



**Fundamental Study on Processing and Characterization of
Kaolin-Modified Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag
Ceramic via Geopolymerization Process**

by

**Noorina Hidayu Jamil
(1740412575)**

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

**Faculty of Chemical Engineering Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PERLIS**

2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

“Alhamdulillah, praises and thanks to Allah for His showers of blessings throughout my research work to complete the research successfully”

Thank you Universiti Malaysia Perlis for giving me the opportunity to further my PhD with the scholarship sponsored by the university.

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my main supervisor, Prof Ts. Dr. Mohd Mustafa Al Bakri Abdullah for providing priceless guidance throughout this research. Thank you for the motivation and great sense of humour. Besides my main supervisor, I would also like to thank my co-supervisors, Assoc Prof Dr. Hasmaliza Mohamad and Ts. Dr. Faizul Che Pa for their encouragement and immense knowledge.

I am deeply indebted to all my friends for their invaluable help. True friends always bring out the best in each other.

A special thanks to my parents, Haji Jamil Hassan and Hajah Che Asiah Yahya for their dua'. I would like also to thank my family and family in laws.

Last but not least, a special thanks to my husband, Wan Mohd Arif for his endless support. To my beautiful princess, Riffaa, Zaara and Keisha, thank you for being the main reason for me to complete my thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| DECLARATION OF THESIS | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xv |
| LIST OF SYMBOLS | xvi |
| ABSTRAK | xvii |
| ABSTRACT | xviii |
| CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION | 19 |
| 1.1 Research Background | 19 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement | 23 |
| 1.3 Objectives of Study | 24 |
| 1.4 Research Scope | 25 |
| 1.5 Thesis Outline | 27 |
| CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW | 28 |
| 2.1 Geopolymer | 28 |
| 2.1.1 Mechanism of Geopolymerization | 30 |
| 2.1.2 Parameters of Geopolymer | 32 |
| 2.1.2.1 Ratio of Solid to Liquid | 33 |

