipment	29
3.3	Procedure of the practical.	30
3.3.1	Rheology tests.	30
3.3.2	Injection process	32
3.4	Procedure of the theoretical	33
3.4.1	Model design	33
3.4.2	Model simulation	34
3.5	Correlation	38
3.6	Modify mold	39
3.7	Testing and Characterization	39
3.7.1	Density test	39
3.7.2	Melt Flow Index Test	40

3.7.3	Laboratory Capillary Rheometer	41
3.7.4	Tensile Test	42
3.7.5	Flexural Test	43
3.7.6	Scanning Electron Microscopy Microscopy Study	44
3.8	Flow Chare of the Experiment	45
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		46
4.1	Rheology Properties	46
4.1.1	Melt Flow Index	46
4.1.2	Laboratory Capillary Rheometer	47
4.2	Practical Injection	50
4.2.1	Injection Temperature at 210°C	50
4.2.2	Injection Temperature at 220°C	51
4.2.3	Injection Temperatutre at 230°C	52
4.2.4	PS Injection Cavity	53
4.3	Physical and Mechanical Properties of Injection Sample	54
4.3.1	Density Properties	54
4.3.2	Tensile Properties	55
4.3.2.1	Tensile Strength	55
4.3.2.2	Modulus of Elasticity	56
4.3.2.3	Elongation at Break	57
4.3.2.4	Tensile Fracture Surface	59
4.3.3	Flexural Properties	60
4.3.3.1	Flexural Strength	60
4.3.3.2	Flexural Modulus	61
4.3.3.3	Flexural Fracture Surface	62
4.4	Simulation	64

4.4.1	Model design by Solid works software	64
4.4.2	Model Simulation to Cadmold software	66
4.4.3	Simulation results	66
4.4.4	Behavior of unsymmetrical cavities and sensor properties	70
4.4.4.1	Temperature	71
4.4.4.2	Pressure	74
4.4.4.3	Shear rate and Viscosity	76
4.5	Correlation	81
4.6	Mold modification	83
4.6.1	Model design by Solid works software	83
4.6.2	Simulation results after modify	85
4.6.2.1	Level percentage versus injection PS	86
4.6.3	Injection characteristic of sensor 3 during simulation	89
4.6.3.1	Temperature	89
4.6.3.2	Pressure	90
4.6.3.3	Shear rate and Viscosity	91
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		92
5.1	Conclusion	92
5.1.1	The effects of melt temperature on flow behavior	92
5.1.2	The effects of simulation on actual injection works	93
5.1.3	The effect of the model modification on flow behavior	94
5.2	Recommendations	95
REFERENCES		96

LIST OF TABLES

Table No:	Page
Table 3.1: Properties of Polystyrene General Purpose (Arkema Group 1070)	31
Table 3.2: The parameter used in the experiment	33
Table 3.3: The parameter used in the simulation	37
Table 4.1: shows weight values samples	47
Table 4.2: Results of an experiment of the melt flow index	47
Table 4.3: Carreau Parameters	49
Table 4.4: The filling times of injection at different temperature	82

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No:	Page
Figure 2.1: Injection molding machine	7
Figure 2.2: Stages of injection	10
Figure 2.3: Isotactic polystyrene (PS)	13
Figure 2.4: Two plate mold (UniMAP)	15
Figure 2.5: Injection molding cycle	18
Figure 2.6: Fountain flow	19
Figure 3.1: Injection molding machine (UniMAP)	29
Figure 3.2: Graphical interpretation of the carriage coefficients	31
Figure 3.3: Model design by solid works 2010 software	34
Figure 3.4: System sprue design by Cadsoft software	35
Figure 3.5: Filling time of model	38
Figure 3.6: Solid and Liquid Density Testing Equipment	40
Figure 3.7: Melt Flow Index (MFI)	41
Figure 3.8: Laboratory Capillary Rheometer (LCR7001)	42
Figure 3.9: Universal Testing Machine (UTM), Instron Model 5569	43
Figure 3.10: Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	44
Figure 3.11: Flow chart of the experiment	45
Figure 4.1: Viscosity versus shear rate at different temperature	48
Figure 4.2: Shows the injection process attempts to achieve the best model	50
Figure 4.3: Shows the data modify 40% (162rpm) on the machine screen	51
Figure 4.4: Shows the data results of practical test on the machine screen	51
Figure 4.5: Shows screw rotation speed 142rpm (35%) on the machine Screen	52
Figure 4.6: Shown UniMAP book mark not completes molded	53

