



**MODELLING OF CURRENT  
TRANSFORMER FOR THE  
APPLICATION OF ERROR  
ESTIMATION, ESTIMATION FACTOR  
AND RATIO ERROR**

by

**KHAIRUL ANUAR BIN MOHD NOR  
(0830910321)**

A thesis submitted  
In fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of  
Master of Science (Electrical Systems Engineering)

**School of Electrical Systems Engineering  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2013

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah and all thanks are to God for the opportunity and the strength He gave me to study towards my masters. The author expresses his gratefulness first foremost to the superb mentor and would like to state his boundless appreciation and warmest gratitude to his supervisor Prof. Dr. Ismail bin Daut and co-supervisor Mr. Indra Nisja for their valuable supervision, continuous encouragement, inspiring suggestion, and guidance in the research and in preparation of this thesis. They provided the author with great opportunity and allowed him to go in depth in the areas of current transformer and harmonic. Their advice, motivation, encouragement and many discussions have helped the author for the completion of the research and this master's thesis.

I would like to extend his unlimited appreciation to my mother Che Yah Che Ahmad, my father Mohd Nor Bakar, my wife Nik Zakiah Awang and my son Nik Khairul Qayyeem whom are truly inspired and supported in finishing this project. They have been good advisor, financier and motivator that have always been at author side along his study. Not forgetting the technicians, fellow masters and PhD companion in the Electrical Energy and Industrial Electronic Systems Research Cluster and laboratory that involve in my experiments. They have opened their hearts, shared important knowledge and donating their time in accomplish my research. My stay at the laboratory has indeed provided me wonderful moments and memories as well as adequate information.

I thank to my family for being the pillar of strength and a constant source of encouragement in my quest to pursue my peculiar endeavours. I apologize for those

who may not be mentioned here and thanks to everyone who have been a part of my success.

© This item is protected by original copyright

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>APPROVAL AND DECLARATION SHEET</b>	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	iii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	v
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xvii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	xviii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	xix
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Aims and Objectives	3
1.4 Scope of the Project	3
1.5 Thesis Outline	4
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Critical Review of Other Researcher	5
2.2.1 Current Transformer and Harmonic Relationship	6
2.2.2 Effect of Harmonics on Current Transformer	9
2.2.3 Current Transformer Saturation	13

2.3	Summary	16
-----	---------	----

## **CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	18
3.2	Research Framework	19
3.3	Background Study	20
3.3.1	Current Transformer Characteristics	20
3.3.1.1	Current Transformer Ratio	21
3.3.1.2	Current Transformer Polarity	22
3.3.1.3	Types of Current Transformer	22
3.3.1.4	Current Transformer Operation	23
3.3.1.5	Effect of Magnetizing Current	25
3.3.1.6	Current Transformer Class	26
3.3.1.7	Nonlinear Model of Current Transformer	27
3.3.1.8	Characterization of CT in the presence of Harmonic Distortion	31
3.3.2	Saturation of Current Transformer	32
3.3.2.1	Saturation with Symmetrical Fault Current	33
3.3.2.2	Saturation with Asymmetrical Fault Current	33
3.3.2.3	Saturation Knee Point	34
3.3.2.4	Methods to Reduce CT Saturation	34
3.3.3	Harmonic Characteristics	36
3.3.3.1	The Main Harmonic Source	36
3.3.3.2	Effective Value of a Distorted Wave	38
3.3.3.3	Crest Factor and Total Harmonic Distortion	39