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.1.2.2 | Curing Temperature | 35 |
| 2.2 | Kaolin | 38 |
| 2.2.1 | Kaolin Geopolymer | 40 |
| 2.2.2 | Properties of Kaolin Geopolymer | 43 |
| 2.2.2.1 | Phase Analysis | 43 |
| 2.2.2.2 | Thermal Analysis | 47 |
| 2.2.2.3 | Structural spectra analysis | 48 |
| 2.2.2.4 | Microstructural Analysis | 50 |
| 2.3 | Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag | 52 |
| 2.3.1 | Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymer | 53 |
| 2.3.2 | Properties of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymer | 54 |
| 2.3.2.1 | Phase Analysis | 55 |
| 2.3.2.2 | Structural Spectra Analysis | 56 |
| 2.3.2.3 | Microstructural Analysis | 57 |
| 2.4 | Sintering of geopolymer | 59 |
| 2.4.1 | Sintering of Kaolin Geopolymer | 60 |
| 2.4.2 | Sintering of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Geopolymer | 62 |
| 2.4.3 | Two-step of sintering | 63 |
| 2.4.4 | Particle Size of Raw Materials | 67 |
| 2.4.5 | Physical Transformation after sintering | 69 |
| 2.4.6 | Phase Transformation after sintering | 70 |
| 2.4.7 | Synchrotron-Based Investigations: Micro X-Ray Fluorescence | 72 |
| 2.4.8 | Synchrotron-Based Investigations: Micro X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy | 74 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| CHAPTER 3 : METHODOLOGY | 76 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 76 |
| 3.2 Materials | 79 |
| 3.2.1 Solid (Kaolin and Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag) | 79 |
| 3.2.2 Liquid (Sodium Hydroxide and Sodium Silicate) | 80 |
| 3.3 Preparation of Kaolin-GGBS Geopolymer | 81 |
| 3.4 Preparation of Sintered Kaolin-GGBS Geopolymer with Different Ratio of Solid to Liquid | 82 |
| 3.5 Preparation of Sintered Kaolin-GGBS Geopolymer with Different Sintering Temperature | 84 |
| 3.6 Mechanical Testing | 85 |
| 3.6.1 Compressive Strength Testing | 85 |
| 3.6.2 Weight monitoring | 86 |
| 3.7 Characterization | 87 |
| 3.7.1 Particle Size Analysis | 87 |
| 3.7.2 Chemical Composition Characterization | 88 |
| 3.7.3 Thermal Characterization | 88 |
| 3.7.4 Microstructural Characterization | 89 |
| 3.7.5 Phase Composition Characterization | 90 |
| 3.7.6 Structural Spectra Characterization | 91 |
| 3.7.7 Synchrotron-Based Investigations: Micro-X-Ray Fluorescence | 91 |
| 3.7.8 Synchrotron-Based Investigations: Micro –X-Ray Absorption Spectroscopy | 93 |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|-----------|
| CHAPTER 4 : | RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS | 94 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 94 |
| 4.2 | Raw Materials Analysis | 94 |
| 4.2.1 | Particle Size Analysis | 95 |
| 4.2.2 | Chemical Composition Analysis | 96 |
| 4.2.3 | Phase Composition Analysis | 97 |
| 4.2.4 | Structural Spectra Analysis | 100 |
| 4.2.5 | Microstructural Analysis | 102 |
| 4.2.6 | Thermal Analysis | 103 |
| 4.3 | Effect of Ratio Solid to Liquid on Sintered Kaolin-GGBS Geopolymer | 105 |
| 4.3.1 | Chemical Composition Analysis | 105 |
| 4.3.2 | Physical Observation and Analysis | 106 |
| 4.3.3 | Compressive Strength Analysis | 108 |
| 4.3.4 | Microstructural Analysis | 109 |
| 4.3.5 | Phase Transformation Analysis | 113 |
| 4.3.6 | Structural Spectra Analysis | 117 |
| 4.3.7 | Micro X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis | 120 |
| 4.4 | Effect of Curing Temperature on the Sintered Kaolin-GGBS Geopolymer | 121 |
| 4.4.1 | Curing Monitoring and Analysis | 122 |
| 4.4.2 | Physical Observation and Analysis | 124 |
| 4.4.3 | Compressive Strength Analysis | 125 |
| 4.4.4 | Microstructural Analysis | 127 |
| 4.4.5 | Phase Transformation Analysis | 131 |
| 4.4.6 | Structural Spectra Analysis | 136 |
| 4.5 | Effect of Sintering Temperature on the Sintered Kaolin-GGBS Geopolymer | 139 |
| 4.5.1 | Compressive Strength Analysis | 140 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 4.5.2 | Phase Transformation Analysis | 141 |
| 4.5.3 | Microstructural Analysis | 146 |
| 4.5.4 | Micro - X-ray Adsorption Analysis | 150 |
| 4.5.5 | Thermal Analysis | 152 |
| CHAPTER 5 : CONCLUSION | | 154 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 154 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 155 |
| REFERENCES | | 157 |
| APPENDIX A PAPER PUBLICATION | | 173 |