Figure 4.7: Effect of polystyrene injection temperature towards density after injection process	54
Figure 4.8: Tensile strength of injected PS at different injection temperature	56
Figure 4.9: Modulus of Elasticity of injected PS at different injection temperature	57
Figure 4.10: Elongation at Break of injected PS at different injection temperature	58
Figure 4.11: Shows the SEM at magnification of X100 & X1000 for tensile fracture surfaces of different injection temperature	59
Figure 4.12: Shows the SEM at magnification of X3000 for tensile fracture surfaces of different injection temperature	60
Figure 4.13: Max. flexural strength of injected PS at different injection temperature	61
Figure 4.14: Flexural modulus of injected PS at different injection temperature	62
Figure 4.15: Shows the SEM at magnification of X100 & X500 for flexural fracture surfaces of different injection temperature	63
Figure 4.16: Shows the SEM at magnification of X3000 for flexural fracture surfaces of different injection temperature	64
Figure 4.17: Model design by solid works software	65
Figure 4.18 (a): The temperature behavior during simulation at 210°C	66
Figure 4.18 (b): The temperature behavior during simulation at 220°C	67
Figure 4.18 (c): The temperature behavior during simulation at 230°C	67
Figure 4.19: Flow rate and time during simulation	68
Figure 4.20: Flow rate and level during simulation	69
Figure 4.21: Pressure and level during simulation	70
Figure 4.22: Model simulation by Cadmold software	71
Figure 4.23: Temperature of the sensor 1 and 2 during simulation	72
Figure 4.24: Temperature of the sensor 3 and 4 during simulation	74
Figure 4.25: Pressure of the sensor 1 and 2 during simulation	75
Figure 4.26: Pressure of the sensor 3 and 4 during simulation	76

Figure 4.27: Shear rate of the sensor 1 during simulation	77
Figure 4.28: Viscosity of the sensor 1 during simulation	78
Figure 4.29: Shear rate of the sensor 2 during simulation	79
Figure 4.30: Viscosity of the sensor 2 during simulation	79
Figure 4.31: Shear rate of the sensor 3 and 4 during simulation	80
Figure 4.32: Viscosity of the sensor 3 and 4 during simulation	81
Figure 4.33: Model design by solid works software for modify	84
Figure 4.34: (a) The temperature behavior during simulation at 210°C current model	85
Figure 4.34: (b) The temperature behavior during simulation at 210°C modify model	85
Figure 4.35: Flow rate and time between current and modify mold during simulation	86
Figure 4.36: Flow rate and level between current and modify mold during simulation	87
Figure 4.37: Pressure and level between current and modify mold during simulation	88
Figure 4.38: Temperature and time of the sensor 3 and 4 between current and modify mold during simulation	89
Figure 4.39: Pressure and time of the sensor 3 and 4 between current and modify mold during simulation	90
Figure 4.40: Shear rate and time of the sensor 3 between current and modify mould during simulation	91
Figure 4.41: Viscosity and time of the sensor 3 between current and modify mould during simulation	92
Figure 4.42: Rheology of sensor 3 of modified mould and current mould	93

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION

ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
°C	Degree Celsius
F	Force
g	Gram
MFI	Melt Flow Index
V	Velocity
Kg	Kilogram
MPa	Mega Pascal
PS	Polystyrene
GPPS	General Purpose Polystyrene
mm	Millimeter
cm	centimeter
min	Minute
s	Second
%	Percentage
rpm	Revolutions per Minute
PIM	Powder Injection Molding
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
LCR	Laboratory Capillary Rheometer

UTM	Universal Testing Machine
η	Viscosity
γ	Shear rate
τ	Shear stress
Q	Volumetric Flow Rate
Tg	Glass Transition Temperature
P	Pressure
L	Length of Capillary
r	Radius of barrel
POM	Polymer Optical Microscope
CAE	Computer Aided Engineering
CAD	Computer Aided Design
STL	Stereo lithography
IGES	Initial Graphics Exchange Specification
2-D	Two Damnation
t_{cycle}	Cycle Time
t_{closing}	Closing Time
t_{cooling}	Cooling Time
t_{ejection}	Ejection Time
S ₁	The first sensors
S ₂	Second sensors

S_3	Sensors third
S_4	Sensors fourth
P_0	Viscosity for shear rate zero
P_1	Reciprocal shear rate
P_2	Value for the gradient in the shear

© This item is protected by original copyright

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Plastic product has an important role in our daily life. The process of forming and shaping can be done in many behaviors such as injection moulding, blow molding, and thermal compression. Among these processes, injection moulding is the most generally used process for the production of plastic part. There is approximately 70-80% of worldwide industries used an injection in plastic part production (Sakchai, 2007).

According to the plastic injection process, the most critical step is the flow of melt plastic from nozzle to fill in a narrow shaped mold (Dominick, 1998). It is difficult to control the flow of a melt plastic through the narrow channel or at the far mold end from the nozzle due to the distance, the cross section of channel, mold temperature, and plastic type. Therefore, an investigation of plastic flow behavior and variables effecting an injection of plastic into the mold is needed for a better understanding.

Injection molding is the most common method of part manufacturing. Injection molding is used to create many products such as wire spools, packaging, bottle caps, automotive dashboards, pocket combs, and most other plastic products available today. Some advantages of injection molding are high production rates, repeatable high tolerances, the ability to use a wide range of materials, low labor cost, minimal scrap losses, and little need to finish parts after molding. However, some disadvantages of this process are expensive equipment investment, potentially high running costs, and the need to design moldable parts (Charles, 2006).