3.3.3.4	Harmonics and Sequence Components	40
3.3.3.5	Harmonic and Circuits	41
3.3.3.6	Nonlinear Loads	41
3.3.4	Impact of Secondary Burden	43
3.3.5	Recommended Correction for Harmonic Distortion Problems	44
3.3.5.1	Other Methods to Decrease Harmonic Distortion Limits	46
3.3.6	Mathematical Study	48
3.3.6.1	Current Transformer Mathematical Study	48
3.3.6.2	Current Transformer Parameter Calculation	50
3.3.6.3	Harmonic Mathematical Study	52
3.3.6.4	Fourier Series	53
3.3.6.5	Current Transformer Ratio Error Calculation	57
3.3.6.6	Current Transformer Phase Angle Error	57
3.4	PSCAD Design Modeling of Current Transformer	58
3.4.1	Introduction of PSCAD	59
3.4.2	Current Transformer Models in PSCAD	59
3.4.3	Current Transformer Simulation with Different THDi	60
3.4.4	Current Transformer Simulation with Different Magnetizing Impedance	63
3.4.5	Current Transformer Simulation with Different Burden	63
3.5	Experimental Setup	64
3.5.1	Current Transformer Testing with Linear Loads	64
3.5.2	Current Transformer Testing with Non-Linear Loads	67
3.5.3	Phase Angle Error Testing	67
3.5.4	Current Transformer Testing with Different Percentage of THDi	68

3.5.5	Current Transformer Testing with Different Burden	69
3.5.6	Current Transformer Testing with Different Ratio	69
3.5.7	Current Transformer Testing with Different Class	69
3.5.8	Current Transformer Testing with High AC Current	70

## **CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Simulation of PSCAD Design	72
4.1.1	Current Transformer Simulation with Different THDi	72
4.1.2	Current Transformer Simulation with Different Magnetizing Impedance	83
4.1.3	Current Transformer Simulation with Different Burden	94
4.1.4	Comparative Studies	96
4.2	Experimental Result	99
4.2.1	Current Transformer Testing with Linear Loads	99
4.2.2	Current Transformer Testing with Non-Linear Loads	102
4.2.3	Current Transformer Phase Angle Error	105
4.2.4	Current Transformer Testing with Different Percentage of THDi	108
4.2.5	Current Transformer Testing with Different Secondary Burden	114
4.2.6	Current Transformer Testing with Different Ratio	116
4.2.7	Current Transformer Testing with Different Class	118
4.2.8	Current Transformer Testing with High AC Current	120
4.3	Dominant Harmonic Spectrum	121

## **CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATION**

5.1	Conclusion	126
-----	------------	-----

5.2	Future Recommendation	129
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	130
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	136

© This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Tables No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
Table 3.1	Sequence of Harmonics	40
Table 3.2	Details of the current transformer	66
Table 3.3	Value of THDi(%) tested	68
Table 4.1	Simulation Results for CT 15/1A with magnetizing impedance 0.005 $\Omega$	82
Table 4.2	Simulation Results for CT 15/1A with magnetizing impedance 2.1 $\Omega$	93
Table 4.3	Testing Results for CT 7.5/1A connected with linear load	100
Table 4.4	Testing Results for CT 7.5/1A connected with non-linear loads	103
Table 4.5	Current Transformer 15/1A Class 1 Data	118
Table 4.6	Current Transformer 15/1A Class 3 Data	119
Table 4.7	Harmonic current for CT 15/1A with 40% THDi	122

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figures No.</b>		<b>Page</b>
Figure 3.1	Research Framework	19
Figure 3.2	Current transformer connection diagram	24
Figure 3.3	Current transformer equivalent circuit	25
Figure 3.4	Phasor diagram	25
Figure 3.5	Equivalent circuit	28
Figure 3.6	Typical minor hysteresis loop	29
Figure 3.7	Saturation knee point	34
Figure 3.8	Harmonic distortion	36
Figure 3.9	Nonlinear load connected to the source	42
Figure 3.10	Typical non-linear load	42
Figure 3.11	The current drawn	43
Figure 3.12	Spectrum of the current drawn	43
Figure 3.13	Distortions in secondary current dependent on the CT burden	44
Figure 3.14	Current transformer model circuit	48
Figure 3.15	Current transformer simplify circuit	49
Figure 3.16	Phasor diagram of the current transformer	50
Figure 3.17	Harmonic waveforms	52
Figure 3.18	Current Transformer Models	60
Figure 3.19	Harmonic Injection Model	61
Figure 3.20	Different Total Harmonic Distortion injected to investigate the current transformer performance	62
Figure 3.21	PSCAD Window Display to enable saturation	64