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF TABLES

| | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| Table 3-1: Properties of kaolin | 79 |
| Table 3-2 : Properties of ground granulated blast furnace slag | 79 |
| Table 3-3 : Properties of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) | 80 |
| Table 3-4 : Properties of sodium silicate (Na ₂ SiO ₃) | 80 |
| Table 3-5 : Control parameter used in geopolymerization process | 81 |
| Table 3-6 : Sample label and ratio of solid to liquid | 82 |
| Table 3-7 : Sample label for after curing and after sintering. | 84 |
| Table 3-8 : Sample label for different sintering temperature | 85 |
| Table 4-1 : Chemical composition of kaolin and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) | 96 |
| Table 4-2 : Chemical composition of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer with different ratio of solid to liquid | 106 |
| Table 4-3 : Percentage of weight loss during curing monitoring in 30 days | 123 |
| Table 4-4: Phase composition before sintering (%) | 132 |
| Table 4-5 : Phase composition after sintering (%) | 132 |
| Table 4-6 : Phase composition of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer | 143 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | PAGE |
|---------------|-------------|
| Figure 1:1 | 26 |
| Figure 2:1 : | 29 |
| Figure 2:2 : | 31 |
| Figure 2:3 : | 36 |
| Figure 2:4 : | 37 |
| Figure 2:5 : | 39 |
| Figure 2:6 : | 41 |
| Figure 2:7 : | 44 |
| Figure 2:8 : | 45 |
| Figure 2:9 : | 46 |
| Figure 2:10 : | 47 |
| Figure 2:11: | 49 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2:12: FTIR spectra of the used Kaolin and Metakaolin (Merabtene et al., 2019) | 49 |
| Figure 2:13: SEM images for the (a) as-received kaolin (Mataalkah et al., 2020) | 50 |
| Figure 2:14 : Morphology of different kaolin minerals: (a) Longyan kaolin, (b) Lincang kaolin, (c) Xingzi kaolin (Lao et al., 2020). | 51 |
| Figure 2:15: SEM micrographs of metakaolin (Kovarik 2015). | 52 |
| Figure 2:16 : SEM morphology of samples with different BFS contents, (a) 35 wt%, (b) 50 wt%, and (c) 55 wt% (Ding et al., 2015). | 53 |
| Figure 2:17 : XRD pattern of geopolymer precursors (Katpady et al., 2020) | 55 |
| Figure 2:18 : FTIR spectrum of ground granulated blast furnace slag (Mohammadreza Hassani et al., 2017) | 56 |
| Figure 2:19 : Microstructure of ground granulated blast furnace slag (Majhi & Nayak, 2019) | 57 |
| Figure 2:20 : SEM images of fly ash-based geopolymer mixed with different percentage of GGBS (Saha & Rajasekaran, 2017) | 58 |
| Figure 2:21 : Microstructural details of glass ceramic foams in the hardened state and after firing treatment at different temperatures (Rincón et al., 2018) | 60 |
| Figure 2:22 : XRD patterns of blast furnace slag and glass-ceramic (Ding et al., 2015) | 63 |
| Figure 2:23 : Schematic diagram illustrating the microstructural refinement produced by the two-step of sintering technique (Faller et al., 2016) | 65 |
| Figure 2:24 : Two-steps of sintering profile (Lóh et al., 2017) | 66 |
| Figure 2:25 : Particle size distribution of kaolin and SLD (Merabtene et al., 2019). | 67 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| Figure 2:26 : | Cumulative grain size distribution of kaolin and calcite (Klosek-Wawrzyn et al., 2013b) | 68 |
| Figure 2:27 : | Behaviour of main minerals of the synthetic ash samples at 900 °C and 1000 °C (Y. Wang et al., 2017) | 70 |
| Figure 2:28 : | Binary phase diagram of Nepheline-SiO ₂ (Y. Wang et al., 2017). | 71 |
| Figure 2:29 | Example of combining separate area into compositional maps for (top) a sample that contains silane and (bottom) a sample that does not contain silane (Sudbrink et al., 2017). | 73 |
| Figure 2:30 : | S K-edge micro-XANES experimental spectrum of calcium sulfate, ettringite and calcium monosulfoaluminate (Wieland et al., 2010). | 75 |
| Figure 3:1: | Flow chart preparation of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer and characterization method | 78 |
| Figure 3:2 : | Two-steps of sintering profile for sintering kaolin-GGBS geopolymer | 83 |
| Figure 3:3 : | Equipment set-up for Beamline BL6b Micro X-ray Fluorescence (μ -XRF) spectroscopy and imaging | 92 |