Simulation of injection molding is, arguably, the most successful example of simulation for any plastic forming process. The expense associated with creating an injection mold and the likelihood that a problem discovered in production will result in costly retooling and lost time, make molding simulation of high value to industry. This may be contrasted with other polymer forming processes where tooling costs are much lower or where problems may be overcome by varying process conditions (Rong, et al., 2011). Despite the apparent success of injection molding simulation, too few parts are subject to any simulation. Moreover, the prediction of shrinkage and warpage is subject to increasingly higher standards of accuracy.

1.2 Problem statement

1. The geometrically symmetric runner system is required to use when designing a multi-cavity mold. Moreover, the mold cavities are usually needed to be similar volume and morphology. This is to overcome the uneven stretching that occurs during injection, resulting in a variation in wall thickness and to prevent the unfulfilled samples obtained. The originated reason is shear-induced imbalance during injection (Tim, et al., 2008)
2. Imbalance filling for each cavity is strongly depending on the melt rheology of the injected polymer. Imbalance filling causes defective product on shape, dimension and performance properties.
3. A system of unsymmetrical cavities of injection mold is less understood and difficult to control.

1.3 Research Objectives

- a) Comprehension of the flow behavior of runner system and unsymmetrical cavity injection mold during injection with polystyrene. (UniMAP injection).
- b) Simulation and correlation the melt flow characteristic between practical (UniMAP injection machine) and Theoretical (Cadmold software).
- c) Modify to get optimum design for the mold for better injection products by Solid work software and simulate with Cadmold software.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Injection Molding

Injection molding is a process of forming a product by forcing molten plastic material under pressure into a mold where it is cooled, solidified and subsequently released by opening the two or three halves of the mold. According to (Bryce, 1996) has stated that the injection molding is used for the formation of intricate plastic parts with excellent dimensional accuracy. A large number of items associated with our daily life are produced by way of injection molding. Typical product categories contain house wares, toys, automotive parts, furniture, rigid packaging items, appliances and medical disposable syringes.

Plastic injection molding is one of the most important polymer processing operations in the plastic production today. According to (Michael, 2009) has stated that the plastic industry that is injection molding and involving manufacturing has high growth potential caused by the products are made nowadays is from the polymer material.

The most establish method for producing plastic or polymer parts in mass production is plastic injection molding. This is a highly cost-effective, accurate and competent manufacturing method, which can be automated. However, it is very costly tooling and machinery are needed this manufacturing process. This process is also

applicable to metals and ceramics by a new technology known as powder injection molding (PIM). The design of a polymer or plastic injection mold is an integral part of plastic injection molding as the quantity of the final plastics part is greatly reliant on the injection mold. A plastic injection mold is a high precision tooling used to mass produce plastic parts and it by itself an assembly of cavities (Vannessa, 2004).

2.1.1 The Molding Machine

Injection molding machines can fasten the molds in either a horizontal or vertical position. The majority of machines are horizontally oriented as shown in Figure 2.1, but vertical machines are used in some niche applications such as insert molding, allowing the machine to take advantage of gravity. As stated in (Tim, et al., 2008) there are many ways to fasten the tools to the platens, the most common being manual clamps (both halves are bolted to the platens); however hydraulic clamps (chocks are used to hold the tool in place) and magnetic clamps are also used.

The injection molding process consists of injecting molten plastic material from a reservoir into a closed mold, allowing the plastic to cool down and solidify, and ejecting the finished product from the mold (Bryce, 1996). Injection molding is the most widely used process for manufacturing thermoplastic products (Mitchell, 1996). The time since the molten plastic is injected until the solidified part is removed is called cycle time, and it is typically in the range of 10 to 30 seconds, although longer cycles are not rare to find with large parts. Injection molding is the most popular method to process thermoplastics and some thermosets. Continuous efforts have been made to increase the

productivity of injection machines; these changes are often the replacement of the injection unit for a more efficient one, the re-design of the mold to simultaneously produce more than one part, cooling of the injected parts out of the cavity, etc.

To arrive at high-quality products, the machine settings at the beginning of the injection molding process optimization procedure should be as close as possible to optimal processing conditions. Although an educated guess of the processing conditions for a given material is always at hand, the final conditions are dependent on specific material grades, injection molding machine size, screw wear, part and mold design, and other material independent variables. Each processing condition setting is directly influenced by the following parameters (Tim, et al., 2008):

- Desired Properties of the Finished Product
- Injection Molding Machine
- Injection Mold
- Injection Molding Material
- Part Geometry




Figure 2.1: Injection molding machine

2.1.2 Injection Molding Fundamentals.

Injection molding consists of several stages: plastication, injection, packing, cooling, and ejection. It is more complex than extrusion or thermoforming, but also more capable of producing very complex components to tight specifications (David, 2007). Plastication of the polymer melt is accomplished through simultaneous shearing by rotation of an internal screw and heating by an externally heated barrel. As shown in Figure 2.2.

- Granules of polymer are poured or fed into a hopper as in Figure 2.2 (a). At the start of the injection phase a pressure is applied to the screw moving it forwards. The check or back flow valve at the tip of the screw closes as a result of this movement and enables the screw to act as a plunger to push the molten material (the melt) in the mold cavity (David, 2007).