Figure 3.22	Experiment Layout to test Linear and Non-Linear Loads on Current Transformer	65
Figure 3.23	SES current transformer	66
Figure 3.24	Current Transformers with different ratio and class	70
Figure 4.1	Waveform results for THDi 5% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	73
Figure 4.2	Waveform results for THDi 10% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	74
Figure 4.3	Waveform results for THDi 15% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	75
Figure 4.4	Waveform results for THDi 20% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	76
Figure 4.5	Waveform results for THDi 25% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	77
Figure 4.6	Waveform results for THDi 30% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	78
Figure 4.7	Waveform results for THDi 40% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	79
Figure 4.8	Waveform results for THDi 50% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	80
Figure 4.9	Waveform results for THDi 70% with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	81
Figure 4.10	Graph THDi against Percentage Ratio Error for magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	83
Figure 4.11	Waveform results for THDi 5% with magnetizing impedance	84

	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.12	Waveform results for THDi 10% with magnetizing impedance	85
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.13	Waveform results for THDi 15% with magnetizing impedance	86
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.14	Waveform results for THDi 20% with magnetizing impedance	87
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.15	Waveform results for THDi 25% with magnetizing impedance	88
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.16	Waveform results for THDi 30% with magnetizing impedance	89
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.17	Waveform results for THDi 40% with magnetizing impedance	90
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.18	Waveform results for THDi 50% with magnetizing impedance	91
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.19	Waveform results for THDi 70% with magnetizing impedance	92
	2.1Ω	
Figure 4.20	Graph THDi against Percentage Ratio Error for magnetizing impedance 2.1Ω	94
Figure 4.21	Current waveform for burden 0.5Ω	95
Figure 4.22	Current waveform for burden 10Ω	95
Figure 4.23	Current waveform for burden 25Ω	96
Figure 4.24	Waveform results from University of Manitoba with magnetizing impedance 0.005Ω	97
Figure 4.25	Waveform results from University of Manitoba with magnetizing	98

	impedance $2.1\Omega$	
Figure 4.26	Graph primary current against secondary current for linear loads	101
Figure 4.27	Total harmonic distortion current against percentage ratio error for linear loads	102
Figure 4.28	Graph harmonic source against current transformer ratio	104
Figure 4.29	Graph primary total harmonic distortions current against percentage ratio error	104
Figure 4.30	Primary and secondary current for THDi 6.849%	106
Figure 4.31	Primary and secondary current for THDi 11.07%	106
Figure 4.32	Primary and secondary current for THDi 16.402%	107
Figure 4.33	Primary and secondary current for THDi 19.436%	107
Figure 4.34	Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 5% and 10%	109
Figure 4.35	Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 15% and 20%	110
Figure 4.36	Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 25% and 30%	111
Figure 4.37	Primary Current against Secondary Current for THDi 40%, 50% and 70%	112
Figure 4.38	Primary Current against Secondary Current for all level of THDi	113
Figure 4.39	CT 15/1A Class 3 with burden $25\Omega$	114
Figure 4.40	CT 15/1A Class 3 with burden $10\Omega$	115
Figure 4.41	CT 15/1A Class 3 with burden $0.5\Omega$	116
Figure 4.42	CT 7.5/1A Class 3 with burden $25\Omega$	117
Figure 4.43	Percentage ratio error for CT 15/1A class 1 and class 3	120
Figure 4.44	Harmonic spectrum for $I_p = 3.9589A$ .	123

Figure 4.45 Harmonic spectrum for  $I_p = 15.835A$ . 123

Figure 4.44 Harmonic spectrum for  $I_p = 29.502A$ . 124

© This item is protected by original copyright

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CT	Current Transformer
THD	Total Harmonic Distortion
PSCAD	Power Systems Computer Aided Design
JA	Jiles Atherton
EMTP	Electromagnetic Transient Program
KCL	Kirchhoff's Current Law
RCF	Ratio Correction Factor
H	Magnetic Field
B	Magnetic Induction
IGBT	Insulated Gate Bipolar Transistor
RMS	Root Mean Square
E	Sinusoidal Voltage
W	Active Power
VAR	Reactive Power
IEEE	Institute Electric and Electronic Engineering
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
MMF	Magnetomotive Force
AC	Alternating Current