| Figure 3:4 : | Equipment set-up for BL8 X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy (XAS) beamline at the Synchrotron Light Research Institute (SLRI), Thailand. | 93 |
| Figure 4:1 : | The difference of particle size between kaolin and GGBS | 95 |
| Figure 4:2 : | Quantitative analysis on phase composition of kaolin and GGBS | 98 |
| Figure 4:3 : | Structure of kaolin in crystalline form | 99 |
| Figure 4:4 : | FTIR spectrum of kaolin and GGBS | 101 |
| Figure 4:5 : | Microstructure of (a) kaolin and (b) ground granulated blast furnace slag | 102 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|-----|
| Figure 4:6 : | Thermal analysis (TG-DTA) of kaolin as a raw material. | 103 |
| Figure 4:7 : | Thermal analysis (TG-DTA) of GGBS as a raw material | 104 |
| Figure 4:8 : | Physical condition of kaolin geopolymer after curing in room temperature for five days | 107 |
| Figure 4:9 : | Physical observation of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer. (a) SL 1, (b) SL 1.5 and (c) SL 2. | 107 |
| Figure 4:10 : | Major cracking after two-steps of sintering of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer due to inadequate curing condition. | 108 |
| Figure 4:11 : | Compressive strength of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different ratio of solid to liquid | 109 |
| Figure 4:12 : | Microstructure of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer and percentage of Ca from EDX analysis. | 110 |
| Figure 4:13 : | Illustration on the formation of interconnector pores in ratio of solid to liquid 1: 1 (SL 1). | 111 |
| Figure 4:14 : | Phase composition of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer with different solid to liquid ratio (a) SL 1, (b) SL 1.5 and (c) SL 2 | 114 |
| Figure 4:15 : | Transition of akermanite phase from GGBS after sintering in SL 2. | 117 |
| Figure 4:16 : | Peak transformation of kaolin, slag and kaolin slag geopolymer at different ratio of solid liquid | 118 |
| Figure 4:17 : | Optical image dan micro-XRF elemental distribution maps of Si, Al, Mg and Ca of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer with ratio of solid to liquid 2:1 (SL 2) | 120 |
| Figure 4:18 : | Weight loss monitoring during curing in different temperature for 30 days | 122 |
| Figure 4:19 : | Effect of different curing temperature on samples weight loss of kaolin-GGBS geopolymer in 14 days of curing period. | 123 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 4:20 : Visual observation of kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different curing temperature. (a) room temperature, (b) 40 °C, (c) 60 °C and (d) 80 °C | 124 |
| Figure 4:21 : Effect of curing temperature on cured and sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer on the compressive strength. | 126 |
| Figure 4:22 : Microstructure of as cured (a) and sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer (b) at a different curing temperature | 128 |
| Figure 4:23 : The schematic image on the pores in larger and smaller particle size based on the SEM image of kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at 60°C and 80°C | 129 |
| Figure 4:24 : Phase composition in as-cured and sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different sintering temperature | 131 |
| Figure 4:25 : FTIR spectrum of as-cured kaolin-GGBS geopolymer | 137 |
| Figure 4:26 : Peak transformation of kaolin, GGBS and kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different ratio of solid to liquid | 139 |
| Figure 4:27 : Effect of curing temperature on the compressive strength of cured and sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer | 140 |
| Figure 4:28 : XRD spectra of sintered kaolin-GGBS at different sintering temperature. | 141 |
| Figure 4:29 : Phase transformation of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different sintering temperature | 142 |
| Figure 4:30 : Microstructure analysis of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different sintering temperature with two magnifications scale, 500X and 2000X | 147 |
| Figure 4:31 : Microstructure evolution of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different sintering temperature | 149 |

- Figure 4:32 Normalized XANES spectra at the Ca K-edge : Comparison between the before sintering samples and sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer at different temperature. 150
- Figure 4:33 : Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analysis of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer 152

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| XRF | X-ray Fluorescence |
| XRD | X-ray Powder Diffraction |
| FTIR | Fourier-transform Infrared |
| TGA | Thermogravimetric Analysis |
| DTA | Differential Thermal Analysis |
| DSC | Differential Scanning Calorimetry. |
| XAS | X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy, |
| SL | Solid to Liquid |
| SiO ₂ | Silicon Dioxide |
| CaO | Calcium Oxide |
| Na ₂ O | Sodium Oxide |
| Al ₂ O ₃ | Aluminium Oxide |

©This item is protected by original copyright

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | |
|----|----------------|
| °C | Degree Celcius |
| Θ | Theta |
| M | Molarity |
| % | Percentage |

©This item is protected by original copyright

Kajian Asas Terhadap Pemprosesan dan Pencirian Seramik Kaolin-Terubah Suai Sanga Relau Bagas Berbutir melalui Proses Pengeopolimeran

ABSTRAK

Kaolin secara teorinya dikenali mempunyai kereaktifan yang rendah semasa pengeopolimeran akan digunakan sebagai sumber aluminosilikat dalam kajian ini. Kajian sedia ada menggunakan kaolin yang telah dirawat melalui rawatan haba, mekanikal dan kimia sebelum pengeopolimeran. Disebabkan keprihatinan ini, ia menjadi satu cabaran untuk menghasilkan geopolimer kaolin secara terus tanpa pra-rawatan. Oleh itu, penambahan sanga relau bagas berbutir (GGBS) akan mempercepatkan proses pengeopolimeran. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji pengaruh komposisi kimia di dalam bahan mentah, suhu pengawetan dan suhu pensinteran terhadap kaolin-GGBS geopolimer tersinter. Nisbah pepejal terhadap cecair yang dipilih adalah 1:1, 1.5:1, dan 2:1 untuk analisis mengenai sumbangan komposisi kimia. Kesan suhu pengawetan akan dikaji berdasarkan empat perbezaan suhu iaitu suhu bilik, 40 °C, 60 °C dan 80 °C. Parameter terakhir yang dipilih adalah suhu pensinteran iaitu 800 °C, 900 °C, 1000 °C dan 1100 °C. Nisbah pepejal terhadap cecair yang optimum diperolehi adalah 2:1 (SL 2) beserta mikrostruktur yang padat. Penambahan GGBS di dalam buburan geopolimer kaolin tidak hanya mempercepatkan proses pengerasan semasa proses pengeopolimeran, kehadiran CaO dan MgO di dalam GGBS telah mempercepatkan pembentukan fasa nefelin, gehlenit, akermanit, dan albit selepas pensinteran. Taburan unsur daripada kajian micro-XRF membuktikan bahawa konsentrasi Ca yang tinggi di kawasan setempat dan pengedaran Si adalah seragam sejajar dengan fasa akermanit di SL 2. Pengawetan pada suhu 60 °C telah menghasilkan kekuatan mampatan tertinggi selepas proses pensinteran. Hal ini disebabkan mikrostruktur padat bersama liang pori terbuka di dalam struktur kaolin-GGBS geopolimer tersinter. Ini dapat dilihat dengan jelas transformasi fasa dari yang geopolimer terawet kepada yang disinter. Transformasi fasa utama kristal iaitu kaolinit kepada fasa nefelin disebabkan tindak balas terhadap pengaktif alkali. Kaolin-GGBS geopolimer telah disediakan dengan nisbah pepejal terhadap cecair 2:1 dan suhu pengawetan 60 °C untuk parameter terakhir iaitu suhu pensinteran. Pensinteran pada 900 °C telah menghasilkan kekuatan mampatan tertinggi disebabkan pembentukan mikrostruktur padat. Pembentukan fasa akermanit telah menyumbang kepada kepadatan itu. Suhu pensinteran melebihi 900 °C menyebabkan pembentukan liang yang saling berkaitan kerana penguraian dan penguapan CaCO₃. Sementara itu pensinteran pada 800 °C menunjukkan proses pengeopolimeran yang tidak lengkap. Analisis haba menunjukkan kestabilan kaolin-GGBS geopolimer tersinter apabila didedahkan kepada suhu 1100 °C. Oleh itu, ia membuktikan bahawa kaolin boleh digunakan secara langsung tanpa rawatan haba dalam geopolimer. Proses pengeopolimeran telah meningkatkan kestabilan sampel yang diawet untuk disinter secara langsung selain memainkan peranan penting sebagai agen fluks sendiri untuk mengurangkan suhu dalam menghasilkan kaolin-GGBS geopolimer tersinter.