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\Omega$	Ohm
$\Delta$	Magnetic core hysteresis and eddy current losses
$\beta$	Phase angle error
$\ell$	Magnetic core path length
$\lambda$	Peak value
$\varepsilon$	Ratio error

© This item is protected by original copyright

# PEMODELAN TRANSFORMER ARUS UNTUK APLIKASI ANGGARAN RALAT, ANGGARAN FAKTOR DAN RALAT NISBAH

## ABSTRAK

Kajian ini menyumbang dalam penyelidikan mengenai prestasi transformer arus dengan gangguan harmonik. Selain itu, kajian ini juga menyumbang dalam meningkatkan kecekapan transformer arus dengan pengiraan kesalahan sudut fasa dan kesalahan nisbah. Dalam tesis ini, faktor pembetulan juga telah ditaksir bagi meningkatkan prestasi dan ketepatan transformer arus. Melalui projek ini, transformer arus 15/1A dan 7.5/1A diuji dengan peratus jumlah gangguan harmonik yang berbeza. Bahagian pertama ujikaji dilakukan menerusi simulasi perisian PSCAD apabila transformer arus dimodelkan bersama beban yang berbeza. Perbandingan dijalankan oleh PSCAD dari aspek arus masukan dan keluaran, arus harmonik, nisbah transformer arus dan beban. Dengan menggunakan PSCAD, peratus jumlah arus gangguan harmonik yang berbeza disalurkan bagi menguji pelaksanaan transformer arus. PSCAD juga digunakan untuk menjalankan simulasi bagi melihat keadaan ketepuan transformer arus apabila beroperasi dengan nilai impedans magnetik yang berbeza. Unsur kajian perbandingan telah dimasukkan ke dalam sebahagian simulasi dengan melakukan perbandingan dengan model dari Universiti Manitoba dan penyelidik lain. Bahagian kedua projek adalah perbandingan yang dilakukan di makmal iaitu perbandingan antara beban linear dan tidak linear yang digunakan bersama transformer arus. Dalam bahagian ini, mentol-mentol digunakan sebagai beban linear manakala komputer-komputer peribadi sebagai beban tidak linear. Tindak balas dan kecekapan transformer arus diuji apabila disambung dengan kedua-dua jenis beban itu dan arus masukan dinaikkan. Meter Analisa Kuasa (PM300) dan perisian VPAS disambung ke litar bagi mendapatkan bentuk gelombang arus dan mengukur kesalahan sudut fasa. Perbandingan seterusnya adalah antara transformer arus kelas 1 dan kelas 3 yang diuji dengan beban 0.5 ohm, 10 ohm and 25 ohm kerana ketersediaan yang dimiliki oleh bank perintang. Daripada keseluruhan eksperimen baik perisian mahupun ujian makmal, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa peratus harmonik yang berbeza akan membawa kesan kepada transformer arus dari aspek kecekapan, kesalahan sudut fasa, dan kesalahan nisbah. Kesan-kesan ini juga diambil kira dari nilai bebanan di bahagian primer. Kesan harmonik ke atas transformer arus diuji sehingga terhasilnya ketepuan dalam transformer arus itu. Hal ini disebabkan oleh kenaikan arus primer, komponen DC, harmonik dan perubahan frekuensi. Selain itu, kerosakan dalam transformer arus juga sangat dipengaruhi oleh ketaklelurusan arus kemagnetan. Akhir sekali, kajian dalam bidang ini menyumbang ke arah peningkatan prestasi transformer arus dalam sistem kualiti kuasa.