Fundamental Study on Processing and Characterization of Kaolin-Modified Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Ceramic via Geopolymerization Process

ABSTRACT

Kaolin which is theoretically known having low reactivity during geopolymerization will be used as a source of aluminosilicate materials in this study. Current research had pretreated the kaolin via thermal, mechanical and chemical treatment before geopolymerization. Due to this concern, it becomes a challenge to directly produce kaolin geopolymer without pre-treatment. Hence addition of ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) will accelerate the geopolymerization process. Kaolin-GGBS geopolymer ceramic can be prepared at low sintering temperature due to the reaction of chemical composition during the initial stage of geopolymerization. The objective of this work is to study the influence of the chemical composition in raw materials, curing temperature and sintering temperature on the sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer. The ratio of solid-to-liquid chosen were 1:1, 1.5:1, and 2:1 to analyze the contribution of chemical composition. The effect of curing temperature will be a study based on four different temperature, which is, room temperature, 40 °C, 60 °C and 80 °C. The last parameter chosen was the sintering temperature varied at 800 °C, 900 °C, 1000 °C and 1100 °C. The optimum ratio of solid to liquid obtained was 2:1 (SL 2) with densified microstructure. The addition of GGBS to the kaolin geopolymer slurry did not only hasten the hardening process during geopolymerization, the presence of CaO, and MgO in GGBS had accelerated the formation of nepheline, gehlenite, akermanite, and albite phase after sintering. Elemental distribution from micro-XRF investigation proves the high concentration of Ca in a localized area and uniformly distribution of Si aligned with the phase of akermanite in SL 2. The curing temperature at 60 °C had resulted the highest compressive strength after the sintering process. This is due to the densified microstructure with open pores in the structure of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer. It can be clearly seen the phase transformation from as-cured to sintered geopolymer. Transformation of the main crystalline phase which is kaolinite to nepheline phase due to reaction with alkali activator. Kaolin-GGBS geopolymer was prepared with a ratio of solid to liquid 2:1 and 60 °C of curing temperature were prepared for the last parameter varied, which are sintering temperature. Sintering at 900 °C had resulted in the highest compressive strength due to the formation of densified microstructure. The formation of akermanite phase had contributed to the densification. The sintering temperature exceeds than 900 °C had led to the formation of interconnected pores due to the decomposition and vapourisation of CaCO₃. Meanwhile, sintering at 800 °C indicates an incomplete geopolymerization process. Thermal analysis shows the stability of sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer when being exposed to 1100 °C. Therefore, it proves that kaolin can be directly used without heat treatment in geopolymer. The geopolymerization process had facilitated the stability of as cured samples to be directly sintered besides playing a significant role as a self-fluxing agent to reduce the temperature in producing sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer.

CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Geopolymers belong to a group of materials with increased interest due to low CO₂ emission and energy consumption (Zannerni et al., 2020). Hence, environmental preservation has become a driving force behind the search for new sustainable and environmentally friendly materials (Pacheco et al., 2019). The hardening process of geopolymers at ambient temperature results in materials with ceramic-like properties, such as resistance against acids and high temperatures. The silica-rich materials such as clay or kaolin, fly ash, and bottom ash can be used as an aluminosilicate material to react with the liquid alkali activator (Zhenming Li et al., 2019; Sukmak et al., 2013).

Davidovits created the term geopolymer in 1978 to define a class of materials of mineral nature with a chemical composition similar to zeolite, but with a mixed microstructure (from amorphous to semi-crystalline) (Hassaan et al., 2015). The silica (SiO₂) and alumina (Al₂O₃) species present in the raw materials react in a highly alkaline medium, organizing themselves in a continuous three-dimensional structure (Zhang et al., 2020). Geopolymers have found application in virtually all fields of industry, which provides, among its properties, high mechanical strength, high chemical inertness and excellent fire resistance. There are considered as a replacement for conventional cement-based components as well as for ceramic parts that can be used up to medium-high temperature typically below 1200 °C (Cilla et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2020).