## **MODELLING OF CURRENT TRANSFORMER FOR THE APPLICATION OF ERROR ESTIMATION, ESTIMATION FACTOR AND RATIO ERROR**

### **ABSTRACT**

*The research contributed in the investigation of current transformer performance with harmonic distortion. Besides that, the research also contributed in enhances the current transformer efficiency by estimation the phase angle and ratio errors. In this thesis, the correction factors have been estimated to work up the current transformer performance and accuracy. Throughout this project, the current transformer 15/1A and 7.5/1A are tested with different percentages of total harmonic distortion. The first part of testing is done with software simulation using PSCAD when current transformer modeled with different burden. The PSCAD simulation is compared in terms of primary and secondary current, harmonic current, current transformer ratio and burden. Different percentage of total harmonic distortion current is supplied to test the current transformer performance by using PSCAD software. PSCAD also simulate to see the current transformer saturation when operates with different values of magnetizing impedance. Element of comparative studies was included in the simulation part by doing the comparison with the model from University of Manitoba and other researcher. The second part is the hardware comparison between the linear and non-linear loads used in current transformers. In this part, the bulbs used as linear loads and personal computers as non-linear loads. Reaction and efficiency of current transformer are tested when connected with both types of loads and increasing the input current. Power meter analyzer (PM300) and VPAS software connected to the circuit to plot current waveform and measured phase angle error. The next comparison is between current transformer class 1 and class 3 tested with burden 0.5ohm, 10 ohm and 25 ohm due to the availability of the resistor banks. From the overall experiment of software and hardware, results shows that different percentage of harmonics bring effects to the current transformer in terms of efficiency, phase angle error and ratio error. The effect also counted on the values of secondary burden. The harmonic effects on the current transformer tested presence until the current transformer saturate. These are due to the increment of primary current, DC component, harmonic and frequency changed. Otherwise, current transformer error is strongly influenced by the non-linearity of the magnetizing current. Finally, the study in this field is contributed to enhance current transformer performance in power quality system.*

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

A Current Transformer (CT) is a type of transformer that is designed to measure high current in a power system. CT are basically of two general type, those are used for over current, relay protection applications and those are used for metering applications. In protection applications one is concerned about the performance and errors of the CT (B.S. Guru & H.R. Hiziroglu).

The performance and accuracy of the current transformer can be analyzed when excited with non sinusoidal currents. When the CT operates under non sinusoidal condition, two errors are known to occur that are phase angle error and ratio error. These errors can affect the CT accuracy and performance (Emanuel A.E & Orr J.A, 2007).

The CT with a non linear load will have harmonic distortion. This harmonic distortion gave a major effect to the transformer those increasing transformer losses (Said D.M & Nor K.M, 2008).

This thesis is a concern of the research work that titled “Modelling of Current Transformer for the Application of Error Estimation, Estimation Factor and Ratio Error”. The first aim of this research is to use the PSCAD software in modeling the characteristics of the current transformer. The research will also investigate the effects of harmonic distortion to the current transformer applied in the single phase and three

phase system. Moreover, the study will estimate the correction factor due to level of harmonic distortion by adjusting the THDi.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Installation of current transformer for transformer protection purpose in the distribution system with nonlinear load will have some error at current transformer itself. The problems are current ratio error, phase angle error and accuracy error. These errors will affect the differential relay for transformer protection which differential relay will trip even though no fault. These errors will cause the current transformer goes to saturate. Saturation of current transformer under fault conditions produces harmonics in the secondary circuits. As the CT saturation increases, the secondary harmonics will also increase before CT goes into a completely saturated mode (J.Das, 2002).

The main problem are the effects of harmonic to the current transformer performance when the harmonic distortion is supplying over limit or range that is set in current transformer. This harmonic distortion will increase the transformer losses and may cause transformer core to go into saturation. Meanwhile, these effects will increase the temperature and sound level resulting core overheating and transformer damaging (S.P. Kennedy & C.L.Ivey). So, the main point for the problem statement that need to solve is to reduce the harmonic effects on the current transformer in terms of loads and burdens choosing. Then, to select better utilization of current transformer in ratio and class aspects. This problem will be briefly discussed in Chapter Three.

### **1.3 Aims and Objectives**

This project is to study the effects of harmonic distortion on the current transformer used for differential transformer protection. Overall, the research objectives are :

- To model and simulate current transformer using PSCAD software to implement the current transformer performance in harmonic condition.
- To estimate ratio and phase angle error in increasing the current transformer efficiency
- To estimate the correction factor due to level of harmonic distortion to work up the current transformer performance and accuracy.

### **1.4 Scope of the Project**

The project begins with the development of current transformer model using PSCAD software. Investigation of the effect of harmonic distortion to the current transformer is conducted prior to the model development. The project requires the understanding of current transformer and harmonic distortion characteristics. The relationship and the effects of harmonic distortion to the CT also need to be understood. Basically this project will develop within the scope; software model of a current transformer characteristics using PSCAD, mathematical calculation of the current transformer, harmonic and its effects especially in terms of current transformer ratio error and phase angle error. The testing is including the measurement of current transformer performance use as protection element in single phase and three phase systems with different total harmonic distortion (THD).

## 1.5 Thesis Outline

The thesis is broken down into five chapters. Chapter one provides the introduction of the project which includes general introduction, problems statement, aims and objectives, scopes and thesis outline.

Chapter Two discusses the literature review and characteristics of current transformer and harmonic. This chapter also produce theoretical point of view of the harmonic effects to the current transformer in protection system and other related information with critical review from other researchers.

Next chapter, Chapter Three presents the research methodology. This describes the mathematical modeling, current transformer modeling using PSCAD software and testing and experiment process in the laboratory.

Contents for Chapter Four are the results and discussion. This chapter discusses the results according to the simulation and hardware testing which explain current transformer modeling and performance with non linear load and harmonic distortion in single and three phase systems.

Finally, Chapter Five consists of the conclusion and recommendation for future improvement in the current transformer performance.

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **2.1 Introduction**

This chapter presents a survey that is conducted to review the current transformer characteristics, harmonic characteristics and effects of harmonic to the current transformer performance. In addition, this chapter also covered the critical review from other researchers.

#### **2.2 Critical Review of Other Researcher**

A lot of researchers around this world have conducted their own experiments with different materials and techniques either to determine the current transformer performance within the harmonic distortions or to obtain the effects of harmonic pollution to the current transformer or to determine the current transformer's efficiency. Harmonic issued is very much debated these days because of their impacts on the equipments and measurements system. Therefore, the current transformer used as the protection tools in protecting the equipments from over current and harmonic distortion. However, harmonics injection also gives the change in current transformer performance. Many of the researcher's works in the current transformer and harmonics have been simplified as below;

### 2.2.1 Current Transformer and Harmonic Relationship

Alexander E. Emanuel and John A. Orr (2007) in their paper “*Current Harmonics Measurement by Means of Current Transformers*”, have analyzed the accuracy of current transformers when excited with non-sinusoidal currents. The phase angle error affects the accuracy of the measurement when harmonic powers are measured. When the ferromagnetic core permeability become lower, then the magnetizing current and the phase angle error becomes larger. In addition, the larger air-gap of a clamp on the CT, then larger magnetizing current and harmonic current present in the secondary current will be reflected in the spectrum of the magnetizing current even for a perfectly linear CT (Emanuel A.E & Orr J.A, 2007).

A. Cataliotti, D. Di Cara, A.E. Emanuel and S.Nuccio (2008) in their paper “*Characterization of Current Transformers in the Presence of Harmonic Distortion*”, proposed a new way to characterize current transformers, closer to real operative conditions which harmonic phase angle and ratio errors are measured when the CT are excited with a non-sinusoidal current composed of a fundamental and one harmonic, with adjustable phase shift. This way results was compared with the results that obtained in the frequency response test. The errors measured using the new approaches are larger than the ones found with the frequency response. So, the frequency response is not a reliable method to evaluate the CT performance under distorted conditions (A. Cataliotti, D. Di Cara, A. E. Emanuel & S. Nuccio , 2008).

Cataliotti, D. Di Cara, P.A. Di Franco, A.E. Emanuel and S.Nuccio (2008) in another their paper “*Frequency Response of Measurement Current Transformers*”, have demonstrate that for the frequency range analyzed the transformer equivalent circuit is a