There are certain factors that influence the properties of geopolymers such as composition, type and the relative amount of alkaline activator, the specific surface composition of source materials and condition during the initial period of the geopolymerization process (Belmokhtar et al., 2018a). The parameters during geopolymerization give a significant impact on the mechanical properties of geopolymer, such as solid to the liquid ratio where these crucial parameters influence the workability of geopolymer slurry (Cheng et al., 2015). The liquid content consists of sodium silicate (Na_2SiO_3) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Sodium silicate acts as alkali activator, binder, plasticizer or dispersants. In contrast, sodium hydroxide helps the dissolution of aluminosilicates sources (Zhang et al., 2016). Other vital parameters are curing regime, that must be taken into consideration when designing a kaolin-based geopolymer product for a specific application (Heah et al., 2013; Saha & Rajasekaran, 2017).

Kaolin is one of the most commonly used raw materials in the preparation of alkali-based geopolymers; however, kaolin must undergo thermal pre-treatment to improve its geopolymerization reactivity. Through the calcination, the dehydroxylation of kaolinite occurs, accompanied by the increase in disorder of the layer structure. The kaolinite was shown to transform into dehydrated kaolinite with amorphous phases when calcined between 500 °C and 900 °C, and its reactivity was significantly improved (Zhang et al., 2020). Calcination of kaolinite forms more reactive kaolin which known as metakaolin containing highly reactive amorphous phase which readily takes part in geopolymer synthesis process (Sarkar et al., 2015). However, the needs of a thermal activation to increase the reactivity of kaolin and allow the transformation into metakaolin will affect the raises for overall elaboration cost of these materials (Aboulayt et al., 2017).

Generally, kaolin geopolymer powder was compressed using a powder metallurgy method, and the green body was sintered to produce ceramic products. This method proves that cracking was not observed after sintering as being discussed by Zhang (2016) and Liew (2017). This implied that the formation of kaolin geopolymer ceramics using kaolin geopolymer powder prevents cracking, which usually occurs in as-cured geopolymers. The as-cured geopolymers were heated and dried before sintering to avoid excessive shrinkage cracking (Zhang et al., 2016).

Ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) has been used for decades as partial replacement material in concrete and has well-established standards describing its use (Hassaan et al., 2015). In general, the addition of GGBS can significantly shorten the setting time of clay-based geopolymer, improve the flowability of paste or mortar and increase the compressive strength of hardened product (Saha & Rajasekaran, 2017). However, suppose the sintering temperature is higher or/, and the melting temperature of the used residue is lower. In that case, the additives material also can start to melt, which drastically changes the sintering behaviour, phase composition and structure of final ceramic. Karamanova et al., (2011) explained that if the differences in melting temperature for the mixture materials is too high, then the appropriate sintering profile is required to obtain suitable properties of end ceramic. Therefore, by having optimum condition from solid to liquid ratio and curing conditions, it is possible to produce kaolin–GGBS geopolymer. Next, kaolin–GGBS geopolymer will be sintered at various sintering profiles to evaluate the properties of kaolin-GGBS geopolymer ceramic.

Sintering of geopolymer led to the formation of sintered ceramic bodies with improved properties (Zawrah et al., 2020). Ceramics are typically produced by sintering

the clays and other natural raw materials to form a rigid product. Ceramic products that use naturally occurring rocks and minerals as a starting material must undergo special processing to control purity, particle size, particle size distribution, and heterogeneity. These attributes a significant role in the final properties of the finished ceramic. Chemically prepared powders also are used as starting materials for some ceramic products (Chen et al, 2004). The formation of ceramic particles into the desired shape was accomplished by the addition of water or additives such as binders, followed by a shape forming process. Some of the most common forming methods for ceramics include extrusion, slip casting, pressing, tape casting and injection moulding. Then, these "green" ceramics undergo a heat-treatment (called firing or sintering) to produce a rigid, finished product (Xie et al, 2010). Conventional approaches of sintering glass-ceramics usually include two steps; vitrifying raw materials at high temperatures (1300 °C to 1500 °C), followed by nucleation and crystal growth (Rincón, Desideri, & Bernardo, 2018).

The study of sintering behaviour of clay raw materials might be difficult because these clays have a complex mineralogical composition, which causes a series of phase transformations occurring during sintering. Those processes relate to major and minor clay minerals such as phyllosilicates, quartz, with alumina octahedral sheets (Ramasamy et al, 2015). Silicate ceramics that contain clays are complex ceramic systems due to the numerous relationships among the behaviours of mineral materials during ceramic processing and transformations during heating (Boussois et al., 2014). The propagation of cracks in silicate ceramics can be positively influenced by microstructural characteristics and the addition of reinforcement. A significant challenge is the promotion of controlled phase transformations, where the interconnected phase in a highly organized network is favourable to mechanical properties (Boussois et al., 2014).

1.2 Problem Statement

Kaolin is a kind of pozzolan that reacts with alkali solution but required a higher temperature than metakaolin to be a hardened geopolymer. This is due to the low reactivity of kaolin that needs sufficient time for the geopolymerization process to complete (Tiffo et al., 2020). The use of kaolin as a raw material was reported in few studies but more can be found on the use of metakaolin to produce geopolymer materials (Naghsh & Shams, 2017). Metakaolin was obtained from the pre-treatment of kaolin such as calcination at a temperature range of 700 °C to 850 °C or mechanical activation by milling process (Wan, Rao, Song, et al., 2017). Raw kaolin was considered for the synthesis of geopolymer without a preliminary thermal activation, to limit the overall energy demand for calcination in the way of sustainable development. Meanwhile, GGBS seems to be the candidate that can accelerate the hardening of the kaolin geopolymer. The hygroscopic properties of CaO from GGBS will help to attracts water from its surroundings and will improve the dihydroxylation reaction. The contribution of the oxides from raw materials (SiO₂, Al₂O₃, CaO, MgO) in reducing the sintering temperature of geopolymer would be an exciting discussion in geopolymer field

It is common for the hardening process of geopolymer made from raw kaolin thus will suffer from the cracking issue due to the excessive water that still remains in the geopolymer. The excessive water normally coming from the unreacted H₂O during dissolution stage in geopolymerization does not completely remove. The curing condition during geopolymerization will influence the dihydroxylation reaction thus preventing the initiation of crack. Therefore, the curing temperature will be study in order to analyse the optimum temperature for kaolin-GGBS geopolymer to be hardened.

Apart from the geopolymerization component, sintering played a vital role to produce geopolymer ceramic. However, direct sintering of geopolymer material at high temperatures will result in excessive shrinkage and cracking of the geopolymer (Zhang et al., 2016). The current method for producing sintered geopolymer is by using the powder metallurgical method where the hardened geopolymer paste needs to be in powder form. However, the paste needs to undergo a milling process which required another step of energy consumption (Zhang et al, 2016). Conventional approaches of sintering glass-ceramics usually required high temperatures ranged from 1300 °C to 1500 °C (Rincón, Desideri, & Bernardo, 2018). K-feldspar, Ca-feldspar rock, Na-feldspar rock and bone ash has been added fluxing agent in the mixture with kaolin used and achieved to lower the sintering temperature about 1200 °C (Sokolář et al., 2017).

Hence, the technique to sinter geopolymeric materials at lower sintering temperature remain a challenge. Therefore, the simplified method will be studied by directly using the moulded sample after curing to the sintering process. Furthermore, it was also suggested by Zhang et al., (2016) to study the effect of phase transformation towards sintered geopolymer properties. The novelty of this research is to directly use raw kaolin in geopolymer and sinter the as-cured geopolymer.

1.3 Objectives of Study

- I. To correlate the contribution of the chemical composition of source materials towards sintered kaolin-GGBS geopolymer by verifying different solid to liquid ratio.